Email

FromName	a gye
FromAddress	huntergye@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	
Body	I disapprove of the MSI lease at Brickyard road. This track of land was to be used for a public school and not for fee to play facility. This will adversely effect the character of our neighborhood and the non paying general public will not have access to this facility. A. Gye Residence

FromName	Adele Schmidt
FromAddress	adele.schmidt@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Better Soccer Fields for the county
Body	*Hello, * * * *I expressing my support for the **Brickyard Soccer Project, to create more soccer fields and reshape the existing ones.* * * *Our daughters play soccer in MSI with great enthusiasm and every time when I am standing at the fields I can not believe in how bad of a shape they are in every sense. Soccer is a wonderful team sport for boys and girls, teenagers and adults. Everybody can play it without investing in expensive gear. You just need a soccer ball. However, the fields do play a big role for a satisfying experience of our young generation. It is frustrating to see how the kids waste their energy running up and downhill on some fields falling over wholes, etc. instead of focusing on their skills. * * * *Like in every sport you want to make the best out of it and you want to compete, also internationally. If this country wants to become an important player in the international soccer arena, we have to start to support our young soccer generation by providing them with the best tools, so they can succeed.* * * *Best regards,* * * *Adele Schmidt* *301 Potter Lane* *Rockville, MD 20850* * * * * * *

FromName	agatino Sciuto
FromAddress	agatinos323@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Hi, My name is Agatino Sciuto. I live at 7 hawthorn court in Rockville, MD. I am writing to express my full support to the *Brickyard Soccer Project. * * * *Best Regards* * * * *

FromName	AJ Schuessler
FromAddress	ajschuessler@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To who it concerns, I am writing in full support of the Brickyard soccer field project. We need more fields in MoCo, and this would help out everyone who wants to play in the region. Regards, Andrew Schuessler Montgomery County Resident

FromName	Ajit Baid
FromAddress	baidajit@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Hello, Please help us with Brickyard Soccer Project. Soccer is very popular and great activity for kids. Thanks Ajit Baid Montgomery County 308 Currier Drive Rockville, MD 20850 -- Ajit Baid h:267-646-0504; c:267-421-1438

FromName	Alan Cornfield
FromAddress	captncorn@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Better ,more soccer field
Body	Yes I agree ,there is a need for better fields. Convenient ect. I support brickyard fields Ala cornfield Rockville ,md Sent from my iPad

FromName	Alan Mark
FromAddress	amark@paleyrothman.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	FW: Brickyard Soccerplex
Body	see below Alan S. Mark, Esq. Paley Rothman 4800 Hampden Lane, 7th Floor Bethesda, Maryland 20814 (301) 951-9337 Direct Fax: (301) 652-8302 Fax: (301) 654-0165 amark@paleyrothman.com <blocked::mailto:amark@paleyrothman.com> NOTICE: This e-mail is from a law firm, Paley, Rothman, Goldstein, Rosenberg, Eig & Cooper, Chartered ("Paley Rothman"), and is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is addressed. If you believe you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately, delete the e-mail from your computer and do not copy or disclose it to anyone else. If you are not an existing client of Paley Rothman, do not construe anything in this e-mail to make you a client unless it contains a specific statement to that effect and do not disclose anything to Paley Rothman in reply that you expect it to hold in confidence. If you properly received this e-mail as a client, co-counsel or retained expert of Paley Rothman, you should maintain its contents in confidence in order to preserve the attorney-client or work product privilege that may be available to protect confidentiality. _____ From: Alan Mark Sent: Friday, April 13, 2012 11:15 AM To: 'dgs.ore@montgomerycountymd.com.gov' Cc: 'brickyardcoalition@gmail.com'

Subject: Brickyard Soccerplex

Kindly explain the logic behind installation of all the traffic calming
devices on Brickyard over the last 15 years--bumps and circles--and the
proposed development of a soccer complex with hundred ++ parking spaces
that will overload Brickyard. What's next, a grand prix race around and
thru Brickyard ? Please also explain why existing soccer facilities at
schools throughout the County can't be put to profitable use instead?

Alan S. Mark, Esq.
Paley Rothman
4800 Hampden Lane, 7th Floor
Bethesda, Maryland 20814
(301) 951-9337

Direct Fax: (301) 652-8302
Fax: (301) 654-0165
amark@paleyrothman.com
<blocked::mailto:amark@paleyrothman.com>

NOTICE: This e-mail is from a law firm, Paley, Rothman, Goldstein,
Rosenberg, Eig & Cooper, Chartered ("Paley Rothman"), and is intended
solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is addressed. If you
believe you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender
immediately, delete the e-mail from your computer and do not copy or
disclose it to anyone else. If you are not an existing client of Paley
Rothman, do not construe anything in this e-mail to make you a client
unless it contains a specific statement to that effect and do not
disclose anything to Paley Rothman in reply that you expect it to hold
in confidence. If you properly received this e-mail as a client,
co-counsel or retained expert of Paley Rothman, you should maintain its
contents in confidence in order to preserve the attorney-client or work
product privilege that may be available to protect confidentiality.

FromName	Alejandro Espinoza
FromAddress	nelson.espinoza@live.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project Support
Body	Dear County Government, Please allow me to provide my inconditional support to this cause and to encourage you to work to develop more and better soccer fields troughtout the entire County. Our community is changing rapidly and one factor that is clearly noticed is that every year I see more and more younger kids in the soccer fields trying to find an opening in the schedule that allows them to play. Our community needs to be aware of these changes to quickly adapt to them. Please build more and better soccer fields for out kids. Sincerely, Alejandro N. Espinoza Cell: (301)646-7603 5520 Dowgate Ct, Apt 202 Rockville, Montgomery County, MD 20851 □□ □ □□

FromName	Alison and Steven Horowitz
FromAddress	hwitz@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	Attn: Brickyard Comments I strongly object leasing land on Brickyard Road to MSI. Keep Nick's farm on Brickyard Road. Steven Horowitz

FromName	Alison and Steven Horowitz
FromAddress	hwitz@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	attn: brickyard comments
Body	I strongly oppose the lease with MSI on Brickyard Road. Keep Nick's Farm in its current location. Alison Horowitz

FromName	Amy Bell
FromAddress	bellsrl8@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard site
Body	I DO NOT support the Brickyard Soccer Project. It was done unilaterally, with out community involvement, with out traffic studies, without thought to water runoff, ect. Amy Bell 7412 Brickyard Rd Potomac -- *Amy Bell*

FromName	Amy Bryan
FromAddress	amybryan@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard
Body	Hello, I would like to let you know that I am in support of the brickyard soccer field development. I have been a Montgomery county resident for 41 years. I have three children who play various sports and fields are always sparse. Thank you for your attention. Sincerely, Amy Bryan 13330 query mill rd North Potomac, md 20878 Sent from my iPhone4s

FromName	amy darragh
FromAddress	darraghamy@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Hi--I do NOT support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. Please stop it now! Amy Darragh7705 Hackamore DrivePotomac, MD 20854 Amy Darragh __,_._,___ □□ □ □□

FromName	Ana Belloch
FromAddress	anabelloch@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support for the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I would like to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. Please go ahead with this project! Ana Belloch6217 Stoneham RdBethesada, MD 20817 □□ □ □□

FromName	Andrea Razak
FromAddress	acrazak@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn. Brickyard Comments
Body	To Whom It May Concern: I strongly disapprove of the MSI lease that the county has signed and the secrecy under which it was carried out. The behind-the-door dealings go against everything our Open Meeting laws are supposed to prevent. How in the world can something like this happen in Montgomery County? Please stop this travesty and obey our laws. Andrea Razak 8208 Coach St. Potomac, MD

FromName	Andrea Razak
FromAddress	acrazak@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Road Soccer Project
Body	I do NOT support the Brickyard Road Soccer Project. (This is in response to a mass e-mail I received from MSI)

FromName	Andrew Bennett
FromAddress	hoyafac@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Please Support Soccer fields at Brickyard
Body	Dear Montgomery County representative, As a long-time county resident, I am writing to urge you to support the building of soccer fields at the Brickyard location. Our county can really use more soccer fields in view of the high demand among our children to play this and other sports that use such fields. Thanks for your work on this issue. Regards, Andy Bennett 7213 Maple Ave. Chevy Chase, MD, 20815

FromName	Andrew Bethke
FromAddress	abethke@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Improve our soccer fields
Body	Please help improve our fields in Montgomery County. Thank you. Andrew Bethke 631 Lincoln street Rockville, MD 20850 240-426-2267

FromName	Andrew Lang
FromAddress	alang@langcpa.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attention: Brickyard Comments
Body	Dear sir or Madam, I cannot tell you how strongly I am opposed to the proposed MSI lease of the property currently occupied by Nicks Organic Farm. Aside from the fact that the community was taken totally unawares and thus unable to comment, the idea of putting four soccer fields with all the attendant parking on a two lane street which already has backups many times of the day is simply poor planning. Please do whatever you can to stop this transition from taking place. Thank you very much, Andrew Lang Andrew S. Lang 7815 Brickyard Road Potomac, Maryland 20854-4820 Phone 301-983-3206

FromName	Andrew Quinn
FromAddress	countrysoccer17@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Fields
Body	To whom it may concern, I am in support of the Brickyard Soccer Project. I am thoroughly in support of the development of more improved soccer fields in Montgomery County and I believe the Brickyard Soccer Project is a great opportunity for this. Thank you for your time, Andrew Quinn 10952 Middleboro Dr. Damascus MD, 20872

FromName	Andrew Strauch
FromAddress	ams001@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Hello, I would like to voice my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. With the current lack of soccer fields, scheduling fields is difficult and parking for games is out of control. With the current obesity issues that currently exist with our school age children, having better access to exercise locations such as this is extremely important. Regards, Andrew Strauch 9540 Fox Hollow Dr. Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Ann Melchior
FromAddress	amelchior@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	We Don't Support Soccer on Brickyard
Body	We wish to go on record as saying we do not want private soccer fields placed in our community on Brickyard Road. We are most unhappy with the expedited and secretive manner with which County Executive Leggett has pushed this complex on an unsuspecting community. This process has not been democratic nor transparent. A sad legacy for Mr. Leggett and his staff. Art Gonzales & Ann Melchior 7500 River Falls Drive Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Anna Amar
FromAddress	aamar66@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Please Support the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I believe our community has a desperate need for more and improved soccer fields, and I am writing you today, on the cusp of a new season, to ask you to take this issue seriously. *Please support the Brickyard Soccer Project*, and encourage the council to work toward developing more and better fields throughout the County for our kids to play on. Many thanks, Anna Z. Amar 9913 De Paul Dr Bethesda, MD 20817

FromName	Anna Brown
FromAddress	brownmen@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Dear MoCo Government, Please consider the Brickyard Soccer Project for the youth of Montgomery County to have fields to play soccer in, this is an important part of a great county. Thanks, Anna Brown 9616 Parkwood Drive, Bethesda, MD 20914.

FromName	Anne Fitzgerald
FromAddress	afitz41@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Comments
Body	To Whom It May Concern: As a 35 years resident of Potomac living one block from the proposed commercial sports complex, I strongly object to the building of this commercial development within a neighborhood not designed to support the additional traffic, parking and commercialism. In addition, I am very concerned for the safety of the many children living and playing in this neighborhood. I strongly object to the manner in which Isaiah Legget entered into the contract with Montgomery Soccer, Inc. Sincerely, Anne Fitzgerald Kingsgate Rd, Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	anne killeen
FromAddress	ackilleen@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	MSI/Brickyard
Body	I strongly disapprove of the the MSI lease for the Brickyard County land. The process was flawed. The County residents should have a say in the use of that land. As a public/private venture, the County is not getting the needed value from this transaction. Please note my strong disapproval. Anne Killeen 10512 Bridle Lane, Potomac

FromName	Anne Simcox
FromAddress	annesimcox@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	fields
Body	Please improve our fields!!!! Anne Simcox 217 Watkins Circle Rockville, MD 20850

FromName	Anthony Aquino
FromAddress	aaquino@spectraproducts.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Quick Note
Body	Dear Sir or Ma'am, - As an MSI alumnus (1976-1983) and now an MSI coach, I wanted to voice my support for improving the quantity and quality of Montgomery County Soccer Fields. - MSI is an institution. It taught me the value of sportsmanship and teamwork at an early age. I brought those skills to defend our country as an Infantry Officer in the US Army, as a business leader, and now as a youth sports coach (MSI, RBBA, etc). - Thanks for your consideration. - v/r Anthony Aquino Anthony Aquino 9 Cold Spring Court Potomac, MD 20854

THIS MESSAGE IS ONLY INTENDED FOR THE USE OF THE INTENDED RECIPIENT(S)
AND MAY

CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, PROPRIETARY AND/OR CONFIDENTIAL.

If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any
review, retransmission, dissemination, distribution, copying, conversion
to hard
copy or other use of this communication is strictly prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient and have received this message in
error, please notify me by return e-mail and delete this message from
your system.

FromName	aschuessler5@comcast.net
FromAddress	aschuessler5@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	

Dear Sir/Madam,

I would like to express my total support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. I have lived in Montgomery County for nearly 50 years, and have watched participation in soccer continue to explode, while the quality of fields has steadily deteriorated due to overuse. There is such a level of overuse on ballfields today that no level of maintenance can keep up. Simply put, we need more athletic fields, especially soccer fields, and we need vast improvements in the quality for our kids. Thank you for supporting this project.

Regards,

Doug Schuessler

14 Orchard Way North

Rockville, MD 20854

FromName	aschuessler5@comcast.net
FromAddress	aschuessler5@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To Whom it may Concern, I write today to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. Please help our community create improved conditions for our children to participate in healthy activities that make such a difference in their quality of life. Thank you! Andrea Schuessler 14 Orchard Way North Rockville, MD 20854

FromName	Axley, Milton
FromAddress	AxleyM@MedImmune.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project. I urge you to work to make it happen. Best regards, Milton J. Axley, Ph.D. Parent and coach Phone (301)398-4438 **** Fax (301)398-9438 To the extent this electronic communication or any of its attachments contain information that is not in the public domain, such information is considered by MedImmune to be confidential and proprietary, and expected to be used only by the individual(s) for whom it is intended. If you have received this electronic communication in error, please reply to the sender advising of the error in transmission and delete the original message and any accompanying documents from your system immediately, without copying, reviewing or otherwise using them for any purpose. Thank you for your cooperation. To the extent this electronic communication or any of its attachments contain information that is not in the public domain, such information is considered by MedImmune to be confidential and proprietary. This communication is expected to be read and/or used only by the individual(s) for whom it is intended. If you have received this electronic communication in error, please reply to the sender advising of the error in transmission and delete the original message and any accompanying documents from your system immediately, without copying, reviewing or otherwise using them for any purpose. Thank you for your cooperation.

FromName	B Ray
FromAddress	bray330@earthlink.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Nick's Organic Farm
Body	I understand the need to give kids interesting and physical things to do as alternatives to mischief and worse. BUT an organic farm is just FULL of opportunities to learn and be physical. Too much is happening in this county simply because it is the convenient or easy way to operate. One size fits all is not true, but it does make it easier to produce a design. Variety is what really makes for both learning and quality of life. I have heard it said by some in MoCo that money is what matters and it is more important than quality of life. Really? Barbara Ray 6 High St. Brookeville, MD

FromName	Babil
FromAddress	babil@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Comments
Body	We oppose the agreement for soccer fields with MSI to construct and operate soccer fields on the Brickyard Road public property. We believe it is absolutely outrageous the way the county handled this effort without input from the community. We urge to re-start the process with input from the local community in a transparent, open process. Jane A. Babil Simon Babil 8117 Hackamore Drive Potomac, MD 20854 301-299-8057 / /

FromName	Barb Levy
FromAddress	bplevy@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Project
Body	Please support the building of the soccer fields.

FromName	Barbara Hoover
FromAddress	hooverb@msn.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	DISAPPROVAL of MSI Lease To Whom it May Conern: I strongly object to the manner in which the MSI Lease has been handled. Despite all the promises made by our County Executive and his representatives at public meetings over the past year, this lease has turned out to be the sham we were all afraid it was going to be. It is impossible to imagine that MSI was the only group to answer the RFP - as a matter of fact there were several acceptable and viable alternatives suggested for the use of this land. Additionally, I believe that this lease is being fast tracked due to law suits and pending Bill 11-12. It is completely unacceptable that any more individuals who work for my County's Government pariticipate in this travisty that has completely ignored the legal requirement that you work with the people you serve. I object in whole to the issueance of this lease and request that it be disapproved. Sincerely, Barbara Hoover 7841 Whiterim Ter Potomac, MD 20854 □□ □ □□

FromName	Barbara Richard
FromAddress	barbararichard101@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	brickyard soccer project
Body	This project is key to ensuring our kids have access to practice fields and the information being spread by NIMBY supporters is factually incorrect. PLease support the effort to create new playing fields for the kids. barbara richard 1103 bettstrail way potomac, md 20854

FromName	Barr Snyderwine
FromAddress	BarrSnyderwine@hargroveinc.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	I disapprove of the lease to MSI The traffic will be more than the local roads can endure. It will be dangerous There were no other bids This was given to one bidder who prompted the lease in the first place There are no safeguards on what the fields can be in the future. Public fields are being given to a private entity. [cid:hargrove_orange_xsmall274f6.png] Barr Snyderwine Information Systems and Technology _____ BarrSnyderwine@hargroveinc.com | 301.306.4751 (o) | 202.438.2682 (c) | 301.306.9318 (f) 1 Hargrove Drive, Lanham MD 20706 | www.hargroveinc.com<http://www.hargroveinc.com>

FromName	Barry Gudelsky
FromAddress	bgudelsky@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Road -School Site
Body	I have been a county resident for over 50 years and played multiple sports and coached multiple sports from kindergarten up through high school. These fields are often worn down to stone or gravel. As the county has grown in population it has not kept up with recreational space or quality soccer fields. This is a problem in all counties in the country and Montgomery County is no different. The fields are over used and the maintenance has been a struggle for the county to keep up with. Practices and games are shared by more teams than it can handle. In addition, most new fields have been built up county. This leaves most of us in the Potomac and Bethesda area having to drive very far to play games. I have spent more time driving out of my neighborhood than in it to play soccer games. We need more fields and fields that can be maintained properly. I am not sure how many fields will be built on Brickyard Road but any that can be built, will be a welcome addition to the county in this location. I am in support of soccer fields being approved and built on Brickyard Road. Barry Gudelsky Montgomery County, MD Resident

FromName	Becky Wiese
FromAddress	beckygwiese@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer
Body	To Whom it May Concern, As a huge believer in the power of sport to transform kids' lives, I urge you to help develop more and better fields in the county through the Brickyard Project. Sincerely, Rebecca Wiese 5922 Welborn Drive Bethesda, MD 20186

FromName	Belvin Kim
FromAddress	kimbelvin@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	brickyard Soccer project
Body	Dear Sirs, I do not think that the county should proceed with the soccer project as planned. The public should have been given an opportunity to provide input before the contact was awarded for development. It is very unfortunate that the county has gone ahead with it's plan despite the complete lack of transparency with the public. The county has the opportunity to regain the public's trust by reversing course and allowing the public to comment on what would be a good and appropriate use of this open space and then taking that input to heart before moving forward with any kind of development of the field. Sincerely, Kim Belvin 11606 River Rd, Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Bernadette
FromAddress	zuos@gozuos.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support for the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To whom it may concern, I wish to express my strong support for the Brickyard Soccer Project, and I support your efforts to develop more and better soccer fields throughout Montgomery County. Thank you, Bernadette Gochuico Zuo 11020 Haislip Court Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Bernd Schaefer
FromAddress	bschaefmd@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support for Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Ladies and Gentlemen, We wholeheartedly support the Brickyard Soccer Project to develop more and better fields throughout our County! Sincerely, Bernd Schaefer & Karen Riechert 9914 Old Spring Road Kensington, MD 20895

FromName	Bernie Bernardino
FromAddress	berniebernardino@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To whom it may concern: I would like to *express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project*. The kids in our county deserve a secure place to play soccer and encourage them to get active, productive and fit. Thank you. Hoping for your kind consideration. Ferdinand Bernardino 1334 Main Mews Gaithersburg, MD 20878

FromName	Beth Thomas
FromAddress	erthomas1@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Maintain and create fields for sports
Body	

FromName	Bethany Mancilla
FromAddress	bethany.mancilla@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support of Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am writing to support the development of the Brickyard Road Soccer Fields. I have 4 children and all of them play soccer. It is important for the community to have safe quality fields to support the local need and to encourage our children to want to play and be active. Kind regards, Bethany Mancilla 5411 Lambeth Road Bethesda, MD 20814

FromName	bethesdabhats@gmail.com
FromAddress	bethesdabhats@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer fields
Body	To Whom It May Concern: Please be advised that I strongly support the Brickyard Soccer Project, and I respectfully urge you to develop more and better soccer fields throughout the County. Thank you for all you are doing. I realize your job is particularly difficult in this budget environment and I appreciate your efforts to preserve and improve the quality of luife in Montgomery County. Sincerely, Anita Balachandra 6017 McKinley Street Bethesda, MD 20817 Sent from my BlackBerry® wireless device

FromName	Bettianne Quinn
FromAddress	Bettianneqn@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am writing today to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. Having seen soccer continue to rise in popularity as my children grow it is time for our county to start designating more safe places for the sport. Brickyard is a prime example of one way that we can do this as a cooperative venture between private and public funds. Please support this project. I have seen too many injuries on rocky, bumpy fields and those shared with baseball diamonds. Bettianne Quinn 10952 Middleboro Dr Damascus, MD 20872

FromName	Bill Samuel
FromAddress	billsamuel@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	This is to express objections to the proposed sublease to MSI of farmland on Brickyard Road. The current use of the property is unique in this County. In addition, it has the potential, for which efforts are underway to more fully realize, to be a very valuable educational tool for Montgomery County students in sustainability and farming. There is nothing else in the County which would so well serve the educational purposes for which it is so well suited. And since this was originally a school property, such educational value should be a prime consideration. In contrast, MSI would use it for soccer fields. This is a very conventional use which does not utilize the unique resource developed on the property over a period of 30 years, and would in fact destroy it. Destroying a very valuable unique resource for a purpose which can be fulfilled on properties without such unique properties would be an extremely foolish thing to do. Therefore I strongly urge that the proposed sublease be rejected as clearly contrary to the best interests of the County. Sincerely, William (Bill) Samuel, billsamuel@verizon.net 13114 Fernedge Road, Silver Spring, MD 20906-5342

FromName	Bill.Penzes@rehau.com
FromAddress	Bill.Penzes@rehau.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I Support the Brickyard Soccer Field Project !
Body	Our family supports the MSI efforts to add soccer fields to Brickyard Road in Potomac, MD If the Avenel Community in Potomac just down the street, has learned to coexisit with the the Avenel Local Park that is filled with Soccer fields!, I'm sure that the Brickyard Road community can learn to coexist with nice green grass fields as well. Best Regards, Bill Penzes Potomac, MD

FromName	Bittman, Ann
FromAddress	abittman@hdmanet.org
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am writing to voice my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. We need to develop more and better fields throughout the County. As so many of our children turn to video games, computers, cell phones and TV for entertainment, it is more important than ever to get them outside and exercising. Soccer is the perfect sport for boys and girls to start from a young age and helps them develop and grow into other athletic endeavors. They gain confidence and learn a love of athletics instead of a sedentary lifestyle. I know that is the case with my daughters, ages 10 and 8. Please support the Brickyard Soccer Project! Ann W. Bittman 8101 Kerry Lane Chevy Chase, MD 20815

FromName	Blancaramos7
FromAddress	blancaramos7@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	
Body	We do need better soccer fields for our children.It would also help all the community by having better fields to keep children out of trouble/drugs if they can be intertain sports with nice fields. Blanca Ramos 1213 Edmonston Drive Rockville MD 20851 Sent from my Samsung Epic™ 4G Touch

FromName	BMS Administration
FromAddress	bethesda.montessori@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	more fields please
Body	We'd love more soccer fields, especially down county, which I know is tough. We just don't have enough for the high demand, ans so many times the fields are in poor condition, with barely any grass, or never mowed grass, etc. We strongly support a move to add more county soccer fields! -- Kristie Galic 4606 Maple Avenue Bethesda, MD 20814

FromName	Bo Johanneson
FromAddress	bojohanneson@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccerfields in this County
Body	Dear County government, I think the standard of the soccer fields in this community is desperate and need improvements. I am writing you today to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. I encourage you to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Regards, Bo Johanneson 521 College Parkway Rockville, MD 20850

FromName	Bob Yetvin and Karen Green
FromAddress	yetvin.green@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Hello, I'm writing in support of the Brickyard Soccer Project. Montgomery County needs more and better soccer fields for the children of this county. Youth soccer matches are an important way for families to connect with each other and the community. Thank you, Bob Yetvin 4011 Chevy Chase Blvd. Chevy Chase, MD

FromName	bob.usa@gmail.com
FromAddress	bob.usa@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	BRICKYARD COMMENTS
Body	I strongly oppose the agreement made with MSI. Everything has been done in secret with no community meetings or input as to the best use of the Brickyard property. ROBERT LETENDRE, JD Realtor® Owner/Broker/Agent ePotomacRealty Residential Real Estate Rentals - Investments Property Management Licensed in MD, VA, DC 8601 Brickyard Road Potomac, MD 20854 Phone: 240 389-2220 Fax: 301 576-8080 E-mail: BobUSA@GMail.com Notary@Gmail.com Facebook <http://www.facebook.com/> [image: Picture][image: Picture]

FromName	bobfoose@aol.com
FromAddress	bobfoose@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am writing to express my strong support for the Brickyard Soccer Project, and to encourage the development of more and better soccer fields throughout the County. It is very important to so many of our children, as the fields that we have now are woefully insufficient, and lag dramatically behind those in many of our neighboring counties. Sincerely, Bob Foose 7816 Carteret Road Bethesda, MD 20817 (301) 767-8957

FromName	Brenda
FromAddress	holtbrenda@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer field
Body	I do not support the soccer field Brenda holy 7525 royal dominion drive Bethesda md Brenda P. Holt

FromName	Brenneman, Cynthia
FromAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
ToName	'Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.';Dise, David E.;ORE, DGS
ToAddress	phemmersbaugh@sidley.com;/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINI
Subject	RE: Brickyard Road Property: Comments and Concerns Rega
Body	I'm sorry, but I assume that if your message bounced back from their mailboxes when you sent it, it will also bounce back if I send it. You may want to contact them or their staff people in the same way and ask them to either make room in their mailboxes or provide an alternative mailbox for someone who works for/with them. I, and therefore Mr. Dise, have your comments. Cynthia Brenneman, Director Office of Real Estate Department of General Services 101 Monroe Street, 9th Floor Rockville, MD 20850 phone 240-777-6089 -----Original Message----- From: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A. [mailto:phemmersbaugh@sidley.com] Sent: Friday, April 13, 2012 11:45 AM To: Brenneman, Cynthia; Dise, David E.; ORE, DGS Cc: Paul Hemmersbaugh Subject: RE: Brickyard Road Property: Comments and Concerns Regarding Proposed Development and Use of the Property I will try that, thanks. Will DGS take care of forwarding the comments to Mr. Leggett and the appropriate people in his office (probably addressees on the original email, Mr. Hartman, Ms. Hughes, as well as you and Mr. Dise)? Paul Hemmersbaugh

Sidley Austin, LLP

(202) 736-8538

phemmersbaugh@sidley.com

From: Brenneman, Cynthia
[mailto:Cynthia.Brenneman@montgomerycountymd.gov]
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2012 11:38 AM
To: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.; Dise, David E.; ORE, DGS
Cc: Paul Hemmersbaugh
Subject: RE: Brickyard Road Property: Comments and Concerns Regarding Proposed Development and Use of the Property

Mr. Hemmersbaugh,

I regret that your email seems to have bounced back from some of the Council members' e-mailboxes. Perhaps you can try to contact their individual staff members through this link, found on the County's website:

http://www.montgomerycountymd.gov/csltmpl.asp?url=/content/council/contact.asp

It provides contact information for all Council members. If you look at the far left column and click the Council Members button, it provides access to each member's staff names and their contact information, under Contacts and Directions.

You can then provide your comments though staff e-mailboxes. I hope this is helpful. Thank you for taking the time to offer your comments.

Cynthia Brenneman, Director

Office of Real Estate

Department of General Services

101 Monroe Street, 9th Floor

Rockville, MD 20850

phone 240-777-6089

-----Original Message-----
From: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.
[mailto:phemmersbaugh@sidley.com]
<mailto:%5bmailto:phemmersbaugh@sidley.com%5d>
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2012 11:06 AM
To: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.; Brenneman, Cynthia; Dise, David E.; ORE, DGS
Cc: Paul Hemmersbaugh
Subject: RE: Brickyard Road Property: Comments and Concerns Regarding Proposed Development and Use of the Property

Note that the automated response message indicated that the email did not go through either because the message was too large or because the recipient's email box was full. Given the volume of email County officials are likely receiving concerning this and other issues, the delivery failure may be due to full email inboxes rather than the attachments. In either event, I request that DGS ensure copies of my comments letter are promptly distributed to County council members, the County Executive and responsible staff.

Thank you,

Paul Hemmersbaugh

From: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2012 10:59 AM
To: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.; Brenneman, Cynthia; ocemail@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.andrews@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.ervin@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.navarro@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.rice@montgomerycountymd.gov;

councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Ike.Leggett@montgomerycountymd.gov; Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.; ocemail@montgomerycountymd.gov; kenneth.hartman@montgomerycountymd.gov; jennifer.hughes@montgomerycountymd.gov; david.dise@montgomerycountymd.gov
Cc: Christopher_Barclay@mcpsmd.org; boe@mcpsmd.org; Shirley_Brandman@mcpsmd.org; Phil_Kauffman@mcpsmd.org; Judy_Docca@mcpsmd.org; Laura_Berthiaume@mcpsmd.org; Patricia_O'Neill@mcpsmd.org; Michael_A_Durso@mcpsmd.org; Alan_Xie@mcpsmd.org; Paul Hemmersbaugh
Subject: RE: Brickyard Road Property: Comments and Concerns Regarding Proposed Development and Use of the Property
Importance: High

Dear County Council Members, Executive Leggett, Ms. Brenneman, Mr. Dise, and County government staff and representatives,

Please review the message immediately below. Along with that message, I sent copies of my recently filed comments regarding the Brickyard Road property proposal to each of you for your review and response. I received an automated response from many of your email addresses stating that the size of the attachment (a "PDF" file, which takes substantial data space) is too large to be accepted by your email system. Because I believe it is important that each of you have a prompt opportunity to review my comments and requests, I ask that Mr. Dise, Ms. Brenneman, or other DGS staff provide copies (preferably electronic copies if you are able to deliver larger files) of my comments to the offices of each of the officials and persons addressed in this email (primarily county council members, county executive and staff, and the county board of education). I will also mail a paper copy of the letter to addressees, but I am concerned they may not be delivered soon enough.

Thank you in advance for your assistance with this task, and your consideration of my comments and objections,

Paul Hemmersbaugh

From: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2012 10:38 AM
To: 'ocemail@montgomerycountymd.gov';

'councilmember.andrews@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'councilmember.ervin@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'councilmember.navarro@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'councilmember.rice@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'Ike.Leggett@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'ocemail@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'kenneth.hartman@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'jennifer.hughes@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'david.dise@montgomerycountymd.gov'
Cc: 'Christopher_Barclay@mcpsmd.org'; 'boe@mcpsmd.org';
'Shirley_Brandman@mcpsmd.org';
'Phil_Kauffman@mcpsmd.org'; 'Judy_Docca@mcpsmd.org';
'Laura_Berthiaume@mcpsmd.org';
'Patricia_O'Neill@mcpsmd.org';
'Michael_A_Durso@mcpsmd.org'; 'Alan_Xie@mcpsmd.org'
Subject: Brickyard Road Property: Comments and Concerns Regarding Proposed Development and Use of the Property

Dear County Executive Leggett and staff, County Council Members, and Department of General Services Director Dise,

Attached please find a copy of comments and objections I filed yesterday with DGS concerning the proposed sublease, development, and operation of the Board of Education property on Brickyard Road as a private soccer facility. Because this is an important matter to me and to many of your constituents, I ask that each of you review and respond to the attached concerns, objections, and comments. I have carefully reviewed the documents made available for public review to develop these comments and objections, and I ask that each of you in turn carefully review and provide a written response to my comments. Because many of these comments and objections go directly to the legality and enforceability of the proposed sublease, project, and operations, I urge the the County not to enter a sublease or otherwise go forward with the proposed sublease and project until it has fully addressed the comments and objections set forth in the attachment. To be very clear, I believe that if the County were to proceed with the proposed Sublease and project in its current form and without significant procedural and substantive changes, that action (and the resulting project, agreements, and any development or operation done pursuant thereto) would be arbitrary, capricious, and unlawful.

If you have any questions concerning the attached objections, please contact me. This matter puts at risk not

only the public interest, but the reputation of the County and each of you (County Council members, you have oversight and supervisory duties and powers, as well as independent duties to your constituents and all County residents: asserting that this decision and process rests solely with the County Executive and the Executive branch would be both inappropriate and an abdication of your responsibilities to your constituents and the general public. You may not be able to singlehandedly change the course of this proposal, but you should make your position known and stand up and be counted) concerning fair, reasoned, open, and sound public policymaking; proper stewardship of public lands, and public land management; due process and the rule of law. Failure to reconsider the proposed course of action in light of strong public concerns and opposition to the present proposal would reflect poorly on County government and the quality of governance and policymaking it provides. Thank you for your time, and deliberate consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely,

Paul A. Hemmersbaugh
8112 Coach Street

Potomac, MD 20854

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To comply with certain U.S. Treasury regulations, we inform you
that, unless expressly stated otherwise, any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this
communication, including attachments, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be
used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding any penalties that may be imposed on such
taxpayer by the Internal Revenue Service. In addition, if any such tax advice is used or referred
to by other parties in promoting, marketing or recommending any partnership or other entity,
investment plan or arrangement, then (i) the advice should be construed as written in connection
with the promotion or marketing by others of the transaction(s) or matter(s) addressed in this
communication and (ii) the taxpayer should seek advice based on the taxpayer's particular
circumstances from an independent tax advisor.
****

****
This e-mail is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential.
If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the e-mail and any attachments and notify us
immediately.

****
****

By Email and U.S. Mail
Cynthia Brenneman, Chief
Office of Real Estate
Department of General Services 101 Monroe Street, 9th Floor
Rockville, Maryland 20850

April 11, 2012

Attn: Brickyard Comments

Re: Montgomery County Proposal to Enter Sublease and Development Agreement with Montgomery Soccer, Inc, to sublease parcel of real property located on Brickyard Road.

Dear Ms. Brenneman and Director Dise:

I am a Potomac, Maryland resident and taxpayer who lives with my wife and two children in the River Falls neighborhood, which is adjacent to the County Board of Education property on Brickyard Road (the "Brickyard Property") that Montgomery County proposes to sublease for development and use as soccer fields and parking lots. Pursuant to the Notice issue by the County, I hereby submit objections, comments, and questions concerning the proposed Sublease and related documents, the soccer fields project and development and directly related concerns. I request that, before the County enters any sublease or development agreement for the Brickyard Property, it fully respond to each of the objections, comments, and questions set forth below (to the extent that the County considers only "objections" at this stage, I intend this entire document to consist of objections to the proposed Sublease, ancillary documents and the project and proposal they seek to implement). Where the objections or questions identify a deficiency or ambiguity, I request that the County address that deficiency or ambiguity before proceeding further with a sublease or any further phase of the proposed project.

My primary interest in this matter stems from the fact that my family and I live near the intersection of Coach Street and Brickyard Road, and our home and quiet enjoyment of our property and neighborhood stand to be affected by the proposed transformation of the Brickyard Property from a quiet organic farm to a busy and disruptive soccer venue with multiple fields, crowds, and attendant traffic and safety problems on Brickyard Road and in our adjacent River Falls neighborhood. There are many children in the River Falls neighborhood and no sidewalks in most of the area. Increased volume of "cut-through" traffic (already a problem, but mitigated by the installation of speed bumps on Brickyard Road several years ago) – a likely consequence of the proposed project – poses a very real and heartfelt danger to those children and other residents of the neighborhood.

I also strongly object to the secretive, non-public manner in which County officials negotiated and entered a lease for the property, unilaterally determined how the leased property would be used, and conducted discussions with private soccer organizations concerning the use of the field, all without opportunity for public input or comment. If open meeting laws and policies and transparency in government mean anything, surely they were flouted in this process. This entire process has been an affront to the good government, transparency and public participation of which Montgomery County has been justly proud. Regardless of the merits of the County's legal defense of these covert actions, basic principles of good government, open and democratic public process, and public land planning and development should cause the County Executive and the County Council to rescind the lease and the proposed sublease, and initiate a new, public process of evaluation of the Brickyard Property and its possible uses.

The current phase of the process appears to have been designed to allow the minimum public participation required by law. The information and documents provided for review are incomplete, lacking in important detail, and insufficiently informative to allow meaningful and effective public comment and input. Perhaps most important, the documents and information (including the proposed Sublease, a few proposed exhibits, the RFP and MSI's response to the RFP) made available by the County appear to leave many – perhaps most -- important matters and issues to be addressed and determined in the future (apparently without further public input) by MSI and/or County employees in their unilateral discretion. Effectively, these documents provide a bare bones outline of the general purpose of the Sublease and aspirations of the County for the project, and leave nearly all of the important specific parameters, requirements and limitations of the project and its operation, and the obligations of the parties, to be determined by MSI (or in some cases by MSI in consultation with County employees) and its agents in the future. The dearth of information and details preclude full and meaningful public comment, and may deny area residents due process of law.

Moreover, if these are the only agreements the County intends to enter with MSI (or any other sublessee), it appears that the County is ceding to a private company nearly all responsibility for planning, design, development, and parameters of the project, mitigation of adverse impacts, operations, and maintenance of the fields, parking lots, and amenities, and myriad other aspects of the development and operation of soccer facilities at the Brickyard Property. Either the County has abdicated its responsibility for management, supervision, and oversight of a project on public land, or there must be more detailed plans, requirements, documents, and agreements for the project – either in existence or forthcoming. In either event, the proposed Sublease and other documents made available to the public for comment to date are insufficient to allow meaningful review and comment on the specific parameters of the proposed development and use of the Brickyard Property.

If the parties intend that more specific details and requirements will be developed and negotiated in the future as part of a further design and development process, then public comments at this juncture are not sufficient to allow meaningful substantive public comment and input concerning the proposed project, development, use, operations and maintenance of athletic fields and facilities, and the effects of that project on the public and the larger public interest, including surrounding properties, neighbors, and neighborhoods. I hereby request that, if and when more detailed design, development, use, and operations proposals, terms, and conditions are generated, the County provide that information to the public, and solicit further public comments before the County enters a Sublease or other binding agreement with a private party regarding Brickyard Property, and before it authorizes or allows any proposed athletic field project or development to commence there.

It perhaps should go without saying, but the responsibility of the County government in this and other matters is to consider the interests of all members of the public. Here, the County should not accord undue weight the interests of the relatively small number of people seeking to increase the number of soccer fields for youth soccer, particularly in the relatively remote Potomac area. I suspect that the number of citizens who have voiced support to the County for use of the Brickyard Property as soccer fields is overwhelmed by the number and intensity of those who have voiced their opposition or reservations about the proposal, for a variety of reasons. While this is not the only barometer of the balance of the public interest, it is certainly a strong indicator that should be given substantial weight in the County's ongoing consideration of the proposed project and use of the Brickyard Property.

In addition to the foregoing general objections, comments, and concerns, I have the following specific objections, comments, and questions concerning the Sublease and related documents the County has made available for review. I reiterate my request that the County fully address all of the "objections" set forth in this document before it enters any Sublease or other binding

agreement or arrangement authorizing the development and use of the Brickyard Property for athletic fields and facilities.

I. **County's RFP** ("Request for Qualifications and Development Proposals for the Development, Construction, Maintenance and Operation of Soccer Fields at Brickyard Road, 'Parcel 106, Tax map FN 33' Potomac Maryland 20854 (January, 2012)") – [*Exhibit C1 to materials made available by County on internet]*

1. The RFP Overview section refers to the "License Agreement." Is this the same agreement that is now referred to as the proposed "Sublease and Development Agreement"? If not, what is the License Agreement, and to what does it pertain?

2. The third paragraph states that the "License Agreement" shall be subject to "any other requirements and specifications required by the County for the project." Is this requirement expressly made part of the Sublease or other binding agreement between the County and the Sublessee? If not, how is the obligation to meet "any other requirements and specifications required by the County for the Project" legally binding on (or enforceable against) the Sublessee?

3. Does the provision mean that the Sublease incorporates all of the criteria, conditions, terms, and requirements of the RFP, making them legally binding terms of the Sublease? If not, does the County have a legal right to enforce the terms, conditions, and other provisions of the RFP against the Sublessee?

    a. Are the conditions, terms, and requirements of the RFP otherwise made part of the binding terms of the Sublease? How and where? Does Sublessee MSI agree with the incorporation of all terms and conditions of the RFP to the Sublease?

4. Page 3 states that selected Applicant must meet conditions listed in Section IV and address certain objectives, including:

    a. (4) "Alleviate community concerns regarding traffic and parking." Does this mean alleviating those concerns to the reasonable satisfaction of the community? How has MSI satisfied this objective?

        i. MSI Response to the RFP ("Response") proposed to install 220 parking spaces. Has MSI demonstrated that amount of parking is sufficient? How does the County know whether that will be a sufficient number of parking spaces to accommodate all players, coaches, spectators and others who may use the facility at peak times?

        ii. Does the County have the authority under the Sublease to require MSI to install additional parking spaces (e.g. if necessary to avoid parking on the side of Brickyard Road or on adjacent neighborhood streets?)

        iii. How has MSI otherwise addressed community concerns regarding traffic and parking (construction of parking spaces does not address traffic concerns)? How has the County determined that whatever MSI has done with regard to traffic has "alleviate[d] community concerns" about traffic problems resulting from the proposed soccer fields?

        iv. If the answer to any of these questions is that "MSI intends to take measures to address this in the future," how does this satisfy the requirement of the RFP that the successful applicant must address the enumerated concerns? A statement of

future intention to address an issue – particularly if it is not incorporated into a legally binding agreement – is not addressing the issue.

b. (5) "Alleviate community concerns regarding Storm Water Management and overall environmental impact." How has MSI's Response addressed these issues? How has Montgomery County determined that any such response has "alleviated community concerns"?

c. (6) "Alleviate community concerns regarding fields operation and management including: service hours, noise, security, and maintenance." Has the County determined that use hours from 7 AM to 9 PM "alleviate community concerns" regarding service hours? How?

   i. How has the Response alleviated community concerns regarding noise? It merely states that it will conduct a "noise impact analysis," but does not undertake to take any substantive action whatever to mitigate noise. Objection: this does not alleviate community concerns regarding noise, at most it commits to conducting a procedural study of noise at some indefinite future date. This does not meet the requirements of the RFP.

   ii. Security – Response simply says it will use existing fencing and add interior fencing. Existing fencing is not a real barrier – it does not even keep deer off the farm fields, and certainly will not keep determined persons from entering the fields. Response also claims the fencing will prevent soccer field users (permitted or unpermitted) from parking on neighborhood streets? How? What is to keep field users from parking on streets and walking through the parking lot to the fields?

   iii. Maintenance. Many soccer fields in Montgomery County are poorly maintained. How has the Response alleviated community concerns regarding maintenance?

d. With respect to all of the conditions listed on page 3, has the proposed Sublessee obtained community input or comment? When and how? Has the County obtained community input or comment on those provisions and whether Sublessee has "alleviated" their concerns? How and when?

e. Again with respect to all of the conditions listed on page 3, how will the County ensure that the Sublessee satisfies those conditions? Does the County have any legal right or authority to require the Sublessee to satisfy those conditions? What is the penalty for failure to satisfy those conditions? Termination of the sublease?

5. Section IV. Project Description and Conditions. Again, are these conditions incorporated as requirements of the Sublease or otherwise made legally binding on the Sublessee? How and where?

   a. The project description and conditions on page 6 are extremely general and impose very few specific parameters or requirements, and certainly nothing concerning community concerns like traffic, noise, security, parking, environmental damage or effects, or oversight or enforcement of any such conditions.

      i. Does the County intend to impose any more specific conditions, requirements, terms or conditions on the design, development, operation, and maintenance of a

facility on public (county-owned) land? How, when, and through what process or vehicle?

ii. If the County does not intend to impose any more specific requirements or conditions, does it contend these very general, conceptual parameters discharge its responsibility to ensure that public lands are developed, managed, and maintained in an appropriate manner? How?

b. The third paragraph refers to "other required improvements." To what improvements does that clause refer? Does the County intend to require other improvements? What types of improvements? Does the County believe the Sublessee would be legally bound to make other improvements the County may require (assuming such requirements are not unreasonable)? Based on what authority? The Sublease? If so, what provision of the Sublease? If Sublessee would be required to make such other improvements based on some other binding legal obligation to the County, please describe that obligation and its source.

c. The RFP requires that a proposal <u>must</u> "include a plan to buffer noise." Where in the MSI Response do they provide a specific, substantive plan to buffer noise? If MSI has not provided such a plan, has it complied with this essential requirement of the RFP? How? If not, how is the MSI proposal sufficient to satisfy the minimum requirements of the RFP?

d. Page 7 lists eight conditions that are "imposed on the Project."

i. Are these conditions incorporated to the proposed Sublease as terms and conditions of that agreement? If so, where and how? If not, what legal authority or power does the County have to enforced those conditions or otherwise ensure the project complies with the conditions? NOTE: The following comments in this subsection (d) are based on the assumption that the enumerated conditions are indeed legally binding obligations of MSI or whomever may be awarded the Sublease and development rights. If that assumption is incorrect and the conditions are not legally binding on the sublessee ("selected Applicant"), then the Sublease must be amended to include those requirements as binding conditions. If the conditions are binding or made binding – as they should be -- I maintain the following objections and comments on those conditions. If not, then the general requirements, terms and conditions of the Sublease and the sublessee's legal obligations are wholly inadequate and insufficient to advance and protect the public interest, including the interests of neighboring residents and property owners. Failure to substantially rewrite the Sublease in that event would be failure of the County Executive and County Board to discharge their duties and responsibilities to the citizens of Montgomery County.

ii. Condition 1 – Traffic study. Why is the "applicant" allowed to select the traffic consultant? Objection: The applicant should not be allowed to hire its preferred traffic consultant, who would have incentive to provide the analysis and conclusions favored by the applicant. Instead, I request that the County establish a process for selecting an independent, neutral traffic consultant to conduct the required traffic study and make recommendations for traffic mitigation and control measures. Further, it is essential that traffic mitigation recommended or suggested by the study be required to be implemented – without substantive

implementation requirements, a traffic study is simply an ineffective procedural exercise.

- The Sublease should require the applicant to implement reasonable traffic control measures and other traffic effect mitigation measures recommended by the traffic study (at the applicant/sublessee's expense), as well as any additional traffic mitigation measures the County determines appropriate.

- The Sublease or other document legally binding on the parties should also authorize a separate traffic study or critique of the initial traffic study commissioned by other members of the public, and require that in considering traffic control and mitigation measures, the County consider any such study and provide reasoned and supported reasons for rejection of any recommendations of such a study.

iii. Condition 5 says "no activity will be permitted from 9 PM to 9 AM. This seems to conflict with Section 9 of the proposed Sublease, which allows activity to commence at 7 AM, and requires only "organized play activities" to end by 9 PM. Particularly on weekends, operating hours from 7 AM until some indefinite time after 9 PM (for activities that do not qualify as "organized play activities") start too early and end too late. Twelve hours per day is more than enough time for fields to be open (if preparation time is needed, games could start at 10 AM).

iv. Condition 6 says no more than 5 "tournaments, playoffs, and championship games" may be played on the fields each year. This is ambiguous, as most tournaments and playoffs will have a championship game, and playoffs may be considered a type of tournament. Would a playoff championship game be counted as one, two, or three of the five event limit? In the event of dispute regarding this requirement, who would decide? In the event of a breach of this requirement, what is the County's remedy? A monetary fine or damages? Termination of the sublease?

v. Noise impact analysis (condition number 7) – As with the traffic study condition, the consultant should be an independent neutral, not an entity chosen and hired by the Sublessee, the public should be allowed adequate opportunity for input regarding noise (including their own traffic study should they wish to commission such a study), and the Sublessee should be required to implement noise mitigation recommendations of the study, as well as any other noise mitigations measures the County determines to be appropriate after considering any and all studies and public input.

vi. Condition 8 – for purposes of this project and agreements, how is "permanent structure" defined? Do the parties have a common agreed definition of permanent structure? What is it? Is that definition expressly stated in any agreement between the parties?

6. Section X – Conditions and Limitations (page 12)..

   a. Again, as with all of the terms, conditions, requirements, and limitations of the RFP, it is important for the County to state, clearly and unequivocally:

i. Whether those provisions are incorporated as terms of the Sublease, contractually binding on the sublessee, and if so, how; and

ii. Whether MSI (or any other sublessee) agrees with this construction of the Sublease, RFP, and the parties' agreements, obligations, and responsibilities.

b. The following quoted provision is important, and it is essential that the County state on the record, whether it intends the provision to be incorporated to the Sublease or otherwise legally binding on the Sublessee, and whether it has obtained the Sublessee's agreement concerning the contractually binding nature of the provision:

"Applicants acknowledge that the County reserves the right, in its sole and absolute discretion, to impose on the selected Applicant any terms and conditions, requirements, and specifications (collectively 'Requirements') that the County deems necessary to fulfill its objectives for the project, and that those Requirements will be incorporated into the License Agreement and any other agreements executed by the selected Applicant and the County for the Project."

Based upon that language, I request that the County address the following questions:

i. Is the quoted provision a term of the Sublease agreement? If so, how is it incorporated into the Sublease? If not, how can the County impose this as an essential condition of the RFP process, yet not include it as a term of the contract (whether the Sublease or some other contract) between the "selected Applicant" and the County?

ii. Does the proposed Sublessee (MSI) agree with this interpretation of the agreement and its rights and obligations under that agreement?

iii. If this provision is incorporated into the contractually binding agreement of the parties, may the County impose additional requirements at any time (e.g. after the execution of the Sublease and/or at any time during the term/life of the Sublease)?

iv. If this provision is incorporated to the terms of the Sublease or other legally binding agreement of the parties, is there any condition or limitation on the "other Requirements" the County may impose? If so, what is that limitation, its nature, extent, and source?

v. Has the County imposed any additional Requirements pursuant to this provision? If so, please identify those Requirements. If not, please explain why not.

vi. If the County is unwilling or unable to apply and enforce this provision, it is meaningless. How does the County intend to enforce this provision and/or the additional terms, conditions, or specifications imposed pursuant to this provision? Through fines or penalties for non-compliance? Through termination of the Sublease? Through a breach of contract action? Through some other means or mechanism?

vii. Proposed additional conditions. I propose that the County impose the following additional Requirements, whether through the RFP provision, the Sublease or other authority.

1. The Sublessee shall establish and enforce rules prohibiting users of the Brickyard Property's from parking on adjacent streets or anywhere other than the parking lot constructed by the facility.

a. If Sublessee fails to establish and effectively enforce such rules, it shall be subject to a penalty of $ 100 for each user for each instance in which that user parked is or her vehicle in a location other than the parking lots established at the facility.

b. If the County determines that the number of parking spaces created for the facility is insufficient to provide parking for the vehicles of all users and visitors at the peak period of use of the facility, it shall require the Sublessee to construct, at Sublessee's expense, additional parking spaces sufficient to ensure that all vehicles driven to games, practices at any time are able to park their vehicles in the facility's parking logs and spaces.

2. The Sublessee shall, at its sole expense, implement all traffic and noise control and mitigation measures suggested by traffic or noise studies, or otherwise determined to be appropriate or desirable by the County.

3. The Sublessee shall use its best efforts to discourage its users and visitors from using neighborhood streets (including but not limited to the streets in the River Falls residential development) to travel to or from the Brickyard property. If the County determines that a significant volume of Brickyard visitor traffic is using non-arterial residential streets (i.e. other nearby streets other than Brickyard Road, Falls Road, and MacArthur Boulevard) to travel to or from the facility, Sublessee shall be required to install traffic mitigation and smoothing measures and devices (e.g. speed bumps) on residential streets commonly traversed by users of the Brickyard soccer facility.

4. If the County deems it necessary to control traffic or congestion caused by ingress and egress of users of the Brickyard soccer facility, the Sublessee shall at its sole expense, retain Montgomery County police officers to direct and facilitate the smooth flow of traffic at and near that facility at appropriate times.

5. In the event that use or enter the facility during times it is not being used by Sublessee (MSI) and cause noise, security concerns, or other disturbance, the Sublessee shall take all security measures necessary to terminate that unauthorized activity. Measures the County may require to address such issues include, but are not limited to, retention of on-site security guards for all hours in which the facility is not in operation, and construction of more robust fences, gates, and other physical security measures designed to deny unauthorized access.

II. The Land Lease Agreement (Exhibit B to the Proposed Sublease)

1. Is it the County's position that the terms, conditions, and requirements of the April 19, 2011 Land Lease between the Board of Education and the County (the "Lease") are incorporated by reference as terms of the Sublease? Does the proposed Sublessee agree with this position, and that it is legally bound by applicable terms of the Lease?

2. Section 4 Use. The Lease provides that the Brickyard Property may be used "solely for ballfields and improvements associated with that use . . ."

a. Does this sole use provision allow use of the Brickyard Property for non-ballfield purposes and activities, such as playgrounds, an Organic Agriculture Education Center and Community Gardens, a fitness trail, and a "superior quality field for

causal use such as tossing a Frisbee," as required by the Sublease and the MSI Response/Proposal?

i. If yes, please explain how a provision requiring the that a property be used solely for ballfields and associated improvements authorizes other unrelated uses or improvements.

ii. If no, the County must seek an amendment to the Lease to allow for such "non-ballfield" uses before it may lawfully enter the proposed Sublease or otherwise proceed any further with the proposed project. It is not appropriate or lawful for the County knowingly to enter a sublease or agreement that violates the express terms the lease that grants and delimits its authority to use the property in the first instance.

b. What does the term "ball fields" mean? Although it is not defined in the Lease, in common parlance, it is generally used to refer to baseball fields, not soccer fields. The Lease contains multiple references to "ballfields," but does not make any reference to soccer or soccer fields. In contrast, the proposed Sublease and exhibits use the terms "soccer" and "soccer fields" to refer to the proposed use of the property. Is the County's proposed use of the Brickyard land consistent with the limitation of the Lease to using the property as "ballfields"? Please explain your response and the reason for use of different, potentially inconsistent terms in the Lease and the proposed Sublease.

3. Section 13. Alterations, Additions, and Improvements. This section provides that the County or its licensee may not make <u>any</u> alterations or improvements to the Brickyard Property without the written consent of the Board of Education. Has the County obtained written consent for the proposed development and improvements? If yes, how can it obtain consent to specific improvements when the Sublease and related documents are very general and do not provide for specific improvements?

III. The Proposed Sublease [Proposed "Sublease and Development Agreement Between Montgomery County, Maryland and Montgomery Soccer, Inc.," partially executed by proposed sublessee MSI]

1. Section 1, Subleased Premises.

   a. This provision purports to grant Sublessee "exclusive use" of the Brickyard Property property. How is this consistent with provisions of the Sublease, RFP, and MSI's Response requiring construction of "public amenities," including playgrounds, an Organic Agriculture Education Center and Community Gardens, a fitness trail, a "superior quality field for causal use such as tossing a Frisbee"? *See, e.g.*, Proposed Sublease § 6, MSI Response to RFP Section 3, "Neighborhood Impact."

   b. More generally, what rights will neighbors have to use the fields and amenities (constructed on public land), either free of charge or at a nominal fee?

   c. Is MSI obliged under the Sublease or other binding legal agreement to provide free public access and all of the "public amenities" listed in its Response and in the Sublease? If so, what document or agreement imposes those obligations? If not, why not? Does the County intend to hold MSI to fulfilling the commitments and proposals it made in its Response to the RFP? If so, how? If not, why not?

2. Section 2 Sublease Term. At the expiration of the sublease term, is the sublessee required to return Brickyard Property to its present condition (*i.e.* remove parking lots and soccer fields and make land suitable for other purposes, such as a school)?

    a. If not, is the development really a temporary use of the public property, or a permanent transformation of the property?

    b. Alternatively, does the lack of such a provision shift to the County the responsibility for removing goods, equipment, and alterations of the property as required by Section 15 of the County's Lease with the Board of Education? If so, why should the County and its taxpayers bear the cost and burden of removing improvements and alterations installed by a private sublessee?

    c. Alternatively, does the County have the option of requiring the Sublessee to remove certain "improvements" while allowing others to remain (for further public use) and transferring those improvements along with the property back to the County (without further charge to the County)? If not, why not?

    d. Section 11 appears to contemplate that the property will be returned to the County at the end of the sublease term with all "improvements" intact. If that is an accurate interpretation of that proposed term, I suggest the term be modified to provide that, at the County's option, it may require that any "improvement" made by the Sublessee be removed or retained, without charge to the County. Depending on future uses of the property, this would allow the County to eliminate obstacles to alternative future uses (created by the sublease) without incurring additional costs. Also, please explain how Section 11 of the Sublease is consistent with the post-termination requirements of Section 15 of the Lease.

3. Section 3 – Early Termination. The County should have the right to terminate the Sublease if the Sublessee breaches the Sublease or fails to fulfill any commitments or undertakings it makes in the Sublease, its Response to the RFP, or at other times during the approval process or operation of the proposed facility.

4. Section 4. Renewal Option. The second paragraph provides that, in the event the Prime Lease is extended, the County shall offer a new Sublease to Sublessee. This mandatory provision should be revised to provide that the County may, in its sole discretion (unchallengeable by Sublessee) offer to extend the Sublease in the event that the Prime Lease is extended. It is not reasonable to bind the County today to extending a sublease at a time nine years in the future, given everything that could change (potentially including adverse experience with the Sublessee's development and operation of the property during the term of the initial sublease) over the course of nearly a decade. If the County declines to make such an adjustment to terms of the proposed Sublease, please explain the reason for rejecting such a change.

5. Section 6. Design and Construction of the Improvements.

    a. The agreement provides that the "Sublessee is solely responsible for the design, permitting, and construction of the Improvements, as described in the Proposal and Amendments to the Proposal, which are attached hereto as Exhibit C and incorporated as if fully set forth." (Note that a DGS representative has advised me that "Exhibit C" in the Sublease refers to the combination of Exhibits C-1, C-2, and C-3 in the materials the County provided for public review).

i. Is the "Proposal" referred to in Section 6.A the document labeled Exhibit C2 on the materials the County provided for public comment, consisting of MSI's "Response to [RFP-DP] for the Development, Construction, Maintenance and Operation of Soccer Fields at Brickyard Road . . ." dated March 1, 2010? If not, what does the term "Proposal" refer to, and will it be made available for review?

ii. Does the term "Amendments to the Proposal" refer to the documents labeled Exhibit C3 in the materials the County provided for public comment, consisting of a Letter from MSI Chairman Adam Prill to Montgomery County DGS Capital Project Manager Kassahun Seyoum dated March 19, 2012 and the Letter from Mr. Seyoum to MSI officials dated March 21, 2012? If not, to what does the term "Amendments to the Proposal" refer and will they be made available for review? If Exhibit C3 is what is referred to in the proposed Sublease as "Amendments to the Proposal," are there any other documents included as Amendments to the Proposal? For example, the March 21 DGS letter refers to a DGS letter dated March 14, 2012 and a MSI response letter dated March 16, 2012, which are not included among the materials made available for public review.

    1. Are the March 14 and 16 letters or any other documents, proposals, or agreements considered part of the Amendments to the Proposal or the proposed Sublease? If so, please provide any and all such documents and information for review, and do not enter a sublease or take other steps to implement the proposed project until the public has a reasonable opportunity to review and comment on those letters.

iii. Is it the County's position that the Proposal and Amendments to the Proposal (including but not necessarily limited to Exhibits C1, C2, and C3 provided for comment – which consist of the County's RFP, MSI's Response to the RFP, and the March 19 and March 21 letters referenced above) and terms, conditions, requirements, undertakings, and proposals made therein, are included as binding terms and provisions of the proposed Sublease? *See, e.g.*, Proposed Sublease § 6.A (first sentence states that Sublessee's responsibilities include those described in Proposal and Amendments to Proposal "which are incorporated" by reference to the proposed Sublease)?

    1. If so, does the proposed Sublessee agree with this reading of the proposed Sublease?

    2. If not, what more specific parameters, terms, conditions, and requirements are imposed on the Sublessee by the proposed Sublease or any other legally binding agreement? Section 6, which provides for the design and construction of improvements is defined almost exclusively by reference to the provisions of the RFP, the Proposal, and the Amendments to the Proposal. If the terms and provisions of those documents are not made binding terms of the Sublease, then the sole improvement authorized or required by the Sublease is a public amenity with broad appeal, such as a playground" in Phase I and similar public amenity in Phase II. *See* Proposed Sublease Section 6.A.

- Such lack of specificity about the terms of the proposed Sublease and the rights and obligations of the parties would render the agreement a non-binding "agreement to agree" at some future date, and render the proposed Sublease void and unenforceable.

- At a minimum, the County must ensure that the Sublease either makes clear that the provisions and terms of Exhibits A, B, and C1, C2, and C3 are binding terms of the Sublease, or otherwise significantly revise and supplement the Sublease to directly and expressly include binding terms and conditions governing improvements, operations, maintenance, and mitigation of adverse effects of the project, use, and activities on the surrounding area and neighborhoods, and the Brickyard Property itself.

iv. The Sublease contains effectively no provisions requiring County review, approval, or oversight of the specific features, design, modifications, improvements, or use of the Brickyard Property; or of its operation and maintenance; or of mitigation of adverse effects. Instead, the Sublease grants the sublessee "sole responsibility" and authority for essentially all specific parameters of the facility and its operation, with a few narrow exceptions, such as the County right to inspect work and materials. Effectively, the Sublease establishes very general and vague aims and aspirations for the project and then delegates all authority and responsibility for the specifics and their implementation (or non-implementation) to a private entity, without further meaningful County input, control, or oversight.

- Again, the Sublease appears to abdicate the County's duties concerning the stewardship, management, development, and use of public lands (and the effects of such development and use on surrounding persons and property) by delegating nearly all authority and responsibility -- without requiring County approval – to a private entity. I object to this wholesale transfer of authority and responsibility of public functions and duties (including the police power to protect and preserve public safety, security, and property rights) to a private entity, which cannot be consistent with the duties of DGS, the County Executive, and the County Council to citizens of Montgomery County. I request that the County explain how the transfer of responsibility and authority and failure to provide for oversight and review of the proposed project are lawful and consistent with the responsibilities of the executive and legislative branches of County government to its citizens.

v. At a minimum, the proposed Sublease should be amended to provide for County review, approval, and power to order change or modification of any and all aspects of the design, construction, and operation of the proposed facilities to the extent necessary or appropriate to protect or advance the public interest. Further, the Sublease should be amended to provide that the County may impose, and Sublessee must comply with, any and all reasonable requirements the County finds necessary or appropriate with respect to the design, construction, use, operations, maintenance, and effects of those activities.

b. Section 6.B. Even this *de minimis* right to inspect does not provide the County with express authority to require changes it deems appropriate based upon its inspection of work or materials. This provision should be amended to provide the County not only with inspection authority, but also with enforcement authority to require the Sublessee to remedy or change flaws, errors, or unacceptable design, construction, or operations work or activity.

6. Section 7. Use of Subleased Premises. This paragraph requires that the property "shall be used solely as soccer fields and associated amenities." Objections:

   a. This narrow limit forecloses the use of the fields for other sports, such as lacrosse, football, field hockey, softball, and other sports played outdoors on large grass fields. If the County's goal is to maximize recreational sports opportunities for Montgomery County residents, and to address the "unmet recreational need for high-quality fields in Bethesda and Potomac," (*See* RFP at 3), the fields should be made available for all such recreational needs and not confined to a single sport, a single age group, and a single private vendor. The proposed Sublease should be modified to allow use for sports other than soccer.

      i. The RFP's suggestion that Potomac has "unmet recreational need for high quality fields" is entirely unsupported by the facts, and borders on the absurd. Population density in Potomac is relatively low compared to much of the (non-agricultural reserve areas of) the County. Moreover, both Potomac and Bethesda are relatively affluent and have few significant "unmet recreational needs." Many schools and recreational facilities in the area have soccer fields available for use by Potomac residents. To the extent this area of Potomac has a "need" for soccer fields on public land, that need is satisfied by fields in the adjacent Avenel neighborhood. Further, the Brickyard Property's location, narrow and limited access roads, and the lack of convenient public transportation service from other areas makes it a poor candidate for soccer fields to be used by youth from other areas of the County.

   b. This limit also unduly narrows the scope of uses authorized by the Lease, which provides for the use of the property as "ballfields," which surely includes fields for all sports played with balls (and without participant age limitations). *See* Lease § 4 (leasing land for use as "ballfields and improvements associated with that use . . ."). Please explain why it is reasonable or appropriate for the County to: (i) narrow the uses authorized by its Lease; and to (ii) limit the use of athletic fields on County land to youth soccer only.

   c. The same paragraph further provides that the Property must be used "only for, and strictly in conformance with, the Prime Lease." As discussed above, the Prime Lease does not appear to authorize other uses contemplated by the parties agreements, such as playgrounds, an organic garden and education center, fitness trails, etc. Thus, it appears the requirements of the proposed Sublease, as well as promises made by the County to area residents, are in direct conflict with the Lease. *See, e.g.,* County Executive Response to Community Questions Regarding Dual Use."; Exhibit C3 (letter amendments providing in several places for several acres to be developed and use as grassed recreational area, community gardens and agricultural education, and playground equipment). The Lease must be amended to allow the other uses, before

the County enters the Sublease or proceeds any further with the proposed use of the Brickyard Property.

d. The second paragraph of Section 7 states limits to five per year the "number of tournaments, playoffs, and championship games to be played on the" Property. As discussed in the RFP comments and objections, this limitation as originally articulated was ambiguous. Similar ambiguous language is included in the March 21 letter amendment, Item 2. The addition of a provision exempting from the limitation "any games played by MSI teams" compounds the ambiguity. For example, are tournament, playoff, or championship games played by MSI teams included in the 5 game limit? If not, why not? This provision should be re-written to eliminate ambiguity and the resulting potential for confusion and disputes about its meaning and application.

7. Section 9. Hours of Operation. As discussed above, 12 hours of operation per day are more than enough. The proposed Sublease should be amended to provide that any and all activity at the site is banned between the hours of 9 PM and 9 AM.

    a. The proposed Sublease does not state who is responsible for ensuring compliance with the hours of operation limitations. Other soccer fields in the County have had repeated problems with use at unauthorized times and by unauthorized users. The proposed Sublease should be amended to provide that the Sublessee is responsible for policing the facility to ensure that hours of operation and other use and operation requirements are not violated, and that repeated failure to ensure compliance with those requirements shall constitute a breach by the Sublessee.

8. Section 13. <u>Repairs</u>. A number of soccer fields in the County are poorly maintained. How will the County ensure that the Sublessee complies with its maintenance and repair obligations at the Brickyard Property? Will County employees conduct periodic inspections of the fields and facility at reasonable intervals?

9. Section 15. <u>Services and Operating Expenses</u>.

    a. Section 15.B grants the Sublessee "full and complete authority to manage" the improved property, "subject to policies determined by the Sublessee." Again, this is a public property, and rules for its use should be established by the County. Further, the management of the facility – including satisfaction of reasonable requirements to mitigate the effects of the facility (noise, traffic, parking, security, environmental damage, etc.) on surrounding property and residents – should be subject to review, supervision, and modification by the County. Granting the powers both to establish and to enforce (or not) rules for the facility to a non-government entity is not reasonable or prudent. And, it would be a further abandonment of the County's responsibility to ensure proper use and management of this public property and to mitigate negative spillover effects on the surrounding residents and property. At a minimum, this provision should be modified to provide: (i) that the Sublessee must submit proposed rules, policies, and procedures to the County for review and the County may require Sublessee to make any changes it deems appropriate, necessary, or reasonable; and (ii) Sublessee is responsible for fairly administering rules and policies approved by the County, and managing the property in accordance with those policies, subject to County supervision, oversight, and direction.

b. Section 15.C should be amended to provide that failure by Sublessee to pay reasonable operating expenses necessary to maintain the property shall constitute a breach of the Sublease.

10. Section 17.F. The Sublease should articulate what is included in "on site management" of the property. Such management should include traffic control and management and establishment and enforcement of rules and measures to: prevent user or visitor parking on Brickyard Road or any of the surrounding streets; prevent traffic congestion on Brickyard Road attributable to facility users, and prevent "cut-through" visitor traffic in River Falls and other nearby residential areas; prevent excess noise or other activities disturbing the quiet enjoyment of residents of nearby streets and communities; and prevent use of the property or facilities by unauthorized users or at unauthorized times (e.g. between 9 PM and 9 AM).

11. Section 33. Entire Agreement clause. Does the County intend that this clause incorporates as binding terms of the Sublease, all provisions, terms, conditions, promises, undertakings, and proposals set forth in the Exhibits to the Sublease (including but not necessarily limited to the RFP, the Response to the RFP, the Lease, and all letters between MSI and the County concerning this project dated in March 2012)? Does MSI agree with this interpretation?

    a. If the answer to either of the two immediately preceding questions is "no," it is essential that the Sublease be amended either to include those provisions and requirements in the text of the Sublease itself or to expressly state which provisions, conditions, proposals, and undertakings are and are not part of the parties binding sublease and contractual agreement. This must be done *before* the County enters the Sublease or otherwise allows the project to move forward.

    b. This "Entire Agreement" clause also highlights the importance of ensuring that all necessary and appropriate provisions, requirements, terms, and limitations are included in the Sublease before it is consummated. The County must ensure that all necessary parameters of the facility, its design, construction, operation, use, and maintenance, as well as full mitigation of negative effects of the proposed new recreational fields and facility, are clearly and expressly provided for in the Sublease or documents incorporated by that Sublease.

        i. Any parameters, terms or requirements that reasonably cannot be determined prior to execution of the Sublease (there should be relatively few – the current draft omits many essential details, specific requirements, terms, and conditions that should be added before any sublease is entered) should be expressly noted and subject to express procedures and requirements for County review and approval under standards that are clear and sufficient to protect and advance the public interest.

        ii. There should be no provisions that constitute an "agreement to agree" in the future.

12. Modifications or amendments. *See* Proposed Sublease § 34. During the course of public meetings and sessions concerning this project, as well as in several written documents, representatives of the County (including the County Executive and DGS officials and employees) have made promises and undertakings concerning the construction and operations of the proposed recreational fields and facilities. In order to ensure that those promises and undertakings are honored and are not vitiated by amendment of the Sublease (a result that a number of concerned area residents fear may be probable), the proposed

Sublease should be modified to make certain requirements express, permanent, and unmodifiable. Such provisions include, but are not necessarily limited to:

a. A prohibition of any field lighting and games or activities after dusk or 9 PM, whichever is earlier, or before 9 AM.

b. A prohibition of the installation or use of any public address system or the use of any system of amplification of any kind on the field.

c. Prohibition of installation, or use of any artificial or synthetic turf fields or facilities.

d. Requirement that the Sublessee construct and maintain enough parking spaces to accommodate the vehicles of all visitors (participants, spectators, officials, etc.) at the peak hour of vehicle volume in any year, plus 10 percent. This should include on-site parking sufficient to accommodate for any and all buses, vans, or other large vehicles that transport people to the fields. The provision should require that, if vehicle volume causes the number of parking spaces to be insufficient to allow all visitor vehicles to park at the facility, the Sublessee must promptly (in no more than 30 days) install – at its sole expense – additional parking spaces sufficient to meet that additional peak volume.

The foregoing requirements should be made express, clear, absolute, and unmodifiable terms and conditions of the Sublease. The provision should further make clear that failure to abide by any of those terms and conditions constitutes a breach of the Sublease that will result in automatic termination of the Sublease. If either of the parties is concerned that, at some point in the future, it may not be able to comply with these absolute requirements and prohibitions, the Sublease should provide that, if either party determines that it cannot comply with any of these absolute and unmodifiable conditions, it may unilaterally terminate or withdraw from the Sublease without penalty or being held in breach of the Sublease.

One way to accomplish this result might be to enumerate these specific absolute and immutable requirements and conditions in an appropriate place in the text of the Sublease (for purposes of illustration only, I'll refer to these provisions as V, W, X, Y and Z), and then revise the existing Modifications paragraph to provide an exception using language along the following lines:

"Exception. The parties agree that, consistent with promises they have made to concerned Montgomery County citizens, the prohibitions and requirements set forth in V, W, X, Y, and Z shall not be substantively amended, modified or revised. Any substantive modification or amendment of those enumerated provisions shall immediately render this entire Sublease (except for termination provisions) null and void, terminate the Sublease, and obligate the parties to perform the actions and obligations required by this Sublease upon its expiration or termination. If, at any time during the term of this Sublease, a party determines that it cannot perform under this Sublease without a substantive change to one or more of provisions V, W, X, Y, or Z, it may – upon 30 days written notice to the other party – withdraw from and terminate the lease. Such withdrawal and termination shall not be considered a breach of this Sublease, and shall not be ground for a breach of contract claim or action by either party."

13. ***Requests for Substantive Changes to the Agreement***. Neighbors of the Brickyard Property are justly concerned that the proposed recreational fields may result in traffic congestion and safety problems both on Brickyard Road and in adjacent neighborhoods. Brickyard Road and Falls Road are the sole avenues of entry to the River Falls neighborhood (as well as

adjacent Rock Run, Brent Road, Woodrock, and Potomac Falls neighborhoods) and traffic blockage or congestion on those roads could have a significant negative effect on area residents. In order to address that reasonable concern, I request that a provision be added that requires the Sublessee to implement any traffic control or mitigation measures recommended or suggested by an independent, neutral third-party traffic consultant, as well as any additional measures determined to be appropriate by the County.

a. The present proposed agreement appears to contemplate that a traffic study will be conducted only if it is otherwise required by existing County regulations, rules, or law. The proposed Sublease should be amended to <u>require</u> a full and complete traffic study, conducted by an independent neutral traffic consultant selected by the County and paid for by the proposed Sublessee.

b. In addition to all standard traffic study reviews, procedures, and evaluations conducted in a full traffic study for a proposed project or development, the Study should be required to address and make recommendations concerning the following:

    i. Whether any changes or improvements to Brickyard Road or Falls Road are appropriate or desirable to mitigate traffic congestion, volume, or safety issues that may result from the proposed facility and its operations. Such changes might include widening of Brickyard Road in the area leading to the points of ingress and egress to the facility and parking area, to allow cars to turn in to the facility without blocking through traffic; and adding turn lanes to the intersection of Falls Road and Brickyard Road, again to allow turns without blocking through traffic on these two-lane roads.

    ii. Whether improvements, traffic calming measures or other changes may be necessary or appropriate at affected intersections (including but not necessarily limited to the intersections of Brickyard Road and: (i) Falls Road, (ii) McArthur Boulevard; (iii) River Falls Road; (iv) Hackamore Street (2); (v) Coach Street; (vi) Horseshoe Lane (2); (vii) Stable Lane; (viii) Kingsgate Road; and (ix) Brent Road). Such changes might include interchange improvements or measures to discourage speeding and "cutting through" residential neighborhoods such as speed bumps at the point where each residential street intersects Brickyard Road, or regulations prohibiting through traffic through those neighborhoods and signage advising motorists of those prohibitions.

c. Finally, the Sublessor should be required to implement – at its own expense -- the traffic control and mitigation measures recommended by the traffic study, unless the Sublessor can demonstrate that the recommendations are arbitrary, capricious, or irrational.

d. Because some improvements may be involve significant costs, the proposed Sublease should further provide that the Sublessee may withdraw from the Sublease and the project without penalty or breach if it determines recommended traffic mitigation and control measures would be too expensive.

IV. <u>The Proposal or Response to the RFP</u> (Proposed Sublease appears to refer to this as the "Proposal" – See Proposed Sublease 6.A), Exhibit C2 to Sublease in documents provided by County for public review. Many of the comments and concerns expressed with respect to the proposed Sublease, the RFP, and the Lease are applicable to the Response/Proposal (for clarity and consistency, I will refer

to Exhibit C2 as the "Proposal" for the remainder of these comments and objections). Those relevant comments and objections are generally not repeated here, and instead are incorporated by reference to these comments and objections concerning the Proposal.

1) As discussed several times above, does the County intend that the Proposal be made part of the Sublease and that the terms, provisions, and undertakings of the Proposal are binding terms and conditions of that proposed Sublease? Does the proposed Sublessee agree?

a) If the answer to either of those questions is "no" or "we do not know," then the proposed Sublease must be modified to include relevant terms and provisions of the Proposal. The remaining comments concerning the Proposal are based on the assumption that its provisions would be binding terms of the proposed Sublease and agreement between the County and MSI.

b) If the provisions of the Proposal are not made binding provisions of a legally binding Sublease or agreement, what legal power or authority would the County have to require the Sublessor to comply with the undertakings, representations, proposals, and promises of the Proposal?

2) Concept Statement (Section 2). Like the RFP, Proposed Sublease and other documents available for comment, all provisions of this section of the Proposal are far too general and lacking in specifics to allow full meaningful evaluation. As objected above, if the County does not intend to enter any more detailed or specific Sublease or agreement with the developer and operator, the Proposed Sublease and its exhibits are woefully inadequate to discharge the County's duties and responsibilities with respect to the review, supervision, regulation, and oversight of private uses of public land. By the same token, those documents are wholly lacking in the level of detail and specific requirements and provisions required to allow meaningful public evaluation and comment on the proposed project, facility, and operation. Without waiving that strong objection, I submit the following comments and objections concerning the general provisions of the Proposal.

a) The "Concept Statement" (Section 2) briefly proposes several general phases, during which the project would be designed, constructed, and operated. Because these phase labels are essentially meaningless without their substantive details, they offer no meaningful opportunity to comment or provide input.

i) Will the public will be given opportunity to comment and be heard on the actual plans and proposals for each of the proposed phases set forth in the Proposal, *i.e.* when the Sublessee puts some substantive "meat" on the extremely bare bones of the proposed phases? If not, I object strenuously and request an explanation. Please include in your explanation how you contend the documents provided for review by the County constitute a meaningful opportunity to review, evaluate, and provide input concerning the substance and specific parameters of the proposed project and operations, given that the documents provide few to no substantive details concerning the project.

ii) If there will be not be further opportunity to comment on the project as specific details and parameter of the project are developed or made public, I object to this process as inadequate, arbitrary and capricious, and unlawful.

b) The very general "Site Plan" and schematic drawings are expressly described as "preliminary" and "conceptual" and as "require[ing]" future revisions. Once again, such general, preliminary, and entirely changeable project outlines provide very little basis for meaningful review or comment. And again, in order to allow meaningful comment on the actual detailed plan and proposal, the County must allow comments at each significant stage of the evolution that Plan and proposal.

c) The Site Plan states that the Sublessor will make available approximately 3 acres for a community garden and organic educational center. Other documents suggest only 2 acres will be required. If the Proposal undertakes to provide 3 acres and other documents suggest only two acres, which requirement is binding on Sublessee (must it provide 2 or 3 acres for the organic garden and education facility)?

d) Parking spaces. The Proposal undertakes to provide "approximately 220 parking spaces" for visitors. How does the proposed Sublessee or the County know how many parking spaces will be necessary, or if 220 spaces will be adequate? The proposed Sublease should be amended to require the construction of parking spaces sufficient to accommodate all visitor vehicles on the peak vehicle volume hour of the year plus 10 percent, perhaps with a floor of no fewer than 220 spaces. The proposed Sublease should be further amended to require the Sublessor promptly to install additional parking spaces in the event that peak vehicle hour volume is higher than anticipated or increases during the term of the Sublease.

3) Section 2.B, Budget. MSI states that its budget projection is predicated on four assumptions, the first of which is "MSI will not be responsible for improvements to Brickyard Road or its intersections beyond what exists at the the time of this submittal."

i) Does the County agree that while the Proposal says its pro forma budget is based on this assumption, the County does not intend that assumption to constitute a binding contractual (Sublease) requirement or condition that MSI will not be required to pay for improvements or modifications to Brickyard Road, intersections, or other traffic control or mitigation measures necessitated by the project? If the County or MSI intends this pro forma budget estimate assumption to be a binding requirement of the proposed Sublease or agreement, the proposed Sublease must be amended to clarify that this is not a requirement, term, or condition of the sublease. Indeed, as requested in comments regarding the Sublease document above, the Sublessor should be required to pay for any and all road improvements made appropriate, reasonable, or necessary by its proposed project (including any and all road improvements or other traffic control and mitigation measures recommended by a neutral objective traffic study).

4) Section 3, "Neighborhood Impact"

a) MSI states that it will conduct a noise impact study, but does not undertake to implement noise mitigation measures to address any noise impacts identified in that study. The proposed Sublease should be amended to require not just a study, but implementation of measures to fully address any noise impacts.

b) Traffic study. MSI summarizes the results of a preliminary traffic analysis it commissioned.

i) As previously stated, a full and comprehensive traffic study should be conducted by a neutral objective qualified traffic consultant, not a consultant selected by the Sublessee.

ii) Also as previously requested, the Sublease should be amended to require the Sublessor to implement any traffic control or mitigation measures recommended by a comprehensive traffic study (including road improvements) as well as any other traffic control measures required by the County.

c) MSI states that it "proposes" no field lighting, no public address system, no synthetic turf fields, and fencing sufficient to prevent unauthorized persons from accessing the facility. As discussed in greater detail above, the proposed Sublease should be revised to expressly prohibit field lighting, public address system, and synthetic turf fields, and to require fencing or other measures sufficient to prevent unauthorized access or use of the fields and facility. *See* Comments on Sublease, item 12. Importantly, to alleviate community concerns and suspicions, those particular provisions should be made absolute and unamendable. *See id.* (pp 16-17 *supra*).

V. Amendments to the Proposal, Exhibit C3. This consists of a letter from MSI to DGS dated March 19, 2012, and a response letter from DGS to MSI dated March 22, 2012.

1) What is the purpose or effect of the March 19 MSI Letter? It states that it is merely an "illustration" and that the site maps are only "conceptual." It appears this does not establish any binding contractual terms of the Sublease. Is this correct? If not, what binding terms is it intended to establish? How?

2) March 21 Letter from DGS to MSI.

a) Item 1 – again, the proposed Sublease should be revised to provide that if the Lease is extended, a new Sublease may (or may not) be offered to Sublessee.

b) Item 3 – again, the limit on tournaments, playoff games, and championships is vague and ambiguous, and the language should be modified to clearly state the limitation.

c) Item 4 states that the "budget" for Phase I work is that stated in the March 19 letter. But the March 19 letter simply purports to be providing an "illustration" of a possible budget, not a hard and fast budget? And, the illustrative budget number has been transformed to a trigger for the Sublessee's right to withdraw from the sublease agreement (if expenses exceed that amount). Is this what the parties intend?

d) Item 5. As previously stated, 12 hours per day is more than sufficient. The Sublease should be modified to return to a 12-hour per day (9 AM to 9 PM) maximum. If warm-up or set-up time is required, it should commence at 9 AM, not any earlier.

e) Item 6. "Revised conceptual plan" references MSI March 19 letter attachment 1 as the basis for Phase I development tasks. The only attachments to that letter provided in the documents made available for review were two conceptual drawings that are barely readable and do not seem to provide the elements listed in Item 6. With respect to the elements of Item 6, I have the following objections and comments.

(i) They are all described as "conceptual" and thus do not appear to be final. At the same time the March 21 letter states that Phase I "will include" the listed elements – this sounds like a binding requirement and direction, not a preliminary concept. Again, if these are the final "detailed" requirements of the Sublease, they are far too general and do not impose significant specific requirements, and thus are wholly inadequate to discharge the County's responsibilities. And, they do not allow full and adequate review and comment. If these are not intended as the final details and requirements, I reiterate my request that public comment be allowed whenever significant elements of the proposal are finalized and made public, and in all events before any of the proposed work is commenced.

(ii) Item i. States that the two fields "likely will be moved forward on the site." This provides an illustration of how the provisions of the proposed Sublease made available for comment are entirely insufficient to allow meaningful and informed review and comment. For example:

- What direction is "forward" on the Brickyard Property? (compass directions would have been more useful)

- How far "forward" will the fields be moved in comparison to the conceptual reference drawing in the March 19 MSI letter?

- How will that moving forward affect the location of other improvements and features of the proposed facility, such as the parking area, amenities, ingress and egress points, the playground and organic garden and educational facility, etc.?

- If it affects ingress and egress, how might that affect traffic flow and potential traffic problems?

- Does the County (DGS) have a right to review and approve or disapprove the location or "movement" of the location of the fields? Where does the proposed Sublease provide for any such review and approval (not simply for compliance with pre-existing generally applicable regulations by other county agencies)?

- How are "full-sized fields" defined? Have the parties agreed on the dimensions and required features of full-sized fields (e.g. what type and parameters of seating areas and buffer spaces, what type and dimensions of fences, etc.)? Where are those and similar details provided in the Sublease? Same comments apply to Phase 2 provision for a "third full-sized field" or "two half-sized fields." How are those terms defined? Is it left to the Sublessee's discretion to determine the parameters of such fields or will the County review and approve (or disapprove) proposed parameters?

(iii) Item ii. How do the parties know at this juncture how many parking spaces will be necessary? As requested above, the proposed Sublease should be revised to require that, after a full objective traffic study is completed, the estimated peak hourly number of vehicles visiting the facility (because soccer games often last more than an hour and players and spectators do not arrive and depart immediately at the beginning and end of each game, the peak number of cars at the facility will likely substantially exceed the estimated peak volume of cars entering or leaving the facility in a single hour).

(iv) Item iii. "Ingress and egress" This item is singularly unilluminating. Unless the facility is going to be unused, it will require ingress and egress. But this element requires nothing more than "ingress and egress," providing no parameters, guidelines, or requirements (not even preliminary or conceptual requirements) whatsoever.

(v) Item ix. What sort of a pavilion may be installed? What would be its purpose or permissible use?

(vi) Item xii. Additional parking "as deemed necessary." As deemed necessary by whom and according to what criteria?

* * * *

In sum, the proposed Sublease and other agreement documents made available for review are incomplete and inadequate to allow the sort of public review and comment required by law and sound public policy and decisionmaking regarding public resources. The proposed Sublease (and "development agreement") is little more than a bare bones general outline of conceptual plans, general

aspirations and minimal requirements, terms and conditions regarding the actual design, construction, and operation of the facility, or measures to mitigate the effects of the proposed facility and operations on the the people who live in the surrounding communities. In terms of specific details, binding requirements and parameters for the actual development and operation of the field, the Sublease documents contain little more than the County's transfer of its lease rights to a private entity for purposes of constructing and operating a soccer facility for a nominal fee, admonition to comply with generally applicable laws and requirements, and delegation of nearly all further authority and responsibility for the project, its parameters, and operation – constructed and operated on public land – to a private entity. The proposed Sublease depicts an underdeveloped (at least in the documents made available for review), underexamined, underscrutinized, and essentially unsupervised project on public land, which the County is treating as essentially a turn-key operation, entirely outsourced to a private company to conduct and operate as it wishes, subject to very minimal general requirements and vague guidance.

Moreover, and of more specific, direct, and immediate concern to my family and I and our neighbors, the proposed Sublease and agreement provides very little legally binding or enforceable protections or requirements for mitigation of adverse effects for area residents. Instead, it offers aspirational language encouraging consideration of the concerns of residents and precatory language without specific requirements, enforcement provisions, or other "teeth" to require meaningful measures to address the real and legitimate concerns of residents. We deserve more from our County government than this superficial set of documents and token opportunity to comment on a proposal that has yet to be developed. This is particularly disappointing in light of the covert way the County and the Board of Education effected the transfer ("lease") of the land and attendant narrow designation of permissible use, in a process conducted without public knowledge or input. The County disclosed what was essentially a done deal – and the very narrow and restrictive terms of that deal that favored a single private interest -- only after it was too late to change the decision. The County (DGS) now appears to be on the verge of exacerbating that error by hastily entering a fundamentally flawed agreement that fails to adequately protect the interests of area residents.

In order to partially rectify this situation and afford some level of meaningful public participation in this process, I reiterate three basic requests: 1. That the DGS carefully consider and fully respond to each and every one of the questions, comments, and objections set forth in this document, and where it finds that changes or revisions are warranted, to make them; 2. That the County (DGS) not enter a Sublease or authorize the proposed project and operation until more details are made available and the public has ample opportunity for comment on more specific and detailed project proposals, and the County has an full opportunity to consider that public comment and input; and 3. In all events, the County insist on specific, binding, and enforceable terms and conditions on the project and operation of the proposed facility that adequately protect the interests and rights of area residents, and mitigate the effects of the project and operations on those citizens. If the County is unwilling or unable to comply with these reasonable requests, I ask that it withdraw its RFP and terminate any further consideration of the proposed project.

Thank you in advance for your time and careful consideration of and responses to these objections and comments. Unlike some area residents, I am not inalterably opposed to the use of the Brickyard Property, or some portion of it, for athletic fields. Instead, I am asking that the County fully discharge its responsibilities by authorizing any such project only after full and adequate consideration and examination of a complete and detailed project plan and proposal; and after adopting appropriate modifications and requirements to protect the interests of all of the public, particularly those who would be most acutely affected by the project – area residents.

I think this is an important and potentially telling test, not only of DGS, but also of the County Executive and the County Council and their ability and willingness to act in a deliberate, sound, and wise manner that balances the interests of all members of the public. Accordingly, I am copying the County Executive, and members of the Council with these objections and comments.

Sincerely,

/s/

Paul A. Hemmersbaugh

Cc: David Dise
County Executive Leggett
County Council Members

FromName	Brenneman, Cynthia
FromAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
ToName	'Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.';Dise, David E.;ORE, DGS
ToAddress	phemmersbaugh@sidley.com;/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINI
Subject	RE: Brickyard Road Property: Comments and Concerns Rega
Body	Mr. Hemmersbaugh, I regret that your email seems to have bounced back from some of the Council members' e-mailboxes. Perhaps you can try to contact their individual staff members through this link, found on the County's website: http://www.montgomerycountymd.gov/csltmpl.asp?url=/content/council/contact.asp It provides contact information for all Council members. If you look at the far left column and click the Council Members button, it provides access to each member's staff names and their contact information, under Contacts and Directions. You can then provide your comments though staff e-mailboxes. I hope this is helpful. Thank you for taking the time to offer your comments. Cynthia Brenneman, Director Office of Real Estate Department of General Services 101 Monroe Street, 9th Floor Rockville, MD 20850 phone 240-777-6089 -----Original Message----- From: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A. [mailto:phemmersbaugh@sidley.com] Sent: Friday, April 13, 2012 11:06 AM

To: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.; Brenneman, Cynthia; Dise, David E.; ORE, DGS
Cc: Paul Hemmersbaugh
Subject: RE: Brickyard Road Property: Comments and Concerns Regarding Proposed Development and Use of the Property

Note that the automated response message indicated that the email did not go through either because the message was too large or because the recipient's email box was full. Given the volume of email County officials are likely receiving concerning this and other issues, the delivery failure may be due to full email inboxes rather than the attachments. In either event, I request that DGS ensure copies of my comments letter are promptly distributed to County council members, the County Executive and responsible staff.

Thank you,

Paul Hemmersbaugh

From: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2012 10:59 AM
To: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.; Brenneman, Cynthia; ocemail@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.andrews@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.ervin@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.navarro@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.rice@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Ike.Leggett@montgomerycountymd.gov; Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.; ocemail@montgomerycountymd.gov; kenneth.hartman@montgomerycountymd.gov; jennifer.hughes@montgomerycountymd.gov; david.dise@montgomerycountymd.gov
Cc: Christopher_Barclay@mcpsmd.org; boe@mcpsmd.org; Shirley_Brandman@mcpsmd.org; Phil_Kauffman@mcpsmd.org; Judy_Docca@mcpsmd.org; Laura_Berthiaume@mcpsmd.org; Patricia_O'Neill@mcpsmd.org; Michael_A_Durso@mcpsmd.org; Alan_Xie@mcpsmd.org;

Paul Hemmersbaugh
Subject: RE: Brickyard Road Property: Comments and Concerns Regarding Proposed Development and Use of the Property
Importance: High

Dear County Council Members, Executive Leggett, Ms. Brenneman, Mr. Dise, and County government staff and representatives,

Please review the message immediately below. Along with that message, I sent copies of my recently filed comments regarding the Brickyard Road property proposal to each of you for your review and response. I received an automated response from many of your email addresses stating that the size of the attachment (a "PDF" file, which takes substantial data space) is too large to be accepted by your email system. Because I believe it is important that each of you have a prompt opportunity to review my comments and requests, I ask that Mr. Dise, Ms. Brenneman, or other DGS staff provide copies (preferably electronic copies if you are able to deliver larger files) of my comments to the offices of each of the officials and persons addressed in this email (primarily county council members, county executive and staff, and the county board of education). I will also mail a paper copy of the letter to addressees, but I am concerned they may not be delivered soon enough.

Thank you in advance for your assistance with this task, and your consideration of my comments and objections,

Paul Hemmersbaugh

From: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2012 10:38 AM
To: 'ocemail@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'councilmember.andrews@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'councilmember.ervin@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'councilmember.navarro@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'councilmember.rice@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'Ike.Leggett@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'ocemail@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'kenneth.hartman@montgomerycountymd.gov';

'jennifer.hughes@montgomerycountymd.gov';
'david.dise@montgomerycountymd.gov'
Cc: 'Christopher_Barclay@mcpsmd.org'; 'boe@mcpsmd.org';
'Shirley_Brandman@mcpsmd.org';
'Phil_Kauffman@mcpsmd.org'; 'Judy_Docca@mcpsmd.org';
'Laura_Berthiaume@mcpsmd.org';
'Patricia_O'Neill@mcpsmd.org';
'Michael_A_Durso@mcpsmd.org'; 'Alan_Xie@mcpsmd.org'
Subject: Brickyard Road Property: Comments and Concerns Regarding Proposed Development and Use of the Property

Dear County Executive Leggett and staff, County Council Members, and Department of General Services Director Dise,

Attached please find a copy of comments and objections I filed yesterday with DGS concerning the proposed sublease, development, and operation of the Board of Education property on Brickyard Road as a private soccer facility. Because this is an important matter to me and to many of your constituents, I ask that each of you review and respond to the attached concerns, objections, and comments. I have carefully reviewed the documents made available for public review to develop these comments and objections, and I ask that each of you in turn carefully review and provide a written response to my comments. Because many of these comments and objections go directly to the legality and enforceability of the proposed sublease, project, and operations, I urge the the County not to enter a sublease or otherwise go forward with the proposed sublease and project until it has fully addressed the comments and objections set forth in the attachment. To be very clear, I believe that if the County were to proceed with the proposed Sublease and project in its current form and without significant procedural and substantive changes, that action (and the resulting project, agreements, and any development or operation done pursuant thereto) would be arbitrary, capricious, and unlawful.

If you have any questions concerning the attached objections, please contact me. This matter puts at risk not only the public interest, but the reputation of the County and each of you (County Council members, you have oversight and supervisory duties and powers, as well as independent duties to your constituents and all County residents: asserting that this decision and process rests solely with the County Executive and the Executive branch would be both inappropriate and an abdication of your responsibilities to your constituents and the general public. You may not be able to singlehandedly change the course of this proposal, but you should make your position known and stand up and be counted) concerning fair, reasoned, open, and sound public policymaking; proper stewardship of public lands, and public

land management; due process and the rule of law. Failure to reconsider the proposed course of action in light of strong public concerns and opposition to the present proposal would reflect poorly on County government and the quality of governance and policymaking it provides. Thank you for your time, and deliberate consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely,

Paul A. Hemmersbaugh
8112 Coach Street

Potomac, MD 20854

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To comply with certain U.S. Treasury regulations, we inform you
that, unless expressly stated otherwise, any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this
communication, including attachments, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be
used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding any penalties that may be imposed on such
taxpayer by the Internal Revenue Service. In addition, if any such tax advice is used or referred
to by other parties in promoting, marketing or recommending any partnership or other entity,
investment plan or arrangement, then (i) the advice should be construed as written in connection
with the promotion or marketing by others of the transaction(s) or matter(s) addressed in this
communication and (ii) the taxpayer should seek advice based on the taxpayer's particular
circumstances from an independent tax advisor.
****
This e-mail is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential.
If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the e-mail and any attachments and notify us
immediately.

****

FromName	Brenneman, Cynthia
FromAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	FW: ATTN: Brickyard Road Comments
Body	

Cynthia Brenneman, Director

Office of Real Estate

Department of General Services

101 Monroe Street, 9th Floor

Rockville, MD 20850

phone 240-777-6089

-----Original Message-----
From: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.
[mailto:phemmersbaugh@sidley.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 12, 2012 11:12 PM
To: ORE, DGS
Cc: Brenneman, Cynthia; Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.
Subject: ATTN: Brickyard Road Comments
Importance: High

Dear DGS,

Attached please find my comments on the proposed Brickyard road project, proposed Sublease and related documents. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me.

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To comply with certain U.S. Treasury regulations, we inform you
that, unless expressly stated otherwise, any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this
communication, including attachments, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be

used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding any penalties that may be imposed on such
taxpayer by the Internal Revenue Service. In addition, if any such tax advice is used or referred
to by other parties in promoting, marketing or recommending any partnership or other entity,
investment plan or arrangement, then (i) the advice should be construed as written in connection
with the promotion or marketing by others of the transaction(s) or matter(s) addressed in this
communication and (ii) the taxpayer should seek advice based on the taxpayer's particular
circumstances from an independent tax advisor.
****
****
This e-mail is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential.
If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the e-mail and any attachments and notify us
immediately.

****
****

FromName	Brenner, Linda
FromAddress	brenner@ici.org
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Hello, I am a long-time Montgomery County, MD resident and I wanted to write to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. I believe the Brickyard location is extremely well suited to helping the county increase access to soccer fields for our residents. Soccer is an extremely popular sport among most ages and is a very affordable sport (minimal equipment required). It is important to provide venues for our residents to play and exercise, especially given the rising incidence of obesity in America. Thank you, Linda Brenner 1089 Larkspur Terrace Rockville, MD 20850

By Email and U.S. Mail
Cynthia Brenneman, Chief
Office of Real Estate
Department of General Services 101 Monroe Street, 9th Floor
Rockville, Maryland 20850

April 11, 2012

Attn: Brickyard Comments

Re: Montgomery County Proposal to Enter Sublease and Development Agreement with Montgomery Soccer, Inc, to sublease parcel of real property located on Brickyard Road.

Dear Ms. Brenneman and Director Dise:

I am a Potomac, Maryland resident and taxpayer who lives with my wife and two children in the River Falls neighborhood, which is adjacent to the County Board of Education property on Brickyard Road (the "Brickyard Property") that Montgomery County proposes to sublease for development and use as soccer fields and parking lots. Pursuant to the Notice issue by the County, I hereby submit objections, comments, and questions concerning the proposed Sublease and related documents, the soccer fields project and development and directly related concerns. I request that, before the County enters any sublease or development agreement for the Brickyard Property, it fully respond to each of the objections, comments, and questions set forth below (to the extent that the County considers only "objections" at this stage, I intend this entire document to consist of objections to the proposed Sublease, ancillary documents and the project and proposal they seek to implement). Where the objections or questions identify a deficiency or ambiguity, I request that the County address that deficiency or ambiguity before proceeding further with a sublease or any further phase of the proposed project.

My primary interest in this matter stems from the fact that my family and I live near the intersection of Coach Street and Brickyard Road, and our home and quiet enjoyment of our property and neighborhood stand to be affected by the proposed transformation of the Brickyard Property from a quiet organic farm to a busy and disruptive soccer venue with multiple fields, crowds, and attendant traffic and safety problems on Brickyard Road and in our adjacent River Falls neighborhood. There are many children in the River Falls neighborhood and no sidewalks in most of the area. Increased volume of "cut-through" traffic (already a problem, but mitigated by the installation of speed bumps on Brickyard Road several years ago) – a likely consequence of the proposed project – poses a very real and heartfelt danger to those children and other residents of the neighborhood.

I also strongly object to the secretive, non-public manner in which County officials negotiated and entered a lease for the property, unilaterally determined how the leased property would be used, and conducted discussions with private soccer organizations concerning the use of the field, all without opportunity for public input or comment. If open meeting laws and policies and transparency in government mean anything, surely they were flouted in this process. This entire process has been an affront to the good government, transparency and public participation of which Montgomery County has been justly proud. Regardless of the merits of the County's legal defense of these covert actions, basic principles of good government, open and democratic public process, and public land planning and development should cause the County Executive and the County Council to rescind the lease and the proposed sublease, and initiate a new, public process of evaluation of the Brickyard Property and its possible uses.

The current phase of the process appears to have been designed to allow the minimum public participation required by law. The information and documents provided for review are incomplete, lacking in important detail, and insufficiently informative to allow meaningful and effective public comment and input. Perhaps most important, the documents and information (including the proposed Sublease, a few proposed exhibits, the RFP and MSI's response to the RFP) made available by the County appear to leave many – perhaps most -- important matters and issues to be addressed and determined in the future (apparently without further public input) by MSI and/or County employees in their unilateral discretion. Effectively, these documents provide a bare bones outline of the general purpose of the Sublease and aspirations of the County for the project, and leave nearly all of the important specific parameters, requirements and limitations of the project and its operation, and the obligations of the parties, to be determined by MSI (or in some cases by MSI in consultation with County employees) and its agents in the future. The dearth of information and details preclude full and meaningful public comment, and may deny area residents due process of law.

Moreover, if these are the only agreements the County intends to enter with MSI (or any other sublessee), it appears that the County is ceding to a private company nearly all responsibility for planning, design, development, and parameters of the project, mitigation of adverse impacts, operations, and maintenance of the fields, parking lots, and amenities, and myriad other aspects of the development and operation of soccer facilities at the Brickyard Property. Either the County has abdicated its responsibility for management, supervision, and oversight of a project on public land, or there must be more detailed plans, requirements, documents, and agreements for the project – either in existence or forthcoming. In either event, the proposed Sublease and other documents made available to the public for comment to date are insufficient to allow meaningful review and comment on the specific parameters of the proposed development and use of the Brickyard Property.

If the parties intend that more specific details and requirements will be developed and negotiated in the future as part of a further design and development process, then public comments at this juncture are not sufficient to allow meaningful substantive public comment and input concerning the proposed project, development, use, operations and maintenance of athletic fields and facilities, and the effects of that project on the public and the larger public interest, including surrounding properties, neighbors, and neighborhoods. I hereby request that, if and when more detailed design, development, use, and operations proposals, terms, and conditions are generated, the County provide that information to the public, and solicit further public comments before the County enters a Sublease or other binding agreement with a private party regarding Brickyard Property, and before it authorizes or allows any proposed athletic field project or development to commence there.

It perhaps should go without saying, but the responsibility of the County government in this and other matters is to consider the interests of all members of the public. Here, the County should not accord undue weight the interests of the relatively small number of people seeking to increase the number of soccer fields for youth soccer, particularly in the relatively remote Potomac area. I suspect that the number of citizens who have voiced support to the County for use of the Brickyard Property as soccer fields is overwhelmed by the number and intensity of those who have voiced their opposition or reservations about the proposal, for a variety of reasons. While this is not the only barometer of the balance of the public interest, it is certainly a strong indicator that should be given substantial weight in the County's ongoing consideration of the proposed project and use of the Brickyard Property.

In addition to the foregoing general objections, comments, and concerns, I have the following specific objections, comments, and questions concerning the Sublease and related documents the County has made available for review. I reiterate my request that the County fully address all of the "objections" set forth in this document before it enters any Sublease or other binding

agreement or arrangement authorizing the development and use of the Brickyard Property for athletic fields and facilities.

I. **County's RFP** ("Request for Qualifications and Development Proposals for the Development, Construction, Maintenance and Operation of Soccer Fields at Brickyard Road, 'Parcel 106, Tax map FN 33' Potomac Maryland 20854 (January, 2012)") – [*Exhibit C1 to materials made available by County on internet]*

1. The RFP Overview section refers to the "License Agreement." Is this the same agreement that is now referred to as the proposed "Sublease and Development Agreement"? If not, what is the License Agreement, and to what does it pertain?

2. The third paragraph states that the "License Agreement" shall be subject to "any other requirements and specifications required by the County for the project." Is this requirement expressly made part of the Sublease or other binding agreement between the County and the Sublessee? If not, how is the obligation to meet "any other requirements and specifications required by the County for the Project" legally binding on (or enforceable against) the Sublessee?

3. Does the provision mean that the Sublease incorporates all of the criteria, conditions, terms, and requirements of the RFP, making them legally binding terms of the Sublease? If not, does the County have a legal right to enforce the terms, conditions, and other provisions of the RFP against the Sublessee?

    a. Are the conditions, terms, and requirements of the RFP otherwise made part of the binding terms of the Sublease? How and where? Does Sublessee MSI agree with the incorporation of all terms and conditions of the RFP to the Sublease?

4. Page 3 states that selected Applicant must meet conditions listed in Section IV and address certain objectives, including:

    a. (4) "Alleviate community concerns regarding traffic and parking." Does this mean alleviating those concerns to the reasonable satisfaction of the community? How has MSI satisfied this objective?

        i. MSI Response to the RFP ("Response") proposed to install 220 parking spaces. Has MSI demonstrated that amount of parking is sufficient? How does the County know whether that will be a sufficient number of parking spaces to accommodate all players, coaches, spectators and others who may use the facility at peak times?

        ii. Does the County have the authority under the Sublease to require MSI to install additional parking spaces (e.g. if necessary to avoid parking on the side of Brickyard Road or on adjacent neighborhood streets?)

        iii. How has MSI otherwise addressed community concerns regarding traffic and parking (construction of parking spaces does not address traffic concerns)? How has the County determined that whatever MSI has done with regard to traffic has "alleviate[d] community concerns" about traffic problems resulting from the proposed soccer fields?

        iv. If the answer to any of these questions is that "MSI intends to take measures to address this in the future," how does this satisfy the requirement of the RFP that the successful applicant must address the enumerated concerns? A statement of

future intention to address an issue – particularly if it is not incorporated into a legally binding agreement – is not addressing the issue.

b. (5) "Alleviate community concerns regarding Storm Water Management and overall environmental impact." How has MSI's Response addressed these issues? How has Montgomery County determined that any such response has "alleviated community concerns"?

c. (6) "Alleviate community concerns regarding fields operation and management including: service hours, noise, security, and maintenance." Has the County determined that use hours from 7 AM to 9 PM "alleviate community concerns" regarding service hours? How?

   i. How has the Response alleviated community concerns regarding noise? It merely states that it will conduct a "noise impact analysis," but does not undertake to take any substantive action whatever to mitigate noise. Objection: this does not alleviate community concerns regarding noise, at most it commits to conducting a procedural study of noise at some indefinite future date. This does not meet the requirements of the RFP.

   ii. Security – Response simply says it will use existing fencing and add interior fencing. Existing fencing is not a real barrier – it does not even keep deer off the farm fields, and certainly will not keep determined persons from entering the fields. Response also claims the fencing will prevent soccer field users (permitted or unpermitted) from parking on neighborhood streets? How? What is to keep field users from parking on streets and walking through the parking lot to the fields?

   iii. Maintenance. Many soccer fields in Montgomery County are poorly maintained. How has the Response alleviated community concerns regarding maintenance?

d. With respect to all of the conditions listed on page 3, has the proposed Sublessee obtained community input or comment? When and how? Has the County obtained community input or comment on those provisions and whether Sublessee has "alleviated" their concerns? How and when?

e. Again with respect to all of the conditions listed on page 3, how will the County ensure that the Sublessee satisfies those conditions? Does the County have any legal right or authority to require the Sublessee to satisfy those conditions? What is the penalty for failure to satisfy those conditions? Termination of the sublease?

5. Section IV. Project Description and Conditions. Again, are these conditions incorporated as requirements of the Sublease or otherwise made legally binding on the Sublessee? How and where?

   a. The project description and conditions on page 6 are extremely general and impose very few specific parameters or requirements, and certainly nothing concerning community concerns like traffic, noise, security, parking, environmental damage or effects, or oversight or enforcement of any such conditions.

      i. Does the County intend to impose any more specific conditions, requirements, terms or conditions on the design, development, operation, and maintenance of a

facility on public (county-owned) land? How, when, and through what process or vehicle?

ii. If the County does not intend to impose any more specific requirements or conditions, does it contend these very general, conceptual parameters discharge its responsibility to ensure that public lands are developed, managed, and maintained in an appropriate manner? How?

b. The third paragraph refers to "other required improvements." To what improvements does that clause refer? Does the County intend to require other improvements? What types of improvements? Does the County believe the Sublessee would be legally bound to make other improvements the County may require (assuming such requirements are not unreasonable)? Based on what authority? The Sublease? If so, what provision of the Sublease? If Sublessee would be required to make such other improvements based on some other binding legal obligation to the County, please describe that obligation and its source.

c. The RFP requires that a proposal <u>must</u> "include a plan to buffer noise." Where in the MSI Response do they provide a specific, substantive plan to buffer noise? If MSI has not provided such a plan, has it complied with this essential requirement of the RFP? How? If not, how is the MSI proposal sufficient to satisfy the minimum requirements of the RFP?

d. Page 7 lists eight conditions that are "imposed on the Project."

i. Are these conditions incorporated to the proposed Sublease as terms and conditions of that agreement? If so, where and how? If not, what legal authority or power does the County have to enforced those conditions or otherwise ensure the project complies with the conditions? NOTE: The following comments in this subsection (d) are based on the assumption that the enumerated conditions are indeed legally binding obligations of MSI or whomever may be awarded the Sublease and development rights. If that assumption is incorrect and the conditions are not legally binding on the sublessee ("selected Applicant"), then the Sublease must be amended to include those requirements as binding conditions. If the conditions are binding or made binding – as they should be -- I maintain the following objections and comments on those conditions. If not, then the general requirements, terms and conditions of the Sublease and the sublessee's legal obligations are wholly inadequate and insufficient to advance and protect the public interest, including the interests of neighboring residents and property owners. Failure to substantially rewrite the Sublease in that event would be failure of the County Executive and County Board to discharge their duties and responsibilities to the citizens of Montgomery County.

ii. Condition 1 – Traffic study. Why is the "applicant" allowed to select the traffic consultant? Objection: The applicant should not be allowed to hire its preferred traffic consultant, who would have incentive to provide the analysis and conclusions favored by the applicant. Instead, I request that the County establish a process for selecting an independent, neutral traffic consultant to conduct the required traffic study and make recommendations for traffic mitigation and control measures. Further, it is essential that traffic mitigation recommended or suggested by the study be required to be implemented – without substantive

implementation requirements, a traffic study is simply an ineffective procedural exercise.

- The Sublease should require the applicant to implement reasonable traffic control measures and other traffic effect mitigation measures recommended by the traffic study (at the applicant/sublessee's expense), as well as any additional traffic mitigation measures the County determines appropriate.

- The Sublease or other document legally binding on the parties should also authorize a separate traffic study or critique of the initial traffic study commissioned by other members of the public, and require that in considering traffic control and mitigation measures, the County consider any such study and provide reasoned and supported reasons for rejection of any recommendations of such a study.

iii. Condition 5 says "no activity will be permitted from 9 PM to 9 AM. This seems to conflict with Section 9 of the proposed Sublease, which allows activity to commence at 7 AM, and requires only "organized play activities" to end by 9 PM. Particularly on weekends, operating hours from 7 AM until some indefinite time after 9 PM (for activities that do not qualify as "organized play activities") start too early and end too late. Twelve hours per day is more than enough time for fields to be open (if preparation time is needed, games could start at 10 AM).

iv. Condition 6 says no more than 5 "tournaments, playoffs, and championship games" may be played on the fields each year. This is ambiguous, as most tournaments and playoffs will have a championship game, and playoffs may be considered a type of tournament. Would a playoff championship game be counted as one, two, or three of the five event limit? In the event of dispute regarding this requirement, who would decide? In the event of a breach of this requirement, what is the County's remedy? A monetary fine or damages? Termination of the sublease?

v. Noise impact analysis (condition number 7) – As with the traffic study condition, the consultant should be an independent neutral, not an entity chosen and hired by the Sublessee, the public should be allowed adequate opportunity for input regarding noise (including their own traffic study should they wish to commission such a study), and the Sublessee should be required to implement noise mitigation recommendations of the study, as well as any other noise mitigations measures the County determines to be appropriate after considering any and all studies and public input.

vi. Condition 8 – for purposes of this project and agreements, how is "permanent structure" defined? Do the parties have a common agreed definition of permanent structure? What is it? Is that definition expressly stated in any agreement between the parties?

6. Section X – Conditions and Limitations (page 12)..

   a. Again, as with all of the terms, conditions, requirements, and limitations of the RFP, it is important for the County to state, clearly and unequivocally:

i. Whether those provisions are incorporated as terms of the Sublease, contractually binding on the sublessee, and if so, how; and

ii. Whether MSI (or any other sublessee) agrees with this construction of the Sublease, RFP, and the parties' agreements, obligations, and responsibilities.

b. The following quoted provision is important, and it is essential that the County state on the record, whether it intends the provision to be incorporated to the Sublease or otherwise legally binding on the Sublessee, and whether it has obtained the Sublessee's agreement concerning the contractually binding nature of the provision:

"Applicants acknowledge that the County reserves the right, in its sole and absolute discretion, to impose on the selected Applicant any terms and conditions, requirements, and specifications (collectively 'Requirements') that the County deems necessary to fulfill its objectives for the project, and that those Requirements will be incorporated into the License Agreement and any other agreements executed by the selected Applicant and the County for the Project."

Based upon that language, I request that the County address the following questions:

i. Is the quoted provision a term of the Sublease agreement? If so, how is it incorporated into the Sublease? If not, how can the County impose this as an essential condition of the RFP process, yet not include it as a term of the contract (whether the Sublease or some other contract) between the "selected Applicant" and the County?

ii. Does the proposed Sublessee (MSI) agree with this interpretation of the agreement and its rights and obligations under that agreement?

iii. If this provision is incorporated into the contractually binding agreement of the parties, may the County impose additional requirements at any time (e.g. after the execution of the Sublease and/or at any time during the term/life of the Sublease)?

iv. If this provision is incorporated to the terms of the Sublease or other legally binding agreement of the parties, is there any condition or limitation on the "other Requirements" the County may impose? If so, what is that limitation, its nature, extent, and source?

v. Has the County imposed any additional Requirements pursuant to this provision? If so, please identify those Requirements. If not, please explain why not.

vi. If the County is unwilling or unable to apply and enforce this provision, it is meaningless. How does the County intend to enforce this provision and/or the additional terms, conditions, or specifications imposed pursuant to this provision? Through fines or penalties for non-compliance? Through termination of the Sublease? Through a breach of contract action? Through some other means or mechanism?

vii. Proposed additional conditions. I propose that the County impose the following additional Requirements, whether through the RFP provision, the Sublease or other authority.

1. The Sublessee shall establish and enforce rules prohibiting users of the Brickyard Property's from parking on adjacent streets or anywhere other than the parking lot constructed by the facility.

a. If Sublessee fails to establish and effectively enforce such rules, it shall be subject to a penalty of $ 100 for each user for each instance in which that user parked is or her vehicle in a location other than the parking lots established at the facility.

b. If the County determines that the number of parking spaces created for the facility is insufficient to provide parking for the vehicles of all users and visitors at the peak period of use of the facility, it shall require the Sublessee to construct, at Sublessee's expense, additional parking spaces sufficient to ensure that all vehicles driven to games, practices at any time are able to park their vehicles in the facility's parking logs and spaces.

2. The Sublessee shall, at its sole expense, implement all traffic and noise control and mitigation measures suggested by traffic or noise studies, or otherwise determined to be appropriate or desirable by the County.

3. The Sublessee shall use its best efforts to discourage its users and visitors from using neighborhood streets (including but not limited to the streets in the River Falls residential development) to travel to or from the Brickyard property. If the County determines that a significant volume of Brickyard visitor traffic is using non-arterial residential streets (i.e. other nearby streets other than Brickyard Road, Falls Road, and MacArthur Boulevard) to travel to or from the facility, Sublessee shall be required to install traffic mitigation and smoothing measures and devices (e.g. speed bumps) on residential streets commonly traversed by users of the Brickyard soccer facility.

4. If the County deems it necessary to control traffic or congestion caused by ingress and egress of users of the Brickyard soccer facility, the Sublessee shall at its sole expense, retain Montgomery County police officers to direct and facilitate the smooth flow of traffic at and near that facility at appropriate times.

5. In the event that use or enter the facility during times it is not being used by Sublessee (MSI) and cause noise, security concerns, or other disturbance, the Sublessee shall take all security measures necessary to terminate that unauthorized activity. Measures the County may require to address such issues include, but are not limited to, retention of on-site security guards for all hours in which the facility is not in operation, and construction of more robust fences, gates, and other physical security measures designed to deny unauthorized access.

II. The Land Lease Agreement (Exhibit B to the Proposed Sublease)

1. Is it the County's position that the terms, conditions, and requirements of the April 19, 2011 Land Lease between the Board of Education and the County (the "Lease") are incorporated by reference as terms of the Sublease? Does the proposed Sublessee agree with this position, and that it is legally bound by applicable terms of the Lease?

2. Section 4 Use. The Lease provides that the Brickyard Property may be used "solely for ballfields and improvements associated with that use . . ."

a. Does this sole use provision allow use of the Brickyard Property for non-ballfield purposes and activities, such as playgrounds, an Organic Agriculture Education Center and Community Gardens, a fitness trail, and a "superior quality field for

causal use such as tossing a Frisbee," as required by the Sublease and the MSI Response/Proposal?

i. If yes, please explain how a provision requiring the that a property be used solely for ballfields and associated improvements authorizes other unrelated uses or improvements.

ii. If no, the County must seek an amendment to the Lease to allow for such "non-ballfield" uses before it may lawfully enter the proposed Sublease or otherwise proceed any further with the proposed project. It is not appropriate or lawful for the County knowingly to enter a sublease or agreement that violates the express terms the lease that grants and delimits its authority to use the property in the first instance.

b. What does the term "ball fields" mean? Although it is not defined in the Lease, in common parlance, it is generally used to refer to baseball fields, not soccer fields. The Lease contains multiple references to "ballfields," but does not make any reference to soccer or soccer fields. In contrast, the proposed Sublease and exhibits use the terms "soccer" and "soccer fields" to refer to the proposed use of the property. Is the County's proposed use of the Brickyard land consistent with the limitation of the Lease to using the property as "ballfields"? Please explain your response and the reason for use of different, potentially inconsistent terms in the Lease and the proposed Sublease.

3. Section 13. Alterations, Additions, and Improvements. This section provides that the County or its licensee may not make <u>any</u> alterations or improvements to the Brickyard Property without the written consent of the Board of Education. Has the County obtained written consent for the proposed development and improvements? If yes, how can it obtain consent to specific improvements when the Sublease and related documents are very general and do not provide for specific improvements?

III. The Proposed Sublease [Proposed "Sublease and Development Agreement Between Montgomery County, Maryland and Montgomery Soccer, Inc.," partially executed by proposed sublessee MSI]

1. Section 1, Subleased Premises.

   a. This provision purports to grant Sublessee "exclusive use" of the Brickyard Property property. How is this consistent with provisions of the Sublease, RFP, and MSI's Response requiring construction of "public amenities," including playgrounds, an Organic Agriculture Education Center and Community Gardens, a fitness trail, a "superior quality field for causal use such as tossing a Frisbee"? *See, e.g.*, Proposed Sublease § 6, MSI Response to RFP Section 3, "Neighborhood Impact."

   b. More generally, what rights will neighbors have to use the fields and amenities (constructed on public land), either free of charge or at a nominal fee?

   c. Is MSI obliged under the Sublease or other binding legal agreement to provide free public access and all of the "public amenities" listed in its Response and in the Sublease? If so, what document or agreement imposes those obligations? If not, why not? Does the County intend to hold MSI to fulfilling the commitments and proposals it made in its Response to the RFP? If so, how? If not, why not?

2. Section 2 Sublease Term. At the expiration of the sublease term, is the sublessee required to return Brickyard Property to its present condition (*i.e.* remove parking lots and soccer fields and make land suitable for other purposes, such as a school)?

    a. If not, is the development really a temporary use of the public property, or a permanent transformation of the property?

    b. Alternatively, does the lack of such a provision shift to the County the responsibility for removing goods, equipment, and alterations of the property as required by Section 15 of the County's Lease with the Board of Education? If so, why should the County and its taxpayers bear the cost and burden of removing improvements and alterations installed by a private sublessee?

    c. Alternatively, does the County have the option of requiring the Sublessee to remove certain "improvements" while allowing others to remain (for further public use) and transferring those improvements along with the property back to the County (without further charge to the County)? If not, why not?

    d. Section 11 appears to contemplate that the property will be returned to the County at the end of the sublease term with all "improvements" intact. If that is an accurate interpretation of that proposed term, I suggest the term be modified to provide that, at the County's option, it may require that any "improvement" made by the Sublessee be removed or retained, without charge to the County. Depending on future uses of the property, this would allow the County to eliminate obstacles to alternative future uses (created by the sublease) without incurring additional costs. Also, please explain how Section 11 of the Sublease is consistent with the post-termination requirements of Section 15 of the Lease.

3. Section 3 – Early Termination. The County should have the right to terminate the Sublease if the Sublessee breaches the Sublease or fails to fulfill any commitments or undertakings it makes in the Sublease, its Response to the RFP, or at other times during the approval process or operation of the proposed facility.

4. Section 4. Renewal Option. The second paragraph provides that, in the event the Prime Lease is extended, the County shall offer a new Sublease to Sublessee. This mandatory provision should be revised to provide that the County may, in its sole discretion (unchallengeable by Sublessee) offer to extend the Sublease in the event that the Prime Lease is extended. It is not reasonable to bind the County today to extending a sublease at a time nine years in the future, given everything that could change (potentially including adverse experience with the Sublessee's development and operation of the property during the term of the initial sublease) over the course of nearly a decade. If the County declines to make such an adjustment to terms of the proposed Sublease, please explain the reason for rejecting such a change.

5. Section 6. Design and Construction of the Improvements.

    a. The agreement provides that the "Sublessee is solely responsible for the design, permitting, and construction of the Improvements, as described in the Proposal and Amendments to the Proposal, which are attached hereto as Exhibit C and incorporated as if fully set forth." (Note that a DGS representative has advised me that "Exhibit C" in the Sublease refers to the combination of Exhibits C-1, C-2, and C-3 in the materials the County provided for public review).

i. Is the "Proposal" referred to in Section 6.A the document labeled Exhibit C2 on the materials the County provided for public comment, consisting of MSI's "Response to [RFP-DP] for the Development, Construction, Maintenance and Operation of Soccer Fields at Brickyard Road . . ." dated March 1, 2010? If not, what does the term "Proposal" refer to, and will it be made available for review?

ii. Does the term "Amendments to the Proposal" refer to the documents labeled Exhibit C3 in the materials the County provided for public comment, consisting of a Letter from MSI Chairman Adam Prill to Montgomery County DGS Capital Project Manager Kassahun Seyoum dated March 19, 2012 and the Letter from Mr. Seyoum to MSI officials dated March 21, 2012? If not, to what does the term "Amendments to the Proposal" refer and will they be made available for review? If Exhibit C3 is what is referred to in the proposed Sublease as "Amendments to the Proposal," are there any other documents included as Amendments to the Proposal? For example, the March 21 DGS letter refers to a DGS letter dated March 14, 2012 and a MSI response letter dated March 16, 2012, which are not included among the materials made available for public review.

    1. Are the March 14 and 16 letters or any other documents, proposals, or agreements considered part of the Amendments to the Proposal or the proposed Sublease? If so, please provide any and all such documents and information for review, and do not enter a sublease or take other steps to implement the proposed project until the public has a reasonable opportunity to review and comment on those letters.

iii. Is it the County's position that the Proposal and Amendments to the Proposal (including but not necessarily limited to Exhibits C1, C2, and C3 provided for comment – which consist of the County's RFP, MSI's Response to the RFP, and the March 19 and March 21 letters referenced above) and terms, conditions, requirements, undertakings, and proposals made therein, are included as binding terms and provisions of the proposed Sublease? *See, e.g.*, Proposed Sublease § 6.A (first sentence states that Sublessee's responsibilities include those described in Proposal and Amendments to Proposal "which are incorporated" by reference to the proposed Sublease)?

    1. If so, does the proposed Sublessee agree with this reading of the proposed Sublease?

    2. If not, what more specific parameters, terms, conditions, and requirements are imposed on the Sublessee by the proposed Sublease or any other legally binding agreement? Section 6, which provides for the design and construction of improvements is defined almost exclusively by reference to the provisions of the RFP, the Proposal, and the Amendments to the Proposal. If the terms and provisions of those documents are not made binding terms of the Sublease, then the sole improvement authorized or required by the Sublease is a public amenity with broad appeal, such as a playground" in Phase I and similar public amenity in Phase II. *See* Proposed Sublease Section 6.A.

- Such lack of specificity about the terms of the proposed Sublease and the rights and obligations of the parties would render the agreement a non-binding "agreement to agree" at some future date, and render the proposed Sublease void and unenforceable.

- At a minimum, the County must ensure that the Sublease either makes clear that the provisions and terms of Exhibits A, B, and C1, C2, and C3 are binding terms of the Sublease, or otherwise significantly revise and supplement the Sublease to directly and expressly include binding terms and conditions governing improvements, operations, maintenance, and mitigation of adverse effects of the project, use, and activities on the surrounding area and neighborhoods, and the Brickyard Property itself.

iv. The Sublease contains effectively no provisions requiring County review, approval, or oversight of the specific features, design, modifications, improvements, or use of the Brickyard Property; or of its operation and maintenance; or of mitigation of adverse effects. Instead, the Sublease grants the sublessee "sole responsibility" and authority for essentially all specific parameters of the facility and its operation, with a few narrow exceptions, such as the County right to inspect work and materials. Effectively, the Sublease establishes very general and vague aims and aspirations for the project and then delegates all authority and responsibility for the specifics and their implementation (or non-implementation) to a private entity, without further meaningful County input, control, or oversight.

- Again, the Sublease appears to abdicate the County's duties concerning the stewardship, management, development, and use of public lands (and the effects of such development and use on surrounding persons and property) by delegating nearly all authority and responsibility -- without requiring County approval – to a private entity. I object to this wholesale transfer of authority and responsibility of public functions and duties (including the police power to protect and preserve public safety, security, and property rights) to a private entity, which cannot be consistent with the duties of DGS, the County Executive, and the County Council to citizens of Montgomery County. I request that the County explain how the transfer of responsibility and authority and failure to provide for oversight and review of the proposed project are lawful and consistent with the responsibilities of the executive and legislative branches of County government to its citizens.

v. At a minimum, the proposed Sublease should be amended to provide for County review, approval, and power to order change or modification of any and all aspects of the design, construction, and operation of the proposed facilities to the extent necessary or appropriate to protect or advance the public interest. Further, the Sublease should be amended to provide that the County may impose, and Sublessee must comply with, any and all reasonable requirements the County finds necessary or appropriate with respect to the design, construction, use, operations, maintenance, and effects of those activities.

b. Section 6.B. Even this *de minimis* right to inspect does not provide the County with express authority to require changes it deems appropriate based upon its inspection of work or materials. This provision should be amended to provide the County not only with inspection authority, but also with enforcement authority to require the Sublessee to remedy or change flaws, errors, or unacceptable design, construction, or operations work or activity.

6. Section 7. Use of Subleased Premises. This paragraph requires that the property "shall be used solely as soccer fields and associated amenities." Objections:

   a. This narrow limit forecloses the use of the fields for other sports, such as lacrosse, football, field hockey, softball, and other sports played outdoors on large grass fields. If the County's goal is to maximize recreational sports opportunities for Montgomery County residents, and to address the "unmet recreational need for high-quality fields in Bethesda and Potomac," (*See* RFP at 3), the fields should be made available for all such recreational needs and not confined to a single sport, a single age group, and a single private vendor. The proposed Sublease should be modified to allow use for sports other than soccer.

      i. The RFP's suggestion that Potomac has "unmet recreational need for high quality fields" is entirely unsupported by the facts, and borders on the absurd. Population density in Potomac is relatively low compared to much of the (non-agricultural reserve areas of) the County. Moreover, both Potomac and Bethesda are relatively affluent and have few significant "unmet recreational needs." Many schools and recreational facilities in the area have soccer fields available for use by Potomac residents. To the extent this area of Potomac has a "need" for soccer fields on public land, that need is satisfied by fields in the adjacent Avenel neighborhood. Further, the Brickyard Property's location, narrow and limited access roads, and the lack of convenient public transportation service from other areas makes it a poor candidate for soccer fields to be used by youth from other areas of the County.

   b. This limit also unduly narrows the scope of uses authorized by the Lease, which provides for the use of the property as "ballfields," which surely includes fields for all sports played with balls (and without participant age limitations). *See* Lease § 4 (leasing land for use as "ballfields and improvements associated with that use . . ."). Please explain why it is reasonable or appropriate for the County to: (i) narrow the uses authorized by its Lease; and to (ii) limit the use of athletic fields on County land to youth soccer only.

   c. The same paragraph further provides that the Property must be used "only for, and strictly in conformance with, the Prime Lease." As discussed above, the Prime Lease does not appear to authorize other uses contemplated by the parties agreements, such as playgrounds, an organic garden and education center, fitness trails, etc. Thus, it appears the requirements of the proposed Sublease, as well as promises made by the County to area residents, are in direct conflict with the Lease. *See, e.g.,* County Executive Response to Community Questions Regarding Dual Use."; Exhibit C3 (letter amendments providing in several places for several acres to be developed and use as grassed recreational area, community gardens and agricultural education, and playground equipment). The Lease must be amended to allow the other uses, before

the County enters the Sublease or proceeds any further with the proposed use of the Brickyard Property.

d. The second paragraph of Section 7 states limits to five per year the "number of tournaments, playoffs, and championship games to be played on the" Property. As discussed in the RFP comments and objections, this limitation as originally articulated was ambiguous. Similar ambiguous language is included in the March 21 letter amendment, Item 2. The addition of a provision exempting from the limitation "any games played by MSI teams" compounds the ambiguity. For example, are tournament, playoff, or championship games played by MSI teams included in the 5 game limit? If not, why not? This provision should be re-written to eliminate ambiguity and the resulting potential for confusion and disputes about its meaning and application.

7. Section 9. Hours of Operation. As discussed above, 12 hours of operation per day are more than enough. The proposed Sublease should be amended to provide that any and all activity at the site is banned between the hours of 9 PM and 9 AM.

    a. The proposed Sublease does not state who is responsible for ensuring compliance with the hours of operation limitations. Other soccer fields in the County have had repeated problems with use at unauthorized times and by unauthorized users. The proposed Sublease should be amended to provide that the Sublessee is responsible for policing the facility to ensure that hours of operation and other use and operation requirements are not violated, and that repeated failure to ensure compliance with those requirements shall constitute a breach by the Sublessee.

8. Section 13. <u>Repairs</u>. A number of soccer fields in the County are poorly maintained. How will the County ensure that the Sublessee complies with its maintenance and repair obligations at the Brickyard Property? Will County employees conduct periodic inspections of the fields and facility at reasonable intervals?

9. Section 15. <u>Services and Operating Expenses</u>.

    a. Section 15.B grants the Sublessee "full and complete authority to manage" the improved property, "subject to policies determined by the Sublessee." Again, this is a public property, and rules for its use should be established by the County. Further, the management of the facility – including satisfaction of reasonable requirements to mitigate the effects of the facility (noise, traffic, parking, security, environmental damage, etc.) on surrounding property and residents – should be subject to review, supervision, and modification by the County. Granting the powers both to establish and to enforce (or not) rules for the facility to a non-government entity is not reasonable or prudent. And, it would be a further abandonment of the County's responsibility to ensure proper use and management of this public property and to mitigate negative spillover effects on the surrounding residents and property. At a minimum, this provision should be modified to provide: (i) that the Sublessee must submit proposed rules, policies, and procedures to the County for review and the County may require Sublessee to make any changes it deems appropriate, necessary, or reasonable; and (ii) Sublessee is responsible for fairly administering rules and policies approved by the County, and managing the property in accordance with those policies, subject to County supervision, oversight, and direction.

b. Section 15.C should be amended to provide that failure by Sublessee to pay reasonable operating expenses necessary to maintain the property shall constitute a breach of the Sublease.

10. Section 17.F. The Sublease should articulate what is included in "on site management" of the property. Such management should include traffic control and management and establishment and enforcement of rules and measures to: prevent user or visitor parking on Brickyard Road or any of the surrounding streets; prevent traffic congestion on Brickyard Road attributable to facility users, and prevent "cut-through" visitor traffic in River Falls and other nearby residential areas; prevent excess noise or other activities disturbing the quiet enjoyment of residents of nearby streets and communities; and prevent use of the property or facilities by unauthorized users or at unauthorized times (e.g. between 9 PM and 9 AM).

11. Section 33. Entire Agreement clause. Does the County intend that this clause incorporates as binding terms of the Sublease, all provisions, terms, conditions, promises, undertakings, and proposals set forth in the Exhibits to the Sublease (including but not necessarily limited to the RFP, the Response to the RFP, the Lease, and all letters between MSI and the County concerning this project dated in March 2012)? Does MSI agree with this interpretation?

    a. If the answer to either of the two immediately preceding questions is "no," it is essential that the Sublease be amended either to include those provisions and requirements in the text of the Sublease itself or to expressly state which provisions, conditions, proposals, and undertakings are and are not part of the parties binding sublease and contractual agreement. This must be done *before* the County enters the Sublease or otherwise allows the project to move forward.

    b. This "Entire Agreement" clause also highlights the importance of ensuring that all necessary and appropriate provisions, requirements, terms, and limitations are included in the Sublease before it is consummated. The County must ensure that all necessary parameters of the facility, its design, construction, operation, use, and maintenance, as well as full mitigation of negative effects of the proposed new recreational fields and facility, are clearly and expressly provided for in the Sublease or documents incorporated by that Sublease.

        i. Any parameters, terms or requirements that reasonably cannot be determined prior to execution of the Sublease (there should be relatively few – the current draft omits many essential details, specific requirements, terms, and conditions that should be added before any sublease is entered) should be expressly noted and subject to express procedures and requirements for County review and approval under standards that are clear and sufficient to protect and advance the public interest.

        ii. There should be no provisions that constitute an "agreement to agree" in the future.

12. Modifications or amendments. *See* Proposed Sublease § 34. During the course of public meetings and sessions concerning this project, as well as in several written documents, representatives of the County (including the County Executive and DGS officials and employees) have made promises and undertakings concerning the construction and operations of the proposed recreational fields and facilities. In order to ensure that those promises and undertakings are honored and are not vitiated by amendment of the Sublease (a result that a number of concerned area residents fear may be probable), the proposed

Sublease should be modified to make certain requirements express, permanent, and unmodifiable. Such provisions include, but are not necessarily limited to:

a. A prohibition of any field lighting and games or activities after dusk or 9 PM, whichever is earlier, or before 9 AM.

b. A prohibition of the installation or use of any public address system or the use of any system of amplification of any kind on the field.

c. Prohibition of installation, or use of any artificial or synthetic turf fields or facilities.

d. Requirement that the Sublessee construct and maintain enough parking spaces to accommodate the vehicles of all visitors (participants, spectators, officials, etc.) at the peak hour of vehicle volume in any year, plus 10 percent. This should include on-site parking sufficient to accommodate for any and all buses, vans, or other large vehicles that transport people to the fields. The provision should require that, if vehicle volume causes the number of parking spaces to be insufficient to allow all visitor vehicles to park at the facility, the Sublessee must promptly (in no more than 30 days) install – at its sole expense – additional parking spaces sufficient to meet that additional peak volume.

The foregoing requirements should be made express, clear, absolute, and unmodifiable terms and conditions of the Sublease. The provision should further make clear that failure to abide by any of those terms and conditions constitutes a breach of the Sublease that will result in automatic termination of the Sublease. If either of the parties is concerned that, at some point in the future, it may not be able to comply with these absolute requirements and prohibitions, the Sublease should provide that, if either party determines that it cannot comply with any of these absolute and unmodifiable conditions, it may unilaterally terminate or withdraw from the Sublease without penalty or being held in breach of the Sublease.

One way to accomplish this result might be to enumerate these specific absolute and immutable requirements and conditions in an appropriate place in the text of the Sublease (for purposes of illustration only, I'll refer to these provisions as V, W, X, Y and Z), and then revise the existing Modifications paragraph to provide an exception using language along the following lines:

"Exception. The parties agree that, consistent with promises they have made to concerned Montgomery County citizens, the prohibitions and requirements set forth in V, W, X, Y, and Z shall not be substantively amended, modified or revised. Any substantive modification or amendment of those enumerated provisions shall immediately render this entire Sublease (except for termination provisions) null and void, terminate the Sublease, and obligate the parties to perform the actions and obligations required by this Sublease upon its expiration or termination. If, at any time during the term of this Sublease, a party determines that it cannot perform under this Sublease without a substantive change to one or more of provisions V, W, X, Y, or Z, it may – upon 30 days written notice to the other party – withdraw from and terminate the lease. Such withdrawal and termination shall not be considered a breach of this Sublease, and shall not be ground for a breach of contract claim or action by either party."

13. ***Requests for Substantive Changes to the Agreement***. Neighbors of the Brickyard Property are justly concerned that the proposed recreational fields may result in traffic congestion and safety problems both on Brickyard Road and in adjacent neighborhoods. Brickyard Road and Falls Road are the sole avenues of entry to the River Falls neighborhood (as well as

adjacent Rock Run, Brent Road, Woodrock, and Potomac Falls neighborhoods) and traffic blockage or congestion on those roads could have a significant negative effect on area residents. In order to address that reasonable concern, I request that a provision be added that requires the Sublessee to implement any traffic control or mitigation measures recommended or suggested by an independent, neutral third-party traffic consultant, as well as any additional measures determined to be appropriate by the County.

a. The present proposed agreement appears to contemplate that a traffic study will be conducted only if it is otherwise required by existing County regulations, rules, or law. The proposed Sublease should be amended to <u>require</u> a full and complete traffic study, conducted by an independent neutral traffic consultant selected by the County and paid for by the proposed Sublessee.

b. In addition to all standard traffic study reviews, procedures, and evaluations conducted in a full traffic study for a proposed project or development, the Study should be required to address and make recommendations concerning the following:

    i. Whether any changes or improvements to Brickyard Road or Falls Road are appropriate or desirable to mitigate traffic congestion, volume, or safety issues that may result from the proposed facility and its operations. Such changes might include widening of Brickyard Road in the area leading to the points of ingress and egress to the facility and parking area, to allow cars to turn in to the facility without blocking through traffic; and adding turn lanes to the intersection of Falls Road and Brickyard Road, again to allow turns without blocking through traffic on these two-lane roads.

    ii. Whether improvements, traffic calming measures or other changes may be necessary or appropriate at affected intersections (including but not necessarily limited to the intersections of Brickyard Road and: (i) Falls Road, (ii) McArthur Boulevard; (iii) River Falls Road; (iv) Hackamore Street (2); (v) Coach Street; (vi) Horseshoe Lane (2); (vii) Stable Lane; (viii) Kingsgate Road; and (ix) Brent Road). Such changes might include interchange improvements or measures to discourage speeding and "cutting through" residential neighborhoods such as speed bumps at the point where each residential street intersects Brickyard Road, or regulations prohibiting through traffic through those neighborhoods and signage advising motorists of those prohibitions.

c. Finally, the Sublessor should be required to implement – at its own expense -- the traffic control and mitigation measures recommended by the traffic study, unless the Sublessor can demonstrate that the recommendations are arbitrary, capricious, or irrational.

d. Because some improvements may be involve significant costs, the proposed Sublease should further provide that the Sublessee may withdraw from the Sublease and the project without penalty or breach if it determines recommended traffic mitigation and control measures would be too expensive.

IV. <u>The Proposal or Response to the RFP</u> (Proposed Sublease appears to refer to this as the "Proposal" – See Proposed Sublease 6.A), Exhibit C2 to Sublease in documents provided by County for public review. Many of the comments and concerns expressed with respect to the proposed Sublease, the RFP, and the Lease are applicable to the Response/Proposal (for clarity and consistency, I will refer

to Exhibit C2 as the "Proposal" for the remainder of these comments and objections). Those relevant comments and objections are generally not repeated here, and instead are incorporated by reference to these comments and objections concerning the Proposal.

1) As discussed several times above, does the County intend that the Proposal be made part of the Sublease and that the terms, provisions, and undertakings of the Proposal are binding terms and conditions of that proposed Sublease? Does the proposed Sublessee agree?

a) If the answer to either of those questions is "no" or "we do not know," then the proposed Sublease must be modified to include relevant terms and provisions of the Proposal. The remaining comments concerning the Proposal are based on the assumption that its provisions would be binding terms of the proposed Sublease and agreement between the County and MSI.

b) If the provisions of the Proposal are not made binding provisions of a legally binding Sublease or agreement, what legal power or authority would the County have to require the Sublessor to comply with the undertakings, representations, proposals, and promises of the Proposal?

2) Concept Statement (Section 2). Like the RFP, Proposed Sublease and other documents available for comment, all provisions of this section of the Proposal are far too general and lacking in specifics to allow full meaningful evaluation. As objected above, if the County does not intend to enter any more detailed or specific Sublease or agreement with the developer and operator, the Proposed Sublease and its exhibits are woefully inadequate to discharge the County's duties and responsibilities with respect to the review, supervision, regulation, and oversight of private uses of public land. By the same token, those documents are wholly lacking in the level of detail and specific requirements and provisions required to allow meaningful public evaluation and comment on the proposed project, facility, and operation. Without waiving that strong objection, I submit the following comments and objections concerning the general provisions of the Proposal.

a) The "Concept Statement" (Section 2) briefly proposes several general phases, during which the project would be designed, constructed, and operated. Because these phase labels are essentially meaningless without their substantive details, they offer no meaningful opportunity to comment or provide input.

i) Will the public will be given opportunity to comment and be heard on the actual plans and proposals for each of the proposed phases set forth in the Proposal, *i.e.* when the Sublessee puts some substantive "meat" on the extremely bare bones of the proposed phases? If not, I object strenuously and request an explanation. Please include in your explanation how you contend the documents provided for review by the County constitute a meaningful opportunity to review, evaluate, and provide input concerning the substance and specific parameters of the proposed project and operations, given that the documents provide few to no substantive details concerning the project.

ii) If there will be not be further opportunity to comment on the project as specific details and parameter of the project are developed or made public, I object to this process as inadequate, arbitrary and capricious, and unlawful.

b) The very general "Site Plan" and schematic drawings are expressly described as "preliminary" and "conceptual" and as "require[ing]" future revisions. Once again, such general, preliminary, and entirely changeable project outlines provide very little basis for meaningful review or comment. And again, in order to allow meaningful comment on the actual detailed plan and proposal, the County must allow comments at each significant stage of the evolution that Plan and proposal.

c) The Site Plan states that the Sublessor will make available approximately 3 acres for a community garden and organic educational center. Other documents suggest only 2 acres will be required. If the Proposal undertakes to provide 3 acres and other documents suggest only two acres, which requirement is binding on Sublessee (must it provide 2 or 3 acres for the organic garden and education facility)?

d) Parking spaces. The Proposal undertakes to provide "approximately 220 parking spaces" for visitors. How does the proposed Sublessee or the County know how many parking spaces will be necessary, or if 220 spaces will be adequate? The proposed Sublease should be amended to require the construction of parking spaces sufficient to accommodate all visitor vehicles on the peak vehicle volume hour of the year plus 10 percent, perhaps with a floor of no fewer than 220 spaces. The proposed Sublease should be further amended to require the Sublessor promptly to install additional parking spaces in the event that peak vehicle hour volume is higher than anticipated or increases during the term of the Sublease.

3) Section 2.B, Budget. MSI states that its budget projection is predicated on four assumptions, the first of which is "MSI will not be responsible for improvements to Brickyard Road or its intersections beyond what exists at the the time of this submittal."

i) Does the County agree that while the Proposal says its pro forma budget is based on this assumption, the County does not intend that assumption to constitute a binding contractual (Sublease) requirement or condition that MSI will not be required to pay for improvements or modifications to Brickyard Road, intersections, or other traffic control or mitigation measures necessitated by the project? If the County or MSI intends this pro forma budget estimate assumption to be a binding requirement of the proposed Sublease or agreement, the proposed Sublease must be amended to clarify that this is not a requirement, term, or condition of the sublease. Indeed, as requested in comments regarding the Sublease document above, the Sublessor should be required to pay for any and all road improvements made appropriate, reasonable, or necessary by its proposed project (including any and all road improvements or other traffic control and mitigation measures recommended by a neutral objective traffic study).

4) Section 3, "Neighborhood Impact"

a) MSI states that it will conduct a noise impact study, but does not undertake to implement noise mitigation measures to address any noise impacts identified in that study. The proposed Sublease should be amended to require not just a study, but implementation of measures to fully address any noise impacts.

b) Traffic study. MSI summarizes the results of a preliminary traffic analysis it commissioned.

i) As previously stated, a full and comprehensive traffic study should be conducted by a neutral objective qualified traffic consultant, not a consultant selected by the Sublessee.

ii) Also as previously requested, the Sublease should be amended to require the Sublessor to implement any traffic control or mitigation measures recommended by a comprehensive traffic study (including road improvements) as well as any other traffic control measures required by the County.

c) MSI states that it "proposes" no field lighting, no public address system, no synthetic turf fields, and fencing sufficient to prevent unauthorized persons from accessing the facility. As discussed in greater detail above, the proposed Sublease should be revised to expressly prohibit field lighting, public address system, and synthetic turf fields, and to require fencing or other measures sufficient to prevent unauthorized access or use of the fields and facility. *See* Comments on Sublease, item 12. Importantly, to alleviate community concerns and suspicions, those particular provisions should be made absolute and unamendable. *See id.* (pp 16-17 *supra*).

V. Amendments to the Proposal, Exhibit C3. This consists of a letter from MSI to DGS dated March 19, 2012, and a response letter from DGS to MSI dated March 22, 2012.

1) What is the purpose or effect of the March 19 MSI Letter? It states that it is merely an "illustration" and that the site maps are only "conceptual." It appears this does not establish any binding contractual terms of the Sublease. Is this correct? If not, what binding terms is it intended to establish? How?

2) March 21 Letter from DGS to MSI.

a) Item 1 – again, the proposed Sublease should be revised to provide that if the Lease is extended, a new Sublease may (or may not) be offered to Sublessee.

b) Item 3 – again, the limit on tournaments, playoff games, and championships is vague and ambiguous, and the language should be modified to clearly state the limitation.

c) Item 4 states that the "budget" for Phase I work is that stated in the March 19 letter. But the March 19 letter simply purports to be providing an "illustration" of a possible budget, not a hard and fast budget? And, the illustrative budget number has been transformed to a trigger for the Sublessee's right to withdraw from the sublease agreement (if expenses exceed that amount). Is this what the parties intend?

d) Item 5. As previously stated, 12 hours per day is more than sufficient. The Sublease should be modified to return to a 12-hour per day (9 AM to 9 PM) maximum. If warm-up or set-up time is required, it should commence at 9 AM, not any earlier.

e) Item 6. "Revised conceptual plan" references MSI March 19 letter attachment 1 as the basis for Phase I development tasks. The only attachments to that letter provided in the documents made available for review were two conceptual drawings that are barely readable and do not seem to provide the elements listed in Item 6. With respect to the elements of Item 6, I have the following objections and comments.

(i) They are all described as "conceptual" and thus do not appear to be final. At the same time the March 21 letter states that Phase I "will include" the listed elements – this sounds like a binding requirement and direction, not a preliminary concept. Again, if these are the final "detailed" requirements of the Sublease, they are far too general and do not impose significant specific requirements, and thus are wholly inadequate to discharge the County's responsibilities. And, they do not allow full and adequate review and comment. If these are not intended as the final details and requirements, I reiterate my request that public comment be allowed whenever significant elements of the proposal are finalized and made public, and in all events before any of the proposed work is commenced.

(ii) Item i. States that the two fields "likely will be moved forward on the site." This provides an illustration of how the provisions of the proposed Sublease made available for comment are entirely insufficient to allow meaningful and informed review and comment. For example:

- What direction is "forward" on the Brickyard Property? (compass directions would have been more useful)

- How far "forward" will the fields be moved in comparison to the conceptual reference drawing in the March 19 MSI letter?

- How will that moving forward affect the location of other improvements and features of the proposed facility, such as the parking area, amenities, ingress and egress points, the playground and organic garden and educational facility, etc.?

- If it affects ingress and egress, how might that affect traffic flow and potential traffic problems?

- Does the County (DGS) have a right to review and approve or disapprove the location or "movement" of the location of the fields? Where does the proposed Sublease provide for any such review and approval (not simply for compliance with pre-existing generally applicable regulations by other county agencies)?

- How are "full-sized fields" defined? Have the parties agreed on the dimensions and required features of full-sized fields (e.g. what type and parameters of seating areas and buffer spaces, what type and dimensions of fences, etc.)? Where are those and similar details provided in the Sublease? Same comments apply to Phase 2 provision for a "third full-sized field" or "two half-sized fields." How are those terms defined? Is it left to the Sublessee's discretion to determine the parameters of such fields or will the County review and approve (or disapprove) proposed parameters?

(iii) Item ii. How do the parties know at this juncture how many parking spaces will be necessary? As requested above, the proposed Sublease should be revised to require that, after a full objective traffic study is completed, the estimated peak hourly number of vehicles visiting the facility (because soccer games often last more than an hour and players and spectators do not arrive and depart immediately at the beginning and end of each game, the peak number of cars at the facility will likely substantially exceed the estimated peak volume of cars entering or leaving the facility in a single hour).

(iv) Item iii. "Ingress and egress" This item is singularly unilluminating. Unless the facility is going to be unused, it will require ingress and egress. But this element requires nothing more than "ingress and egress," providing no parameters, guidelines, or requirements (not even preliminary or conceptual requirements) whatsoever.

(v) Item ix. What sort of a pavilion may be installed? What would be its purpose or permissible use?

(vi) Item xii. Additional parking "as deemed necessary." As deemed necessary by whom and according to what criteria?

* * * *

In sum, the proposed Sublease and other agreement documents made available for review are incomplete and inadequate to allow the sort of public review and comment required by law and sound public policy and decisionmaking regarding public resources. The proposed Sublease (and "development agreement") is little more than a bare bones general outline of conceptual plans, general

aspirations and minimal requirements, terms and conditions regarding the actual design, construction, and operation of the facility, or measures to mitigate the effects of the proposed facility and operations on the the people who live in the surrounding communities. In terms of specific details, binding requirements and parameters for the actual development and operation of the field, the Sublease documents contain little more than the County's transfer of its lease rights to a private entity for purposes of constructing and operating a soccer facility for a nominal fee, admonition to comply with generally applicable laws and requirements, and delegation of nearly all further authority and responsibility for the project, its parameters, and operation – constructed and operated on public land – to a private entity. The proposed Sublease depicts an underdeveloped (at least in the documents made available for review), underexamined, underscrutinized, and essentially unsupervised project on public land, which the County is treating as essentially a turn-key operation, entirely outsourced to a private company to conduct and operate as it wishes, subject to very minimal general requirements and vague guidance.

Moreover, and of more specific, direct, and immediate concern to my family and I and our neighbors, the proposed Sublease and agreement provides very little legally binding or enforceable protections or requirements for mitigation of adverse effects for area residents. Instead, it offers aspirational language encouraging consideration of the concerns of residents and precatory language without specific requirements, enforcement provisions, or other "teeth" to require meaningful measures to address the real and legitimate concerns of residents. We deserve more from our County government than this superficial set of documents and token opportunity to comment on a proposal that has yet to be developed. This is particularly disappointing in light of the covert way the County and the Board of Education effected the transfer ("lease") of the land and attendant narrow designation of permissible use, in a process conducted without public knowledge or input. The County disclosed what was essentially a done deal – and the very narrow and restrictive terms of that deal that favored a single private interest -- only after it was too late to change the decision. The County (DGS) now appears to be on the verge of exacerbating that error by hastily entering a fundamentally flawed agreement that fails to adequately protect the interests of area residents.

In order to partially rectify this situation and afford some level of meaningful public participation in this process, I reiterate three basic requests: 1. That the DGS carefully consider and fully respond to each and every one of the questions, comments, and objections set forth in this document, and where it finds that changes or revisions are warranted, to make them; 2. That the County (DGS) not enter a Sublease or authorize the proposed project and operation until more details are made available and the public has ample opportunity for comment on more specific and detailed project proposals, and the County has an full opportunity to consider that public comment and input; and 3. In all events, the County insist on specific, binding, and enforceable terms and conditions on the project and operation of the proposed facility that adequately protect the interests and rights of area residents, and mitigate the effects of the project and operations on those citizens. If the County is unwilling or unable to comply with these reasonable requests, I ask that it withdraw its RFP and terminate any further consideration of the proposed project.

Thank you in advance for your time and careful consideration of and responses to these objections and comments. Unlike some area residents, I am not inalterably opposed to the use of the Brickyard Property, or some portion of it, for athletic fields. Instead, I am asking that the County fully discharge its responsibilities by authorizing any such project only after full and adequate consideration and examination of a complete and detailed project plan and proposal; and after adopting appropriate modifications and requirements to protect the interests of all of the public, particularly those who would be most acutely affected by the project – area residents.

I think this is an important and potentially telling test, not only of DGS, but also of the County Executive and the County Council and their ability and willingness to act in a deliberate, sound, and wise manner that balances the interests of all members of the public. Accordingly, I am copying the County Executive, and members of the Council with these objections and comments.

Sincerely,

/s/

Paul A. Hemmersbaugh

Cc: David Dise
County Executive Leggett
County Council Members

FromName	Brett and Sonia
FromAddress	brettsonia@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Please build more fields for our children
Body	hi, I am a parent, I want to request if you can build more sport fields in montgomery county specially in my zip code 20906, we don't have anought fielsd that are on good condition, and please promote and facilitate that children practice sports. The schools in my zip code the children are getting delincuents, around high school age, they don't go sports after school. (Wheaton high). Sonya

FromName	Bruce Cort
FromAddress	bruce@cortjet.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	BRICKYARD ROAD SOCCER FIELDS - ATTN MS. CYN
Body	DEAR MS. BRENNEMAN: As a resident of the Potomac Falls community, I would like to object to the County's plans to lease the land to MSI for the purpose of building soccer fields. This will drastically increase the levels of traffic, noise and pollution to our area. Additionally, the fertilizers used and runoff created by the soccer fields and parking areas are toxic to the Potomac River and Chesapeake Bay watershed. This land should be left in perpetuity as farmland and used both as a teaching site and agricultural preserve for future generations to respect and enjoy. While I support children's sports and have children of my own, I feel it is important to make certain that this valuable piece of land be left undeveloped. Sincerely, Bruce W. Cort 11100 Gilcrist Court Potomac, MD 20854 Tel, 301-299-0005

FromName	Bruce E. Kiracofe
FromAddress	aberfoyle_place@msn.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	ETorrey/Support of the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project, and hope that Montgomery County will too. We need more fields for our children, and this project can benefit many in a number of different ways. Thank you. elizabeth Elizabeth Torrey 5421 Harwood Road Bethesda, MD 20814

FromName	C. A. Midlam
FromAddress	c_a_midlam@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support Brickyard Road Soccer Project
Body	I am writing to express my support for the Brickyard Road Soccer Project. Supporting this project will be a benefit to many children in our community who engage in soccer as a means of improving health, cooperation, teamwork and respect. Please support the fulfillment of this project. Sincerely,Courtney Midlam11902 Leatherbark WayGermantown, MD 20874 □□ □ □□

FromName	Carey Creed
FromAddress	careycreed@starpower.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	MSI sublease
Body	Dear Ms. Brenneman, Please don't let the County's decision to sublease the Nick's Organic Farm acreage to MSI be the last word on the subject. Preserving Nick's would create a valuable, very unique outdoor educational opportunity for Montgomery County youth. The issue of subleasing the land to MSI highlights a missed chance, here, to foster future farmers and ecologists, as well to preserve the land for healthy crops to feed people and wildlife who thrive on them. Thanks very much for your consideration. Carey Creed 10203 Duvawn Place Silver Spring, MD 20902

FromName	Carl Helman
FromAddress	helman@msn.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	ATTENTION BRICKYARD COMMENTS
Body	I disapprove of the potential lease with MSI for soccer fields on Brickyard Road. Carl Helman 6514 Brickyard Road Potomac, MD 20854 301-299-8762

FromName	Carlos Calles Castillo
FromAddress	carloscallesc@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support for the Brickyard Soccer Project,
Body	I'm Carlos A Calles, 8610 Lancaster Dr. Bethesda MD 20814. I *express to you my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project*, -- *Carlos Calles Castillo* *1-301-335-9212* *Bethesda, Maryland* *USA*

FromName	Carmi
FromAddress	ccarmi@gmail.com
ToName	brickyardcoalition@gmail.com;ORE, DGS
ToAddress	brickyardcoalition@gmail.com;/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMI
Subject	Petition
Body	To whom concern: I raised my family on Brickyard road, and my family and I currently live there. This soccer field would be a huge mistake. I want my voice heard and all of the families that live in this community should have a say in what happens to this community. We pay very high taxes to be able to have the luxury of living here and do not wish to have the soccer fields across the street. The manner in which this is occurring is also wrong, and secretive. I don't want MSI to construct, manage or operate soccer fields on the Brickyard School Public Property. We believe the secret process which the county has followed is improper and illegal. We demand that a new and completely transparent process that is in compliance with County Law be commenced to determine the best use for the Brickyard property. Thank you! Michael and Irene Carmi 8712 Brickyard Road Potomac, MD 20854 301-983-5186

FromName	Carol Lange
FromAddress	carol.lange26@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	brickyard soccer project
Body	I am in support of this project. The youth in Montgomery County need better soccer fields to play on. Many fields used currently are not maintained well and lead to many injuries. Carol Lange Farmland Drive Rockville MD 20852

FromName	Carol Loitman Greenspun
FromAddress	clgreenspun@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	My family has 4 young soccer players in it and we support the Brickyard Soccer Project. Won't you, please? Carol Greenspun 14412 Quietwood Terrace Gaithersburg, MD 20878 H: (301) 294-3160 C: (240) 813-5753 clgreenspun@comcast.net

FromName	Carol Prestowitz
FromAddress	cvprest@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard comments
Body	We disapprove of the lease. Carol and Clyde Prestowitz, 10420 Masters Terrace, Potomac, Md. 20854 phone 9832304

FromName	Carol Prestowitz
FromAddress	cvprest@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Disapproval to the lease
Body	We disapprove of the lease. Carol and Clyde Prestowitz, 10420 Masters Terrace, Potomac, Md. Phone 983-2304

FromName	Carolee Rand
FromAddress	crand4@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	We DISAPPROVE granting a lease to MSI to create soccer fields at the Brickyard Road site without notifying the community. It should be illegal for the county to go forward with a project of this size without informing the community. The MSI contract should be stopped. C. Rand and R. W. McCluskie, Potomac, MD 20854 -- Quality hand made violins: http://McCluskieViolins.com

FromName	Caroline van den Berg
FromAddress	chjmvandenberg@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Dear Sir, Madam I would like to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project, and to encourage them to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Kind regards, Caroline van den Berg

FromName	Carolynn young
FromAddress	carolynnyoung@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am writing in support of the Brickyard Soccer Project. We need your help to develop more and better fields throughout Montgomery County. My son, Evan Young, plays soccer through MSI. Thank you for your consideration,Carolynn Young14516 Triple Crown PlaceNorth Potomac, MD 20878 □□ □ □□

FromName	Caryn Gardiner
FromAddress	ckrooth@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Msi soccer
Body	Hi there, I played msi soccer as a kid and now assistant coach my daughters team. Please consider the brickyard project or any other possible fields for our kids to play. Thank you! Caryn Caryn Krooth Gardiner Realtor® Long and Foster, Bethesda, MD 240-497-1700 (office) 301-802-4182 (cell) caryn.gardiner@longandfoster.com http://caryngardiner.lnfre.com http://www.google.com/profiles/caryn.gardiner "ultimate service is my priority" www.needhomeinfo.com

FromName	Cat Goodyear
FromAddress	catgoodyear@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Dear Montgomery County Leaders, I am writing to show my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project so that my own children, and thousands of children throughout our county, may have more and better playing fields to use for years to come. In a time when childhood obesity, sedentary lifestyles, and overworked families are threatening our community's health and happiness, activities like MSI soccer are more important that ever. My son has played soccer since he was in kindergarten, and my husband has volunteered hundreds of hours to coach every spring and fall since 2008. Each year, the field situation becomes more obvious, as more and more children want to play. What a terrific thing, right?! Except that it is clear that the county needs more fields, in more locations across the county. The area at Brickyard is ideal for those of us who live in the west and southern aspect of Montgomery County. The residents in that area, who have used* illegal signage along Falls Road* to object the Brickyard Project, have enough money and power to fight endlessly over the development of these fields. It is frightening to think that their socioeconomic status will influence you, our county officials, to look the other way or vote against this wonderful opportunity. We need more playing fields. We need areas for children and families to be outside, active, engaging in healthy games, and learning sportsmanship. I support the Brickyard Soccer Project. Please do the right thing and also support this initiative.

Sincerely,

Catherine W. Goodyear
12308 Old Canal Road
Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Catharine Trauernicht
FromAddress	cwtrau@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	MSI contract
Body	To Whom it may concern, We are appalled by the decision made by Montgomery County to allow MSI to develop soccer fields where an organic farm is currently located along Brickyard Road in Potomac, not because we necessarily oppose development of the land, but because THERE HAS BEEN NO TRAFFIC STUDY regarding the impact on traffic along Brickyard and Falls Roads, nor have we seen appropriate study of the CONSEQUENCES OF WATER RUN-OFF once the fields are paved. Furthermore, we have seen no assurance that LIGHTING will not be allowed on this property. What happened with the REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL? Why haven't the citizens surrounding this area been notified of the results of that RFP? Why does the County remain SILENT toward the taxpayers who pay their salaries?? This controversy will not end until our elected officials give due respect to their constituents. Sincerely, the Scott family Potomac

FromName	Cathleen
FromAddress	cathel2000@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project, and encourage you to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Thank you, Cathleen Piemme 5806 Lone Oak Drive Bethesda, MD 20814

FromName	Cathy Candelmo
FromAddress	candelmo4@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project
Body	I am in support of the brickyard soccer project. Cathy Candelmo 10504 Farnham drive Bethesda, MD 20814

FromName	Cathy Day
FromAddress	cathyday@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Against Brickyard Soccer
Body	Dear Elected Representatives, I'm writing to ask that you please not move forward with the Brickyard Road soccer fields. Please let the farm continue to operate in the manner in which it has been doing. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Cathy Day 432 Southwest Drive Silver Spring, MD 20901

FromName	Cathy Fink
FromAddress	cfink@mindspring.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Disapprove of MSU lease
Body	I strongly oppose the agreement with MSI to construct, manage and operate soccer fields on the Brickyard School public property. I believe the secret process which the County has followed is improper and illegal. I believe that a new and completely transparent process that is in compliance with County law should commenced to determine the best use for the Brickyard property. Cathy Fink Today¹s Hats Social Music Conductor!, CEO-Chief EVERYTHNG Officer @ Cathy & Marcy World Enterprises (including hole puncher), Optimist, Recycler, Cycler, Travel Agent, Bookkeeper, Shipping Clerk, Secretary, Label Manager, Artist Rep., Grammy Winner, Banjo Player, Pro Bono Advisor, Satirist, Content Creator, Dishwasher, Songwriter, Activist, Chameleon, Hat Changer www.cathymarcy.com

FromName	cathymahm@aol.com
FromAddress	cathymahm@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer fields
Body	Please support the Brickyard Soccer Project. Our kids need places to exercise and play sports to stay healthy. Thank you. Cathy Mahmud 7416 Oskaloosa Drive Derwood, MD 20855

FromName	CB
FromAddress	cbbstores@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	brickyard soccer - don't approve
Body	I do not approve of these fields…my main concern is the increase in traffic on Falls Rd that will directly affect my neighborhood, Potomac Falls. Falls road is already too crowded, especially during weekday evenings and on the weekends when we have multiple groups of cyclists, Canal traffic as well as your typical neighborhood traffic. I also feel it is wrong for MSI to lobby its entire list of soccer players to approve fields that are more than 30 minutes away from most of their players. This is a highly controversial issue that really affects a small group of people in a highly concentrated area. And, I am not referring to the River Falls residents. Please be fair in your assessment of this subject especially in regards to traffic!

FromName	Chabi Nimcheck
FromAddress	chabi@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support for the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To whom it may concern, I wish to express my strong support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. I also support your efforts to develop more and better soccer fields throughout Montgomery County. Thank you,Michael Zuo11020 Haislip CourtPotomac, MD 20854 □□ □ □□

FromName	cheri mercurio
FromAddress	cherimercurio25@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Please approve the Brickyard Soccer Project. I will be such a asset for our youth. Cheri Mercurio 9100 EWing Dr Bethesda MD 20817

FromName	Cheryl Battan
FromAddress	cherylbattan@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support for Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Hello, I am sending this message to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. I encourage our county government to develop more and better soccer fields throughout the County. Thank you, Cheryl Battan 5400 Wehawken Road Bethesda, MD 20816 cherylbattan@gmail.com 301-320-9873

FromName	Child, David
FromAddress	David.Child@ritzcarlton.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	County Fields
Body	To whom it may concern, I as a resident of Montgomery County I would like to strongly suggest that the county fields get some well deserved maintenance. I have 5 children who enjoy playing sports, including soccer, lacrosse and field hockey and it is very upsetting to me when I hear that teams from neighboring counties have no wish to play our teams in our county due to the deplorable condition of the fields. Additional, my son recently twisted his ankle due to the uneven condition of a local field and although I am not a litigious person, it leads me to have concern that others who might be could take legal action against the county which could be a far greater cost than simply repairing and maintaining the fields. Regards, David Child Hotel Manager The Ritz-Carlton Washington, D.C. 1150 22nd Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20037 Phone (202) 835 0500 Direct (202) 974 5504 Fax: (202) 974-5505 david.child@ritzcarlton.com <mailto:david.child@ritzcarlton.com> www.ritzcarlton.com <http://www.ritzcarlton.com/>

THE RITZ-CARLTON CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY INFORMATION
This communication contains information from The Ritz-Carlton Hotel
Company, L.L.C. that may be confidential. Except for personal use by the
intended recipient, or as expressly authorized by the sender, any person
who receives this information is prohibited from disclosing, copying,
distributing, and/or using it. If you have received this communication
in error, please immediately delete it and all copies, and promptly
notify the sender. Nothing in this communication is intended to operate
as an electronic signature under applicable law.

FromName	Chitra Nangia
FromAddress	chitranangia@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Fw: ATTN: Brickyard Comment
Body	

----- Forwarded Message -----
From: Chitra Nangia <chitranangia@yahoo.com>
To: "dgs.ore@montogmerycountymd.gov"
<dgs.ore@montogmerycountymd.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, April 11, 2012 12:50 PM
Subject: ATTN: Brickyard Comment

To Whom it May Concern:

I would like to express our opposition to the proposed use of the space on Brickyard Rd. Please consider postponing this till more study can be done. At a time when crime is already high and real estate values are so low, this proposed plan will increase crime and lower the values even further. THis is not good for Montgomery County nor its residents. Also with times as they are, the need for additional sports plex is lower.

I ask for you to consider some really innovative use of the land that can make Montgomery County a trend setter. Maybe a bicycle area and a high end organic food mart...a dog park with a charge to enter...or some even approach some builders to build townhouses.

Please consider the current proposals as not suitable.

thank you and warm wishes,

Chitra

FromName	Chrissy Spigel
FromAddress	cspigel@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	We adamantly OPPOSE the MSI lease! There has been a complete disregard to the surrounding neighborhoods for their input. We are disgusted by which this entire issue has played out. Chrissy & Jeff Spigel 8209 River Falls Drive Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Christian Cecil
FromAddress	cf.cecil@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Good evening, This is to express support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. My daughter greatly enjoys getting the opportunity to play soccer in the area. It is a great sport and it is growing with the help of organisations like MSI. Thanks and regards, Chris Cecil Bethesda

FromName	Christina Bour
FromAddress	christinabour@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer field project
Body	Hello: I am writing to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. Thank you, Christina Bour 13614 Russett Ter. Rockville MD 20853

FromName	Christine Armstrong
FromAddress	carmstrong24@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	OPPOSED TO BRICKYARD SOCCER
Body	We absolutely OPPOSED the Brickyard Soccer field project. We live in River Falls and do not want any more traffic cutting through our neighborhood. We are supporters of MSI as we have players in the organization but we do not want the fields on Brickyard! We will gladly drive 20 minutes each way for soccer games. Traffic is already an issue in our neighborhood and I have four young children who I have trouble letting play outside in fear of getting hit by commuters flying through our neighborhood streets. I can only imagine how bad it will be when people are late for soccer games....I have seen it done in the Germantown complex (people flying around circles because they are late!) Please find a better place for this Thank you, Christine Armstrong 202.262.2387

FromName	Christine McKee
FromAddress	christine.mckee@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am writing in support of the Brickyard Soccer Project. My child has been playing in the MSI soccer program for many years now, as have the children of most of our friends and neighbors. As we all know, we battle for fields and practice times, and the conditions of the fields are, at times, less than desirable. I absolutely support the improvement and expansion of fields within the County. Christine McKee 608 Oak Knoll Terrace Rockville, MD 20850

FromName	Christine Nickels
FromAddress	cmnickels@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	soccer fields
Body	My daughter has played soccer witih MSI since 5th grade (she's now in 9th). She tried out for her school teams but never made the cut so MSI is the one opportunity she has to experience the camaraderie of a team and to enjoy the physical activity. I support the Brickyard Soccer Project and encourage the Montgomery County Government to work to develop more and better fields so that all county children can have the opportunity to play soccer. Christine Nickels 5301 Westbard Circle #344 Bethesda, MD 20816

FromName	Colette
FromAddress	mrsz@tmo.blackberry.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	My name is Colette Benie I leave in Montgomery county with my children who play soccer. I am sending this mail to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project, and to encourage you to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Thank you! Colette Benie 10726 Cloverbrooke Dr Potomac, Md 20854 Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

FromName	Colette Thibodeau
FromAddress	colettesimone1@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	More fields please !
Body	DGE, Please do what you can to continue making Montgomery County a great place for families by providing and maintaining sports fields. We have two school age children whose quality of life is greatly improved by playing soccer and baseball on Montgomery county fields beggining in preschool. The fields and recreational programs were a key reason we purchased a home in the county when we moved from Massachussetts more than 10 years ago. Keeping kids engaged with sports and athletics is a great way to keep them out of trouble! Thank you for doing what you can to continue to make Montgomery County a great place to live for families. Regards, Colette Thibodeau7722 Savannah DriveBethesda, MD 20817 □□ □ □□

FromName	Connie Ertel
FromAddress	connie_ertel@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for soccer fields
Body	To whom it may concern, I am writing to express my support for building soccer fields on Brickyard Road and any where else in Montgomery County as I firmly believe there is a shortage of soccer fields. Sincerely, Connie Ertel 13321 Glen Mill Road Rockville, MD 20850

FromName	Cristina Hilsenrath
FromAddress	cristina.hilsenrath@me.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	Disapproval!We are against the proposed soccer fields on Brickyard School Property PETITION The citizens of Montgomery County listed below hereby strongly oppose the agreement with MSI to construct, manage and operate soccer fields on the Brickyard School public property. We believe the secret process which the County has followed is improper and illegal. We demand that a new and completely transparent process that is in compliance with County law be commenced to determine the best use for the Brickyard property. We are completely against the proposed soccer fields for the Brickyard School property. We are also completely against the process that county government used to go about making these agreements behind the backs of the citizens. We are journalists and believe that our rights as citizens must be upheld and that our county representatives should represent us! Jon and Cristina Hilsenrath 8301 Hackamore Drive Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Cynethia M. Williams
FromAddress	CWilliams@parkerlawoffice.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Good Afternoon, I would like to show my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. Thank you for your time. Respectfully, Cynethia Williams 1527 Heather Hollow Circle #22 Silver Spring MD 20904

FromName	cynthia milloy
FromAddress	cmilloy@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Oppose MSI soccer
Body	I strongly oppose the take over of the farm on Brickyard Rd for the purpose of soccer fields, having grown up on Brickyard Rd.(8712) this is an extreme intrusion to our neighborhood! Please do not pass this, you will be disturbing wildlife and creating a traffic nightmare. -- Cynthia Milloy cmilloy@gmail.com "Art teaches nothing, except the significance of life" Henry Miller

FromName	Dan Lukash
FromAddress	dlukash@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support for Brickyard soccer fields
Body	I support the project for more soccer fields Dan Lukash 33 Farm haven Ct Rockville, MD 20852

FromName	Dan Mahoney
FromAddress	danjmahoney@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am in my final year of coaching MSI soccer (10+ seasons with my 4 kids). I have seen firsthand the need for more fields. I am in support of the Brickyard Soccer Project. Sincerely Dan Mahoney 6204 Charnwood Drive Rockville, Maryland 20852 (301) 571-0149 (O) (240) 447-8165 (C)

FromName	Dan Weitzel
FromAddress	dpweitzel@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard site
Body	I strongly oppose the destruction of the organic farm and the construction of soccer fields. I have several reasons why I am opposed, but the primary reason is the blatant violation of the public trust and breach of fiduciary duty by the county executive and his staff. Dan Weitzel. 7604 River Falls Drive Potomac, MD 29854 Sent from my I Phone

FromName	Dani Crichton
FromAddress	Dani@slyrooster.com
ToName	Riemer's Office, Councilmember;Andrews's Office, Councilm
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Our family is OPPOSED to the Brickyard Soccer Project. We are most concerned with the great increase of traffic flowing in and around our neighborhood during the hours that our children are home (after school and on weekends), walking, running and riding their bicycles to and from friends' houses and back and forth to our community field house. Brickyard Road is not equipped to accommodate the flow of cars and our small neighborhood is certainly not prepared for the inevitable cut-thru traffic. Our three children happen to play soccer for MSI and we have no trouble traveling to the schools throughout the county for them to play their games and practice with their teams. The inconvenience is one we will continue to gladly bear in order to ensure that we are traveling to fields that can accommodate the flow of cars. We believe that if the Mr. Leggitt had allowed this proposal to go through the proper channels, it would never have gotten as far as it has. We hope that the system will right itself and the soccer fields will be rejected until all of the research can be completed and evaluated. Thank you for your consideration, Dani & Gary Crichton 8209 Hackamore Drive Potomac, MD PS: We had chosen to show our support to "Save Brickyard" by posting two signs on our front lawn. These signs were stolen (from our lawn and throughout our neighborhood) and the sharp metal posts were left sticking upright in our grass. My husband inadvertently mowed over one, damaging our lawn mower and our son fell onto another while playing running bases in our yard. We have been uncertain who we should notify about this vandalism so I thought I might mention it while I have your attention.

FromName	Daniel Korn
FromAddress	dkorn@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard
Body	I know this is going to sound surprising, but as a parent with 2 kids in the MSI soccer program, and as an MSI coach for nearly 10 years, I'm opposed to removing the organic seed farm from the property. With all of the news these days about GMO crops, Monsanto, and all of the problems in our food system, how can we be thinking of removing this one-of-a-kind farm? I know we need downcounty soccer fields, but removing these crops is not what's best for the county. I am opposed to putting soccer fields on the Brickyard property unless a suitable plot can be found for the existing farm.

FromName	Daniel TerBush
FromAddress	terbush@wis.edu
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Field Complex
Body	Dear Sir: I m writing to show my support for the Brickyard soccer Field project. My son is an avid soccer player and I feel that the county should support soccer. Sincerely, Daniel TerBush, Ph.D.

FromName	Danielle and Bill O'Neil
FromAddress	bdoneil@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Fields
Body	Its not too late to do the right things and build the necessary soccer fields at brickyard. I am a lifelong resident of Montgomery County, a soccer player and the parents of soccer players. I grew up in Potomac and live nearby now. We need more fields, and the kids need better facilities. We want kids who want to be outside playing games, loving sports, and it sends the wrong message to let a few, lets face it, very wealthy neighbors to prevent this. Please build the soccer fields. Bill O'Neil

FromName	Daniels, Tricia
FromAddress	tdaniels@alvarezandmarsal.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard
Body	Dear County officials, I do NOT support the soccer fields on Brickyard Rd. I live right next to the site and was never notified of this change in plans for the site. I think the process for any development on this property needs to be transparent. Best, Tricia Daniels 8113 Hackamore Drive Potomac, MD 20854 ********** This message is intended only for the use of the addressee(s) and may contain information that is PRIVILEGED and CONFIDENTIAL. If you are not the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please erase all copies of the message and its attachments and notify us immediately. This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. **********

FromName	daralan@comcast.net
FromAddress	daralan@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Development of New Soccer Fields
Body	I would like to express my support for the development of new soccer fields on the public land at Brickyard in Potomac, MD. Soccer has become such a popular sport with young people in our community and it is important to support their desire to play as a way of ensuring good health and athleticism in our kids. Thank you for your consideration. Dara Lansat Rockville, MD

FromName	Dave Dobbins
FromAddress	dave.dobbins@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer
Body	I write to express my support for the planned soccer fields at Brickyard. The simple fact is the county needs more fields, particularly in this area. Dave Dobbins 8222 Stone Trail Dr. Bethesda, MD 20817 Sent from my iPad

FromName	Dave@3dr360.com
FromAddress	Dave@3dr360.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I support for the Brickyard Soccer Project, and to encourage y
Body	I support for the Brickyard Soccer Project, and to encourage you to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. David Roe 6203 Swords Way Bethesda, MD 20817 David Roe | Direct 202.369.1455 Mobile Web | Desktop Web | QR Code | SMS | Google Adwords PPC

FromName	David Barnes
FromAddress	dbarnes53@msn.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Rd Soccer Project
Body	I am in agreement with MSI and other soccer organizations that Montgomery County needs more dedicated soccer fields. I have seen conditions deteriorate at improperly maintained school fields in the last few years. These fields were not designed for civic use and need to be maintained for our school children. So the development of dedicated fields is paramount not only for the continued development of American soccer talent in Montgomery County but also the preservation of school fields for our children. Montgomery County should make a concerted effort to meet those needs. Regards, David Barnes12615 Farnell DriveSilver Spring, MD 20906 301-949-1425 □□ □ □□

FromName	David Barr Snyderwine
FromAddress	barr@snyderwine.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	We are AGAINST the lease. The roads cannot take the traffic The roads have houses on them all the way to the field. It will be dangerous for kids. Someone will get hurt or worse. 4 fields are WAY too many fields for the area and the roads. Barr Snyderwine barr@snyderwine.com

FromName	David Barr Snyderwine
FromAddress	barr@snyderwine.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	I disapprove of the Brickyard lease David Barr Snyderwine barr@snyderwine.com

FromName	David Kaplan
FromAddress	globodera1@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I disapprove of all MSI lease comments
Body	*I dissaprove of all MSI lease comments.* ** *David Kaplan* *3615 Saul Road* *Kensington, MD 20895*

FromName	David Pellegrini
FromAddress	dspellegrini@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	SAY NO to the Brickyard "Soccer Project"
Body	Please note that, as a resident of Potomac, I am utterly AGAINST the Brickyard Soccer Project. This project would be a disaster for the neighborhood, and a traffic nightmare for users and non-users caught in the mess that will ensue. In addition, as a county VOTER, I am outraged by the lack of transparency in the process employed in attempt to sneak this project through without citizen knowledge and input. SAY NO to this pending disaster. David Pellegrini, Ph.D. 10430 Masters Terrace Potomac, MD 20854 301-983-3861

FromName	David R. Flumbaum
FromAddress	flumbaum@umd.edu
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support fo rthe Brickyard soccer proposal
Body	To whom it concerns, I support the proposal of a combined soccer complex/educational support facility at the Brickyard site. I believe it is a win/win proposal and would benefit all interested parties. David R. Flumbaum Assistant Director - Facilities and Projects University of Maryland Campus Recreation Services 1115 Eppley Recreation Center College Park, MD 20742 301-226-4429 work 301-226-4455 fax flumbaum@umd.edu [cid:image001.png@01CD1989.59C0BD70] Print this email only if necessary. Reduce, Reuse, Recycle.

FromName	David Weaver
FromAddress	dsweave@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am writing to express my strong support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. We are in desperate need of additional athletic fields in Montgomery county, especially in the down county area. MSI has worked responsibly and constructively to reach a compromise on this initiative and I hope that the county government will move forward with this proposal. David Weaver Volunteer Youth Soccer Coach 10219 Meredith Avenue Silver Spring, MD 20910 301.919.0569 Sent from my iPhone

FromName	David Wray
FromAddress	david@wraybrotherslandscapes.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Dear Montgomery County Government, I grew up playing MSI Soccer and now coach it. In my years playing and now coaching, having a good soccer field on which to play was and still is a rare thing. The Brickyard Soccer Project is a move in the right direction to give the soccer families in our county a quality site. Please support MSI in their efforts to make this project happen. I also encourage you to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. The benefits of quality soccer fields in our County go way beyond improving the level of play and enjoyment of the game. Think much bigger - for children to grow up experiencing a game popular around the world that connects people - think world peace. Soccer unites! Most sincerely, David T. Wray 4705 Drummond Avenue Chevy Chase, MD 20815

FromName	david@plotnek.org
FromAddress	david@plotnek.org
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Falls Road Fields
Body	I totally and 100% support the proposed Falls Road Soccer project and as someone living directly in the area only see positives coming from this. Thanks you David Plotnek Sent from my iPad

FromName	debbie ventimiglia
FromAddress	debbieventimiglia@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	
Body	To whom it may concern: I am writing to encourage your endeavors to build more soccer fields and to improve the ones we already have in Montgomery County. Thank you, Debbie Ventimiglia 515 Nelson Street Rockville, MD 20850

FromName	Deborah Reichmann
FromAddress	debreichmann@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Fields
Body	As a Potomac resident with two children enrolled in MSI soccer, I fully trust the company's promises to abstain from night time lighting and artificial turf. Playing fields are needed and beneficial to this community. I fully support the Brickyard Road project. Deborah Reichmann 12004 Greenleaf Ave Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Deborah Stein
FromAddress	dlstein@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Please support the Brickyard soccer field and other new socce
Body	I have been driving my kids to soccer practices and games for 15 years, and as a soccer team manager I know how hard it can be to schedule a field for practices. The fields we have are heavily overworked and often in very poor condition. We need more fields, and more fields that are in good condition. Soccer is an important sport to encourage since it offers some of the healthiest exercise and at the same time some of of the least risk of injury. The fields (frequently surrounded by trees) also offer important green sapce for our community.

FromName	Defensor, Rubi (NIH/CC/DCRI) [E]
FromAddress	RDefensor@cc.nih.gov
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support for the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	This is to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project

FromName	Denise Chambers
FromAddress	denise_chambers@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project. Denise Chambers 12103 Whippoorwill Ln Rockville, MD 20852

FromName	Devang Shah
FromAddress	shah@shahandkishore.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	
Body	As a coach for over eight years, the county needs new soccer fields at Brickyard road. PLEASE NOTE OUR NEW OFFICE ADDRESS Cropped Signature

FromName	Diana H. Kash
FromAddress	dhkash@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support brickyard soccer project
Body	Montgomery County, I have two children playing for MSI soccer now for several years. I support the Brickyard Soccer Project. We need more soccer fields in this area! Thank you, Diana Kash parent of two MSI soccer kids

FromName	Dobbyn/Teimourian family email
FromAddress	dingo@rcn.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for the Brickyard soccer fields
Body	I wish to register my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. I believe the soccer community, which serves so many children in our area, has shown ample willingness to compromise with the inclusion of the agricultural center. Tim Dobbyn 5207 Battery Ln Bethesda, MD 20814

FromName	Donald Goldstein
FromAddress	dag7337@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I support the use of the Brickyard property for soccer fields. I grew up playing soccer in Montgomery County, have two sons that have played soccer in the county and a daughter who currently plays. I have coached, refereed and managed soccer teams over the years. Additional quality recreational fields are needed in that part of the county. Thank you Donald Goldstein 17800 Calabar Drive Gaithersburg, MD 20877

FromName	Donald H Foley
FromAddress	foleyd1@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Petition
Body	As a longtime resident since 1986 on this beautiful residential neighborhood, please stop this inane petition to ruin our neighborhood, not only for me, but for our 4 children and 8 grandchildren. Thanks. Donald H Foley 10919 Martingale Ct Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Donald Sladkin
FromAddress	DMSladkin@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support MSI & Brickyard Soccer Fields
Body	As a long-time River Falls resident and father of 3 girls who played MSI soccer in their younger years, I strongly support construction of a soccer field complex at the Brickyard site. I believe that opposition to the fields is mainly (and incorrectly) inspired by concern for local property values, and that it neglects the good of the County community as a whole. Potomac needs all of the recreational space it can get, and it is ludicrous to allow a single, private individual to operate a profit-making enterprise (organic farm) on a large area of public land and to describe that as a better use of County property than community soccer fields. The County is within its rights to allow the middle school property to be contracted out for a community-wide purpose, and cries for "transparency" themselves call for transparency. Those arguing most vociferously against the Brickyard soccer fields, for example, seem to be using the issue for additional purposes, whether it be to denigrate the current County administration in general, or to enhance name recognition for the purposes of a residential real estate business. Arguments made against the soccer fields, when properly analyzed, fall apart of their own accord. Theses arguments tend, in fact, to support construction of the fields, if carried to their logical conclusions. Good public decisions need to be made based on sound governance principles, and fear-mongering should not be allowed into the process. Donald M. Sladkin 7009 MastersDrive Potomac, MD 20854 301-651-5142

FromName	Donna Foster
FromAddress	fosterd@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	From April of 2011, I have expressed my concern over the way the Brickyard property was acquired by Montgomery County from the Board of Education by appealing the BOE's decision along with others. I oppose the lack of public disclosure and transparency that the County Executive used to implement what certainly appears to be a prior agreement with MSI. I strongly oppose soccer fields on this property because of access, traffic issues and question of need for soccer fields in this location. Further, MSI has obviously had a concerted effort over years to implement this facility - note the MSI funds escrowed for lobbying for this effort (as well as withheld from their soccer players)- and contacts with the County Executive long before the transfer of property. I have never tied my objections to the organic farm as there are many uses that would be acceptable for the location. The county does not stand to gain financially from the venture - $1500 a year. The property will be basically handed over to MSI. Of greater concern, the agreement does not legally prevent future implementation of the public concerns re lighting, synthetic turf, etc., even though included in the agreement. The agreement is open to amendments later. How sad that the County Executive has partnered with MSI to push through this effort despite significant public opposition. A revisiting of the original transfer of property is in order at a minimum. Public discussion and hearings will reveal what the public really wants. Donna Foster 10425 MacArthur Blvd, Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Donna Senfaute
FromAddress	donnasenfaute@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support for the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Hi,I support the Brickyard Soccer Project. We need more good soccer fields ion our area, and the land will be an open grassy area, so I dont know why folks are so concerned. It's not an eye sore, and as for the environment, the grass takes out carbon dioxide from the air and produces oxygen, so not sure why some folks are so up in arms. Regards, Donna Senfaute12269 Greenleaf AvenuePotomac, MD 20854 □□ □ □□

FromName	dpweitzel@comcast.net
FromAddress	dpweitzel@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Comments
Body	I am not in favor of the MSI lease agreement anticipated to be entered into between the county and MSI. The entire arrangement was put together without any public knowledge or involvement. Why was there no RFP? Solicitations by the county for RFQ is quite a different thing. The agreement does not contain several of the provisions the county executive assured the community it would contain. I am aware of no competitive bidding whatsoever. This is a mockery of everything the county executive should stand for. In a county which considers itself a model for open government, this is a disgrace. Simply put, the fix was in. Dan Weitzel

FromName	Duvall, Marty M.
FromAddress	Marty.Duvall@APORTER.COM
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Athletic fields in lower Montgomery County
Body	As a parent of two kids who have been active in Montgomery County recreational leagues, I wanted to let the county know that I am in full support of bringing more fields to the county. The suggested location on Brickyard Rd in Potomac would help tremendously and keep families from having to drive all over the county for games and practices. I urge the county government to construct the proposed fields at this location. Martha M. Duvall 14404 Kings Grant St. North Potomac, MD 20878 301-279-2097 _____ _____ U.S. Treasury Circular 230 Notice Any U.S. federal tax advice included in this communication (including any attachments) was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding U.S. federal tax-related penalties or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter addressed herein. _____ This communication may contain information that is legally privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, please note that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Anyone who receives this message in error should notify the sender immediately by telephone or by return e-mail and delete it from his or her computer. ---------- For more information about Arnold & Porter LLP, click here : http://www.arnoldporter.com

FromName	e
FromAddress	lgmackie@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Sight
Body	The following is a request for approval on a project that is very important to the soccer community. I am very hopeful that the county will finalize it's decision to have soccer fields built at the Brickyard site in Potomac. My family clearly supports for the county's stated intention to facilitate the development of soccer fields on the public land at the Brickyard sight. Thank you, Laura Goldschein 13506 Pheasant Drive Rockville, MD 20850

FromName	E Clayton Jr Embrey
FromAddress	claycarol60@msn.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Comments
Body	I strongly oppose the County Executive's unannounced (prior to public notification) decision to enter into a contract with Montgomery Soccer, Inc. for the creation of a soccer "complex" on Brickyard Road in Potomac, MD. I agree with efforts made by WMCCA, CARF, and BRCA to expose the executive's "secret" deal with MSI and to advocate for the reversal of his decision. Carol S. Embrey 10309 Gary Road Potomac, MD 301-983-1603

FromName	E. Bigne
FromAddress	enrique.bigne@uv.es
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support for the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I would like to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. Please go ahead with this project! Enrique Bigne 6217 Stoneham Rd Bethesada, MD 20817

FromName	Eager, Robert C.
FromAddress	REager@retiredpartner.gibsondunn.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	We strongly oppose the proposed contract between the County and MSI for the organic farm property on Brickyard Rd. We believe this contracting process is contrary to law, has been conducted in a high-handed extra-legal manner by the County Executive. The organic farm has plainly demonstrated that it is an important activity in itself--a valuable agricultural example and resource-- and compatible with this neighborhood. There are undoubtedly other, better suited locations for a soccer-plex -- so PLEASE do not complete this MSI contract and propose another site. Robert and Mary Eager Claire Eager Julia Eager 7608 Hackamore Dr. Potomac, MD 20854 This message may contain confidential and privileged information. If it has been sent to you in error, please reply to advise the sender of the error and then immediately delete this message.

FromName	Ebner, Stephen P CIV NSWCCD W. Bethesda, 5300
FromAddress	stephen.ebner@navy.mil
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To whom it concerns, I am a strong supporter of the proposed Brickyard Soccer Project and am a Montgomery County resident. The project as proposed meets the needs of many in multiple ways. Not only does it include multiple athletic fields for use by county youth and citizens, it includes a proposed 2 acre site for an organic farming museum for educational purposes. The proposal also provides much needed additional quality soccer field resources. Overall, this seems like a win-win for the county, its citizens, and our youth and strongly urge you to approve the project as proposed. With regards, Steve Ebner 11904 Bernard Drive Silver Spring, MD 20902

FromName	Ed Guss
FromAddress	ejguss@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attention: Brickyard Comments
Body	DGS, To reiterate a quote I read, I too agree that, "Montgomery County" did not choose this ... Ike Leggett and Patrick Lacefield made the decision and I am disgusted with this lack of transparency.” I am writing to object to and to dispute a lease of the Brickyard Road property to MSI. Proper open government policies were violated and there was no transparency in the process. How can a legal lease be proffered when the transfer to the County Executive was illegal in the first place. Some of the statements made by Patrick Lacefield are downright personal against the Potomac community. Here is a story from Channel 7 news that makes the point. The highlights of the broadcast include: * Minutes from a 2009 MSI Board meeting that discuss a $350,000 line item for lobbying and consulting, with the explanation of the expenditure that says, "It should be viewed that this significant expenditure is in place of land costs but at a fraction of the cost of what we would otherwise have to spend to secure land." * An MSI executive claiming that the lobbying and consulting expense was "to pay for practical matters, not to corrupt the process." It's always

positive to get your opposition on TV denying that they are corrupt in

the face of something that looks like they are. * An acknowledgement

that an MSI Board member contributed almost $10,000 to Ike Leggett and

his Director of Economic Development -- to which Leggett's spokesman

responded, "Ike Leggett doesn't care if you give him money or not." *

A public request that Leggett stop stonewalling and release the

responsive documents requested by the Brickyard Coalition per the

Maryland Public Information Act. * "Get the documents out there,

and let's see what this secret planning for the last two years was all

about," said Dennis Kelleher, a Potomac resident. * "Basically these

are folks in an exclusive community, who think in the county, soccer

fields and affordable housing should only go in places like Silver

Spring and Rockville and shouldn't go in Potomac," said County executive

spokesman Patrick Lacefield. Apparently, Lacefield doesn't know our

community and understand that we already have soccer fields and

affordable housing.

We are opposed to the lack of transparency, the secrecy and the failure

to consult our community regarding the best use of this public property.

Edward Jon Guss

8105 Coach Street

Potomac, Maryland 20854

FromName	Ed Hudson
FromAddress	ehudson2788@gmail.com
ToName	Liz Hudson
ToAddress	liz.hudson@verizon.net
Subject	Re: Opposition to MSI Brickyard Road Project
Body	I also express my opposition to the proposed soccer fields on brickyard road and I am deeply concerned about the lack of transparency and accountability with regard to this matter. Ed Hudson 8304 River Falls Drive Potomac, MD 20854 On Apr 12, 2012 7:37 PM, "Liz Hudson" <liz.hudson@verizon.net> wrote: > I would like to express my opposition to the proposed soccer fields on > Brickyard Rd. As a neighbor, I don't think that the increased traffic in > the area has been adequately considered or studied. My sons play on MSI > soccer teams and one son also plays on a Potomac soccer team. We travel > extensively around the County for soccer as well as lacrosse and baseball > practices and games. I witness the traffic, congestion, lack of adequate > parking, overflowing trash, etc at these fields that are adjacent to > schools and parks, all designed in areas to accommodate extra traffic. The > main and secondary roads in this area can't support the increased traffic. > > Thank you, > > Elizabeth Hudson > 8304 River Falls Dr > Potomac, MD. 20854

FromName	Eddy Cheung
FromAddress	cheung.eddy@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Dear Sir/Madam, I strongly support the Brickyard Soccer Project and I encourage you to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Thank you. Eddy Cheung 3 Cloverbrooke Court Potomac MD 20854

FromName	Edelstein, David (MPD)
FromAddress	david.edelstein@dc.gov
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To whom it may concern, I'm writing to express my support of the Brickyard Soccer Project! I'm hopeful that your efforts coupled with the support of the residents of Montgomery County will result in the improvement of the soccer fields throughout the county. The current state of most of the fields I've seen is horrendous, to put it mildly. Dave Edelstein & Family 3403 Thornapple Street Chevy Chase, Md. 20815 Celebrate the 150th Anniversary of Emancipation Day! "Commemorating the Struggle for Freedom, Justice and Equality" Monday, April 16, 2012 - District of Columbia Official Holiday - Parade, Festival, Fireworks Learn more at www.emancipation.dc.gov<http://www.emancipation.dc.gov>

FromName	Eduardo
FromAddress	dashesbrother@msn.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brick yard fields,I support this proyect let's do it
Body	Eduardo Ramirez 8217 shady spring dr Gaithersburg md Sent via my Samsung Galaxy Prevail from Boost Mobile

FromName	Edward Alden
FromAddress	EAlden@cfr.org
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am writing to express my strong support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. Soccer is the most popular recreational sport among young people in our county, and encouraging the game is critical to raising generations of fit young people. The county is in desperate need of additional fields. Sincerely, Edward Alden 5625 Lambeth Road, Bethesda MD Tel: 301-654-5562 This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com

FromName	Edward Hengerer
FromAddress	hengerer@mindspring.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Comments on MSI-Brickyard Road Soccer-plex Sublease
Body	Dear Ms. Brenneman: Please find attached my written comments on the proposed sublease of the Brickyard Road Property to MSI. I am also mailing you a paper copy. Feel free to contact me if you have any questions about my comments, which relate solely to assuring adequate on-site parking. Thank you, Ned Hengerer

FromName	Eileen Mason
FromAddress	eileen.mason@me.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Supportive of brickyard soccer project
Body	I have 2 boys and encourage you to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Sincerely, Eileen Mason 407 Grand Champion Drive Rockville, MD 20850 Sent from my iPad

April 8, 2012

Ned Hengerer
11011 Brent Road
Potomac, Maryland
20854

Cynthia Brenneman, Chief
Office of Real Estate, Dept. of General Services
Montgomery County, Maryland
Attn: Brickyard Comments
101 Monroe Street, 9th Floor
Rockville, Maryland 20850

Re: Comments on MSI Sublease of Brickyard Road Property

Dear Cynthia:

I live on Brent Road in Potomac a 2 minute walk from the parcel on Brickyard Road that your office has proposed to sublet to Montgomery Soccer, Inc. (MSI) for the construction and operation of a youth soccer-plex (up to 4 fields, related amenities and parking). I know that this whole enterprise has caused significant controversy (both substantive and procedural) in our part of Potomac; however, my comments address only one aspect of the sublease: the number of parking spaces the County, as lessor, will require MSI, as lessee, to build. In the past I was a volunteer MSI coach for both my son and daughter's youth soccer teams, and found MSI to be a beneficial organization for the County's youth; it is with this MSI experience that I feel qualified to address the obvious deficiency of the current parking planning.

I believe it is a given that, whatever soccer facilities MSI is allowed by the County to build and operate on this Brickyard property, there must be adequate parking on the site, with any spill-over parking into adjoining and nearby neighborhoods expressly and unequivocally prohibited, with such proscription being actively enforced by the County. Our surrounding low density neighborhood has narrow paved streets with drainage swales without curb and gutter; accordingly, any overflow parking would result in significant damage to the vegetated swales we property owners are required to maintain in order to prevent erosion and undue sedimentation into the Chesapeake watershed. Moreover, there are no sidewalks here, so with overflow parked cars clogging the streets, the risk to youth soccer players and adults walking to the soccer-plex of being hit by more overflow cars is very real; this same risk would be imposed on the residents as well. Of course, as the lessor to MSI of this property, the County would also be legally liable for any resulting personal injury or death, since the County has the legal authority and responsibility to specify how this tract is to be developed in a way to minimize negative impacts to neighbors and soccer participants alike.

Trying to get a handle on what number of parking spaces are needed to handle all vehicles involved in use of the Brickyard soccer-plex is difficult, given the lack of concrete development and usage plans. Public information indicates between 125-150 parking spaces; how firm is that? More to the point, how many soccer fields will be built and how will they be used? Is it 2 large fields initially and then 2 smaller ones later? One account suggested that 2 more fields might be built. Is

the planning target 6 fields? Will some or all of these fields host just "open" team play or "premier" team play? Will tournaments be held here, resulting in many teams (and associated vehicles) spending the day waiting for their game(s)? How about the summer soccer camps for many more youth players that MSI advertises on its website?

Unless MSI can provide the County (and citizens) an exact development plan and schedule, I think it necessary and appropriate for the County to specify a certain minimum number of parking spaces per field.[1] In that way MSI could phase in parking construction linked to playing field construction. Should MSI intend to use only the large fields for games and the small fields for practice, then different numbers of spaces might be appropriate.

Based on my years of coaching MSI and witnessing the car parking pandamonium that would frequently result at various park and school fields around the County, I would recommend as a minimum starting point the following:

For one large (game) field with two teams of 20 players[2] and 2 coaches each, it would be reasonable to assume 44 vehicles for the direct participants, plus another 50% or more for fans (family and friends) or at least 66 vehicles.[3] But then, it is standard practice for MSI games to be stacked one after another, so in fact there will have to be enough parking spaces for all these participants and fans for at least two consecutive games, that is at least 132 spaces per large game field.

For one small (practice) field, if MSI plans just one team at a time, then 44 parking spaces would seem reasonable (22 spaces for the team practice and 22 for the next team to practice). But were MSI ever to hold split-field practices (as we used to do in MSI Open years ago), then 88 spaces per small practice field would be reasonable. However, were the small fields to be used also for games for the younger age groups, a large number of spaces would be needed: with two teams of 15 players and 2 coaches, plus the 50% add-on for family and friends, each small field would need 51 spaces.

Thus, with the one suggested scenario of 2 large and 2 small fields, the requisite number of parking spaces would be between 353 at the low end and 440 at the high end. That is a far cry from the 125-150 spaces being bantered about. This level of parking would roughly require between 3

---

[1] I suggest that your office consult with County DOT to get the appropriate dimensions of each parking space, taking into consideration that a large percentage of the so-called "soccer moms" prefer Suburbans and other very large SUV's and vans.

[2] The MSI Recreational Manual sets roster size from 13-20 depending on grade level, so while younger-aged teams with smaller rosters may play here, for parking planning, the County must use the largest size roster of 20 for the high school age bracket.

[3] There is no public transportation serving this part of Potomac. The nearest Metrobus stop is in Potomac Village, a mile and a half away. So the County must assume private cars for all participants and fans.

and 4 acres of the total 20 acre parcel.[4]

Finally, in case MSI decides to hold youth soccer tournaments or other soccer events that would draw even more cars, your office should insert in the lease a provision that MSI would arrange for satellite parking and shuttle buses, much as other area venues do. Depending on the time of year and the day of such soccer events, there are a number of nearby pool clubs, churches and schools that might well be willing to negotiate for compensation off-site parking for such occasions. Indeed such a lease condition should be imposed more generally should any use of the soccer-plex ever draw more vehicles that can be parked safely on-site.

I appreciate the opportunity to provide input as your office fashions the details of the Brickyard sublease to MSI. In addition I would greatly appreciate receiving confirmation of receipt of these written comments and being apprised of future Brickyard soccer-plex developments.

Sincerely,

Ned Hengerer

Ned Hengerer

cc: County Dept. of Transportation
County Executive, Isiah Leggett
County Attorney, Marc Hansen
Roger Berliner, Councilman for Potomac
Montgomery Soccer, Inc.
WMCCA

---

[4] This is a substantial area; given that the entire Brickyard tract has been either fallow or farmed forever and thus generating little or no drainage run-off, your office would do well to have MSI investigate one of several popular parking pad techniques so that this 3 to 4 acres of parking would not be impervious.

FromName	Elisabeth Waugaman
FromAddress	elisabethw@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	□I am protesting the MSI lease of the county school property on Brickyard Road because it was done in secret without any input or consideration of the multiple neighborhoods that will be affected—and without regard to the master plan which home buyers consult before purchasing a home. If this lease is allowed to proceed, there will not be a piece of public property anywhere in the county that will be safe from appropriation for private use. □□□□Elisabeth Waugaman

FromName	Elisabeth Waugaman
FromAddress	elisabethw@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	MSI Lease
Body	□I am strongly opposed to the MSI lease for Brickyard, which was created and finalized in a process that was not fair and open to the public or even for bidding. In the hearing for bill 11-12, the county's Senior legislative lawyer, Mr. Fadon stated that the executive does not have sole right to dispose of county property. This means that the MSI lease is not valid because it was finalized in secrecy without any input from the council or the public. There is petition with 50,000 signatures against this lease. □Thank you for your time and attention. □□□□□Elisabeth Waugaman

FromName	Elizabeth Forgione
FromAddress	ewforgione@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am in support of the Brickyard Soccer Project and hope you will make the county's need for more and better fields a priority. With respect, Liz Forgione 9800 Parkwood Drive Bethesda, MD 20814

FromName	Elizabeth Seminara
FromAddress	elizabeth.seminara@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	brickyard soccer project
Body	Hello - My name is Elizabeth Seminara. I live at 11816 Canfield Road Potomac Md 20854. I am writing to express my support for the Brickyard soccer project. The children in the county need more and and better fields to play on. Thank you for your consideration. Regards, Elizabeth SEminara

FromName	Ellen Chandler
FromAddress	jechandler5@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard project
Body	I do NOT support the Brickyard project! Sincerely, Ellen Chandler Sent from my iPhone

FromName	Emily Giuffre
FromAddress	egiuffre@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	River Falls soccer fields
Body	We support the development of the new Brickyard soccer fields and don't think a small group of people in the neighborhood should be able to deny the whole county from using this much needed land. Sincerely, Emily and Mike Giuffre parents of three MSI soccer players

FromName	Emily Harner
FromAddress	emily.soccer@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support for Brickyard Rd. soccer project
Body	Hi, I am writing to express my support for the Brickyard Rd. soccer project. Please help make it happen. Although, I would like to see four fields vs. the now proposed two fields come to fruition. Thank you. Emily Harner 11916 Gregerscroft Rd. Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	enknjuki@comcast.net
FromAddress	enknjuki@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	In support of the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am very much in support of this project since it will have both the soccer field and an Organic Agricultural Educational Center. This would be a wonderful opportunity for the children to benefit from both physical exercise and learn about healthier eating. Many of these children might never get any other chance to visit one otherwise. Evelyne 8432 Mountain Laurel Lane 20879 Do not reveal to friends all the secrets you possess, they may one day become enemies. Do not inflict on enemies every injury in your power, they may one day become friends. - Sadi Gulistan

FromName	Eric Bachman
FromAddress	ebachman72@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project
Body	We support the Brickyard Soccer Project. Eric and Meagan Bachman 3701 Williams Lane Chevy Chase, MD 20815

FromName	Eric Sharabany
FromAddress	erock9578@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer
Body	I support the brickyard soccer project. Best, Eric Sharabany Sent from my iPhone

FromName	Eric Sheldon
FromAddress	esheldon2@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	no soccer fields on Brickyard Road without due process
Body	To whom it may concern: Regardless of how anyone feels about building a soccer complex on Brickyard Road, anyone with any inclination toward democratic process must necessarily be offended by the way Montgomery County officials have proceeded on this project. As a forty year resident of the River Falls community and a proud product of the Montgomery County School system going back to North Chevy Chase Elementary, when Eisenhower was President, I am astounded at the undemocratic and condescending attitude of Montgomery County officials as they try to sneak and now ram this project through. Reflecting on what has transpired to date, one can only be very cynical about County officials who have allowed this project to proceed and in doing so have generally misrepresented in their descriptions of what they have done. Sincerely, Eric Y. Sheldon, CFA

FromName	Eric Wood
FromAddress	erick.wood@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer
Body	Ultimately cardiovascular health along with team building activities will keep our youth healthy, wealthy and wise. Perfect for future voters and taxpayers. Soccer is relevant in our community. Sincerely, Eric Wood 14417 barkwood drive Rockville, md 20853

FromName	Erichardson@worldbank.org
FromAddress	Erichardson@worldbank.org
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project - expression of support
Body	Many thanks - Elizabeth Richardson 5910 Onondaga Rd Bethesda, MD 20816 □ □ □ □ □E. Gail Richardson (+1-202-473-4647 http://ECA/Quality <http://intranet.worldbank.org/WBSITE/INTRANET/INTCOUNTRIES/INTECA/INTECACOSUHOME/INTECACOSUQUALITY/0%2C%2CmenuPK:776284~pagePK:64189386~piPK:64189409~theSitePK:776268%2C00.html> □

FromName	Erik Schwartz
FromAddress	eschwaa@me.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Dear Montgomery County- As a parent of multiple soccer players, and a coach as well, I encourage you to support the Brickyard Soccer Project to make more soccer fields and practice fields available for our county children. Thank you for making Montgomery County Maryland one of the best places to raise a family in the country. Erik M. Schwartz Glenridge Street Kensington, MD Montgomery Count

FromName	Erika Thomas
FromAddress	ethomas.email@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support Brickyard Soccer
Body	Hello! Just a note to let you know that as a resident of Montgomery County, I support the Brickyard Soccer plan. I feel that it's important to the county's recreation program and supports the youth (which is always good for the economy). Thank you, Erika Thomas 12039 Coldstream Dr. Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Errion Lisa
FromAddress	errion@mac.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer project
Body	To whom it may concern, I am writing to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. My three children all utilize Montgomery County's fields and as a resident of Bethesda, I believe that it should be a priority of the County to adopt sensible plans that will promote the ability of County residents to play soccer on quality fields as close to home as possible. The Brickyard Soccer project is such a plan. Having spent countless hours driving to games and soccer practices far from home, but still in Montgomery County, I ask for your support in delivering good quality fields in our neighborhoods and making it easier for our children to reap the benefits of playing sports in the County. Sincerely, Lisa Errion 5610 Parkston Road, Bethesda 20816

FromName	eshtern@yahoo.com
FromAddress	eshtern@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for brickyard soccer
Body	My name is Elena Shtern, I am a Montgomery county resident. I would like to express my strong support for the brickyard soccer program. Elena

FromName	Estelle Vernon
FromAddress	ervernon@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Opposition to brickyard soccer fields
Body	to whom it my concern this letter affirms my opposition to the proposed brickyard soccer fields. The organic farm should stay and add the educatioal component that has been proposed. Montgomery county has a long agricultural history. It would be a crime to replace an organic farm with soccer fields. The country acted in a secretive non transparent manner to arrange this deal. I oppose both the method and the resulting fields. I have supported soccer for many years with my three children but none of us thinks this is the proper use of this land. Sincerely, Estelle Vernon 10504 Stable Lane Potomac, MAryland 20854

FromName	Ethelyn Owen
FromAddress	eaowen@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Rd. Soccar Proposal
Body	Dear County officials, I am opposed to the proposal to rent the land on Brickyard Rd for development into a soccer complex by MSI. I am not against soccer; my children played soccer with MSI for many years throughout the county and we did not feel deprived of adequate soccer fields. What is proposed is a blatant commercial development in a residential area no matter how it is being described and totally inappropriate. Thank you for your consideration, Ethelyn Owen Ethelyn Owen 7808 River Falls Drive Potomac, MD 20854 301-365-2093

FromName	Evan Lippincott
FromAddress	ejlaia@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I oppose brickyard rd sublease to MSI
Body	I oppose the sublease of the Brickyard road school parcel to MSI. I am writing as a citizen of Montgomery County and a resident of Potomac, MD. I live not far away from the parcel and believe that the action taken by the County to award this sublease to MSI is against the wishes of the community, against the wishes of the County and against common sense. A perfect example of the County Executive's attitude toward his constituents on this just happened this morning, Friday 13 April 2012. A concerned group of peaceful homeowners went to the County Executive's office to talk with him about this and to give him a petition with many signatures opposing this lease. They were met with several police officers who would not allow then to even enter the reception area. Is the County now using the police to prevent communication with concerned citizens? First of all, I am concerned with the way that the County has sped up the process of awarding the sublease. According to the RFQ timeline, the awarding of the sublease was supposed take place in May, not in March. It makes me wonder why the decision was sped up and why the County did not follow the procedures outlined in the RFQ. It also makes me think that this whole "process" was a sham and foregone conclusion, particularly since there was only one soccer proposal submitted, the one by MSI. The fact that the County proceeded when there was not enough bidders also concerns me. Often, agencies issuing RFP's reconsider the project when there

is only one bidder. This is a sign of a lack of competition, a flawed
project, insufficient need to proceed with the project, and/or the project
is too narrowly defined.

The County also circumvented normal contract procedures in other ways. It
had announced it would issue an RFP, but instead avoided the usual
procurement regulations by issuing an RFQ. It has also left out provisions
in the sublease that reflect promises made by the County Executive's office,
such as no artificial turf, public address system, or lights now or in the
future. The proposed plan I have seen appears to have lights, the parking is
in an area know to flood and a large impervious parking lot will aggravate
that.

I am also concerned about the amount of parking indicated on MSI's plan. It
appears that they are planning for only half the amount of parking
recommended by Parks and Planning. This could lead to parking and congestion
on residential streets. The additional traffic form up-county right through
the center of Potomac in the middle of rush hour will adversely affect not
only the people who are trying to use Falls Road in the afternoon/evenings,
but will adversely affect the business community of Potomac.

But most of all I am concerned that they County has not considered the value
that the current organic seed farm offers to the County and have made a
decision to proceed with soccer fields without real public input into
whether or not soccer fields are the best use of this land. The farm that is
currently located there is unique and irreplaceable in the County. While
there are already over 500 soccer fields, there is only one organic seed
farm. Furthermore, Brickyard Educational Farm, which is also located on the
site, offers programs that fulfill the Maryland outdoor education and Farm

to School requirements and guidelines. The County should embrace this effort
and take advantage of this valuable piece of land for educational purposes
and serve a broader range of students in Montgomery County. After all, this
land is owned by the School Board.

I strongly believe the sublease should be postponed and the process started
over so that proper procedures are followed and true community input is
sought.

Respectfully (regretfully my respect is disappearing and my fear is
growing),

Evan J Lippincott,

9428 Garden Court

Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Evelyn Berkoh
FromAddress	aramsy2000@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for the Brickyard Soccer Project.
Body	

I am a resident of Montgomery County with children in the MCPS
school system. I want to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer
Project.

Thank you very much

Evelyn Berkoh
3 Crested Iris Ct
Montgomery Village MD 20886

□□□□□□□□
□□□□□□□□□
□□□□□□□□

□□
□□□□□□□□"Stormy or sunny days, glorious or lonely nights, I
maintain an attitude of gratitude. If I insist on being pessimistic,
there is always tomorrow. Today I am blessed."

□□□□□□□□□— Maya Angelou
□□□□□□□□□

□□ □ □□

FromName	Falilatou Eklof
FromAddress	fali_eklof@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	soccer project
Body	We support the brickyard soccer project. The Eklof family Poolesville, MD 20837

FromName	faustin.felix@comcast.net
FromAddress	faustin.felix@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	New Fields For Our Montgomery County Area
Body	To Whom It May Concern: On behalf of my soccer teams and community we would like to pledge support for Brickyard Road Soccer Project. Creating these new fields will also make fields in other communities more accessible as well. There is a heavy strain for soccer fields usage in many of Montgomery County communities. Our current park and school fields are in very bad shape, with conditions below standards . We need to do a better job with fields in our community and I am willing to adapt a field as well. Please support us and the children of our community to keep them active and healthy, by creating new and better fields in Montgomery County. Thanks for your support and understanding, Faustin Felix Germantown MD 20874 Coach\Trainer (cell) 301-646-9966

FromName	Fechter, A.J.
FromAddress	Aj.Fechter@morganstanleysmithbarney.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Sir or Madam, I do NOT support the Brickyard road soccer project. Please cease pursuing it at once and instead initiate a truly transparent process with all due respect to the community. Thank you, A.J. Fechter 8000 Horseshoe Lane Potomac, MD 20854 _____ Important Notice to Recipients: Please do not use e-mail to request, authorize or effect the purchase or sale of any security or commodity. Unfortunately, we cannot execute such instructions provided in e-mail. Thank you. The sender of this e-mail is an employee of Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC. If you have received this communication in error, please destroy all electronic and paper copies and notify the sender immediately. Erroneous transmission is not intended to waive confidentiality or privilege. Morgan Stanley Smith Barney reserves the right, to the extent permitted under applicable law, to monitor electronic communications. This message is subject to terms available at the following link: http://www.morganstanley.com/disclaimers/mssbemail.html <http://www.morganstanley.com/disclaimers/mssbemail.html> . If you cannot access this link, please notify us by reply message and we will send the contents to you. By messaging with Morgan Stanley Smith Barney you consent to the foregoing.

FromName	Fenote Aregawi
FromAddress	faregawi@msn.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer Field
Body	To who it may concern, Please I am requesting to improve the conditons of the soccer field. We don't want our kids to get hurt while they are playing soccer. Fenote Aregawi 10416 Gainsbourgh Road Potomac, MD 20854 Tel. (240)421-0400 □□ □ □□

FromName	Fernando Capelo de Matos
FromAddress	fcapelo@ig.com.br
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	more soccer fields
Body	We are a brazilian familie who moved to the area to fulfil a research at Georgetown University. We'd like to suport the people who intend to transform the dream of seen a lot of soccer fields spread all over Mariland into a reality. As brazilians, we know the importance of soccer fields to the community and for the youth in particular. Fernando de Matos 4740 Bradley Blvd, 201 Chevy Chase - MD

FromName	Fliakas, Tracey J
FromAddress	Tracey_J_Fliakas@mcpsmd.org
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support of Brickyard soccer fields
Body	I wish to express my support for additional athletic fields both in Montgomery County and specifically for the Brickyard project in particular. As a resident of Montgomery County, an MCPS teacher, former coach, and a parent of children who grew up playing soccer in Montgomery County I know the benefits of children participating in team sports. Soccer and other team sports not only builds interpersonal skills it also builds confidence and character. The lessons learned by participating in soccer can also be applied to life skills such as improving physical health, communication skills, resiliency and sportsmanship. Soccer offers a sense of camaraderie, but also allows individuals the chance to work on personal development. The coaches create an atmosphere that student athletes perceive as more fun which in turn created more team unity. The benefit of having soccer fields on Brickyard will not only help our children but it will also benefit the community. While our young athletes are practicing parents will be spending time and money in Potomac Village thus supporting the local economy. Parent involvement is also vital. When parent's support their children in athletics children have more positive interactions and show more intrinsic motivation than children who do not participate in sports. In addition, positive parental influence has been associated with children who were happier, more cheerful, more alert, and showed a greater interest in school. The benefits of having a soccer fields on Brickyard Road will not only serve young student athletes it also will serve the community by boosting the local economy. Please support the Brickyard Project Tracey Fliakas Special Educator Clarksburg High School 301-444-3000 16605 Lescot Terrace Rockville, MD 20853

FromName	Flora Cross
FromAddress	floracross1@gmail.com
ToName	Riemer's Office, Councilmember;Andrews's Office, Councilm
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Re: Attn: Brickyard Soccer Project Comments
Body	We are opposed to the Brickyard Soccer Project. We are residents of River Falls that for certain is one neighborhood that would be deeply affected by the increased traffic in our area. Our neighborhood has large numbers of young kids who ride their bikes and walk to each others houses. These kids safety would be of serious concern with cars cutting through our streets. Brickyard road which already barely accommodates the rush hour traffic cutting through would be of serious concern as it is not equipped in any way to accommodate the extra traffic which is what would ultimately force the cars onto our neighborhood streets. My kids play MSI soccer and we understand there is a need for extra fields but not only are we prepared to travel to areas further away, surely no one can dispute that new fields should be located where the roads can accommodate the increased numbers of cars without jeapordising safety and blocking up small roads that were never designed for this purpose. We greatly oppose the development of the Brickyard fields. Thank you. Flora and Andrew Cross 7505 Masters Drive Potomac MD 20854 > Our family is OPPOSED to the Brickyard Soccer Project. We are most concerned with the great increase of traffic flowing in and around our neighborhood during the hours that our children are home (after school and on weekends), walking, running and riding their bicycles to and from friends' houses and back and forth to our community field house. Brickyard Road is not equipped to accommodate the flow of cars and our small neighborhood is certainly not prepared for the inevitable cut-thru traffic. > Our three children happen to play soccer for MSI and we have no trouble traveling to the schools throughout the county for them to play their games and practice with their teams. The inconvenience is one we will continue to gladly bear in order to ensure that we are traveling to fields that can accommodate the flow of cars. > We believe that if the Mr. Leggitt had allowed this proposal to go through the proper channels, it would never have gotten as far as it has. We hope that the system will right itself and the soccer fields will be rejected until all of the research can be completed and evaluated. > Thank you for your consideration, > Dani & Gary Crichton

> 8209 Hackamore Drive
> Potomac, MD
>
> PS: We had chosen to show our support to “Save Brickyard ” by posting two signs on our front lawn. These signs were stolen (from our lawn and throughout our neighborhood) and the sharp metal posts were left sticking upright in our grass. My husband inadvertently mowed over one, damaging our lawn mower and our son fell onto another while playing running bases in our yard. We have been uncertain who we should notify about this vandalism so I thought I might mention it while I have your attention.

FromName	Fred Malloy
FromAddress	fred@harkencapital.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for brickyard soccer project
Body	

I support the brickyard soccer project. We moved here from MA last year and the investment in decent fields around here is way behind.
Best,
Fred

Frederick L Malloy
7712 savannah dr
Bethesda, md.

Harken Capital Advisers
an institutional division of Compass Securities Corporation, member FINRA and SIPC

FromName	Fred Zeldow
FromAddress	fzeldow@bio.org
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Hi, I am writing to express my support of the Brickyard Soccer Project. My 6th grade daughter (Westland MS) plays on a MSI team. More and better soccer fields are needed in Montgomery County. This is obvious not only by the game schedule, but also during soccer practices where teams have to share fields and often have very limited space to practice because of crowding. I know the shortage of soccer fields in Montgomery County has been a major problem for MSI organizers and coaches for some time and the Brickyard Soccer Project will go a long way to help alleviate the problem. MSI has bent over backwards to listen and respond to the neighbors' concerns and has been willing to significantly compromise to address those concerns. I hope the County will do the right thing and authorize the current plan for the Brickyard Soccer Project. Thank you for your consideration. Regards, Frederic Zeldow 6311 Swords Way Bethesda, MD 20817

FromName	Gary Frank
FromAddress	gpfinc@speakeasy.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer
Body	I support the brickyard soccer project the kids need more Fields, All three of my kids have played or are playing. Gary Frank 8918 ridge Place Bethesda, Md 20817 cell 301-674-3438

FromName	Gary McKelvey Jr.
FromAddress	garymckjr@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To Whom it may concern: I support the Brickyard Soccer project! I live at 17408 Collier Way Poolesville, MD 20837 Thank you, Gary McKelvey 240-812-2224

FromName	genebanker@aol.com
FromAddress	genebanker@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	We strongly object. This is no longer a soccer field for students. It is a commercial activity quite out ofkeeping with the neighborhood. Gene and Iris Rotberg 7211 Brickyard Rd.

FromName	Gerald Petitt
FromAddress	gpetitt@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn Brickyard Comments
Body	Dear Ms. Brenneman, I am appalled at the lack of respect of proper procedures by the county and the county executive in the leasing of the land on Brickyard Road to MSI. There was no proper notice nor was public input encouraged in this issue. Without a proper traffic study, the tremendous amount of traffic which will be generated on this road will be dangerous for both nearby residents and the rest of the community. I would ask that this lease be immediately rescinded and the process started over with proper public input and notice. Gerald Petitt 7105 Natelli Woods Lane Bethesda, MD 20817 301-469-7454 □□ □ □□

FromName	Gittleson Laura
FromAddress	lgittle@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project.
Body	To Whom it May Concern, My name is Laura Gittleson and so far my daughter has been playing socvcer in Montgomery County for the past four soccer seasons, she loves it! The thing I like most about soccer besides the physical activity, is the comraderie and sense of community it creates amongst the players and families. I also love how the program is inclusive of all of those who wish to participate regardless of demographics and socioeconomic status. If you limit the fields, the players have to be limited. Why should anyone be turned away from the chance to be active and learn new skills. I am writing in support of this project because I would hate for the number of fields to be limited in Montgomery County. Sincerely, Laura Gittleson

FromName	globodera1@gmail.com
FromAddress	globodera1@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Do not take the Brickyard for a soccer complex!
Body	Preserve Rock Creek Hills Local Park which has 2 soccer fields used by the BCC schools for team practices and on the weekends by many folks from diverse neighborhoods in need of open space! Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry

FromName	Gmail
FromAddress	lizjansky@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project
Body	I am writing to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. Please consider creating more soccer fields in Montgomery County. Liz Jansky 8 Deborah Ct Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Goldin, Michael
FromAddress	MGoldin@rtcrm.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Poor Soccer Field Conditions
Body	As a coach in the MSI recreation league, I completely support the Brickyard Soccer Project. It is disappointing this effort has taken this long and still even in debate. After attending a youth soccer game last weekend in Virginia, I'm embarrassed and appalled at the conditions and maintenance of the Montgomery County fields. As a Montgomery County resident, I strongly urge our County officials take a trip to Virginia and see what great fields look like. Michael Goldin 6108 Western Avenue Chevy Chase, MD 20815

FromName	Granston, Michael (CIV)
FromAddress	Michael.Granston@usdoj.gov
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer Fields
Body	Please support the initiative for more and better soccer fields. I have three kids currently playing recreational soccer and can attest first hand to the poor shape of many of the existing fields - which makes it frustrating, difficult and sometimes dangerous (due to the risk of sprained or broken ankles) for the kids to play. A special aspect of Montgomery County is its thriving soccer program, which gives kids of all ages and abilities an opportunity to be active and enjoy the camaderie of their peers. Please help foster this important goal by ensuring adequate and sufficient playing fields. Regards, Michael Granston 2908 McComas AveM Kensington MD 20895

FromName	Grant, Nicole (NIH/NCI) [E]
FromAddress	grantn@mail.nih.gov
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project
Body	Dear Montgomery County govt: As the parents of a child who loves soccer and has played for the past 2 years, my husband and I support the Brickyard Soccer Project. Please continue to work to develop more and better fields throughout Montgomery County. Thank you, Nicole and Stuart Grant 1532 Red Oak Drive Silver Spring, MD 20910

FromName	Green, Karen Goldmeier
FromAddress	kgreen@AKINGUMP.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am writing to _____ IRS Circular 230 Notice Requirement: This communication is not given in the form of a covered opinion, within the meaning of Circular 230 issued by the United States Secretary of the Treasury. Thus, we are required to inform you that you cannot rely upon any tax advice contained in this communication for the purpose of avoiding United States federal tax penalties. In addition, any tax advice contained in this communication may not be used to promote, market or recommend a transaction to another party. The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

FromName	Green, Karen Goldmeier
FromAddress	kgreen@AKINGUMP.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Re: Support for the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am writing to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. Thank you. Karen Green 3531 Woodbine Street Chevy chase MD ----- Original Message ----- From: Green, Karen Goldmeier To: 'DGS.ORE@montgomerycountymd.gov' <DGS.ORE@montgomerycountymd.gov> Sent: Thu Apr 12 20:30:11 2012 Subject: Support for the Brickyard Soccer Project I am writing to _____ IRS Circular 230 Notice Requirement: This communication is not given in the form of a covered opinion, within the meaning of Circular 230 issued by the United States Secretary of the Treasury. Thus, we are required to inform you that you cannot rely upon any tax advice contained in this communication for the purpose of avoiding United States federal tax penalties. In addition, any tax advice contained in this communication may not be used to promote, market or recommend a transaction to another party. The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

FromName	GreenGritz5@aol.com
FromAddress	GreenGritz5@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support Brickyard Soccer
Body	As a parent of three soccer players and wife of a coach of three soccer teams (all of our kids play soccer). We desperately are in need of more soccer fields. Kids need the ability to exercise and have more of a focus on healthy activities. Please help our kids stay fit and healthy by giving them more places to play and be outdoors! Thank you. Abby Gritz 10616 Floral Park Lane N. Potomac, MD 20878

FromName	Griff Lindsay
FromAddress	griff17@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Project
Body	I greatly appreciate the County's efforts to develop quality soccer fields throughout the County. My daughters and their friends love to play soccer, challenging themselves physically, learning teamwork and leadership, and developing lasting friendships with teammates and competitors. It is really important to us that more quality fields become available, so we most heartily support the Brickyard Soccer Project. Griff Lindsay 11313 Farmland Drive Rockville, MD 20852

FromName	Grossman, Jon
FromAddress	GrossmanJ@dicksteinshapiro.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Field
Body	As a Montgomery county resident I want to express my full support for the Brickyard Road soccer fields project along with other efforts by the Montgomery County government to improve soccer fields throughout the county. Jon Grossman 4 Carderock Court Bethesda, MD 20817 301-469-1699 _____ Confidentiality Statement This e-mail message and any attached files are confidential and are intended solely for the use of the addressee(s) named above. This communication may contain material protected by attorney-client, work product, or other privileges. If you are not the intended recipient or person responsible for delivering this confidential communication to the intended recipient, you have received this communication in error, and any review, use, dissemination, forwarding, printing, copying, or other distribution of this e-mail message and any attached files is strictly prohibited. Dickstein Shapiro reserves the right to monitor any communication that is created, received, or sent on its network. If you have received this confidential communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail message and permanently delete the original message. To reply to our email administrator directly, send an email to postmaster@dicksteinshapiro.com<mailto:postmaster@dicksteinshapiro.com> Dickstein Shapiro LLP www.dicksteinshapiro.com<http://www.dicksteinshapiro.com/>

FromName	Guy Wolcott
FromAddress	gwolcott@sawbuck.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I live in Bethesda and, with my wife, have two boys in first and fourth grade. For the last three years, I have coached my sons' teams through MSI. Each season, it has been a struggle to find practice fields. And the fields we play games on are often an embarrassment, with dirt and lumps and clumps. We need to take advantage of this opportunity to add good fields down county. I support adding these fields at the Brickyard location. Thanks...Guy Guy Wolcott 9503 Page Ave Bethesda MD 20814 301-896-0052 Sent from my iPad

FromName	Hardie, Jeffrey
FromAddress	jhardie@hunton.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To Whom It May Concern: We support the use of the Brickyard land for soccer fields. This will benefit thousands of families, who want their children to play on good fields. Please do not fall prey to an organic farmer who has no long-term right to the land, and his supposed supporters who either (a) have a knee-jerk reaction to anything detrimental involving the word "organic," and (b) are neighbors who don't want the "inconvenience" of more traffic near their own homes. But when they bought their property, they knew -- or should have known -- that they were near public land that was convertible. Plus, it's not like a landfill is going in. More soccer fields! (And I'm not even a soccer afficianado.) Jeff Hardie 13304 Evening Ride Way Potomac, Maryland 20854

FromName	Harrison Owen
FromAddress	hhowen@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Disaster
Body	MSI, in total disregard for the feelings of the local neighborhood, is asking for support for their soccer fields - PLEASE NOTE THAT THEY DO NOT HAVE MY SUPPORT! I am a soccer pop, both kids played with MSI - where they learned sportsmanship, fair play, concern for others - and no small amount of soccer. It is tragic that MSI has disregarded all of its best lessons in this totally misguided effort. It was done clandestinely, with no fair play, and total absence of concern for others. Shame! Harrison Owen Harrison Owen 7808 River Falls Dr. Potomac, MD 20854 USA 189 Beaucaire Ave. (summer) Camden, Maine 20854 Phone 301-365-2093 (summer) 207-763-3261 www.openspaceworld.com www.ho-image.com (Personal Website) To subscribe, unsubscribe, change your options, view the archives of OSLIST

	Go to:http://lists.openspacetech.org/listinfo.cgi/oslist-openspacetech.org
FromName	hassen abadico
FromAddress	habadico@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support for the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am a montgomery county resident and I strongly support the the Brickyard Soccer Project, and I hope the county does too. Thank you Hassen Abadico 3359 Beaverwood Lane Silver Spring,MD. 20906

FromName	Heather Epstein
FromAddress	hrepstein67@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard comments.
Body	To whom it may concern: I wanted to take this opportunity to object to the lease of 20 acres of public land on Brickyard Road to MSI. My child has played MSI soccer for three years. We have never had a problem with any of the fields where she plays or practices. We have played at numerous fields in the Potomac region -- there seems to be an abundance of fields -- and see no reason why new fields need to be constructed on Brickyard Road. The one thing that is clearly lacking in Potomac are organic farms. An organic farms offers much more to the community and the region where we live than another unnecessary soccer field. In addition, the lease of the property to MSI brings no financial gain to the County since it has been reported that the lease is only $1500 per year. As a resident of Potomac with a child who plays MSI soccer. I strongly oppose the contract to lease the public land on Brickyard Road to MSI. Heather R. Epstein

FromName	Heather Miller Cox
FromAddress	heather@millercox.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	My daughter loves soccer. Please know that I hope that you are working to get our county more and better soccer fields. Respectfully Heather Cox 3924 Rickover Road Silver Spring, MD 20902

FromName	Heidi Finger
FromAddress	fingers-3@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	MSI lease of Brickyard school property
Body	Dear Cynthia, This is to inform you that as a Montgomery County citizen, I object to the lease of public property on Brickyard Road to MSI,Inc. I believe that this lease violates the Master Plan and is illegally transforming the property from public or park property into commercial property without appropriate public notification and input. I do not believe that the terms and conditions of the lease adequately protect the neighborhood from commercial development of the property. Thank you for your consideration of my objections to this lease. Sincerely, Heidi D. Finger, 10125 Glen Road, Potomac. Md. 20854

FromName	Heidi Mordhorst
FromAddress	greentreefour@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I do NOT support the transfer of the Brickyard Site to MSI for
Body	and that's not because I don't support soccer--my two kids have played MSI rec soccer for years. But the evidence has convinced me that this project is the result of secret dealings that should have no place in our county. More than we need soccer fields, we need transparent, accountable government from our elected officials, especially with regard to the disposition of tax dollars. -- Heidi Mordhorst 5701 Greentree Road Bethesda, MD 20817 240 755 5345 www.heidimordhorst.com http://myjuicylittleuniverse.blogspot.com/

FromName	Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.
FromAddress	phemmersbaugh@sidley.com
ToName	Brenneman, Cynthia;Dise, David E.;ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	RE: Brickyard Road Property: Comments and Concerns Rega
Body	I will try that, thanks. Will DGS take care of forwarding the comments to Mr. Leggett and the appropriate people in his office (probably addressees on the original email, Mr. Hartman, Ms. Hughes, as well as you and Mr. Dise)? Paul Hemmersbaugh Sidley Austin, LLP (202) 736-8538 phemmersbaugh@sidley.com From: Brenneman, Cynthia [mailto:Cynthia.Brenneman@montgomerycountymd.gov] Sent: Friday, April 13, 2012 11:38 AM To: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.; Dise, David E.; ORE, DGS Cc: Paul Hemmersbaugh Subject: RE: Brickyard Road Property: Comments and Concerns Regarding Proposed Development and Use of the Property Mr. Hemmersbaugh, I regret that your email seems to have bounced back from some of the Council members' e-mailboxes. Perhaps you can try to contact their individual staff members through this link, found on the County's website: http://www.montgomerycountymd.gov/csltmpl.asp?url=/content/council/contact.asp It provides contact information for all Council members. If you look at the far left column and click the Council

Members button, it provides access to each member's staff names and their contact information, under Contacts and Directions.

You can then provide your comments though staff e-mailboxes. I hope this is helpful. Thank you for taking the time to offer your comments.

Cynthia Brenneman, Director

Office of Real Estate

Department of General Services

101 Monroe Street, 9th Floor

Rockville, MD 20850

phone 240-777-6089

-----Original Message-----
From: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.
[mailto:phemmersbaugh@sidley.com]
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2012 11:06 AM
To: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.; Brenneman, Cynthia; Dise, David E.; ORE, DGS
Cc: Paul Hemmersbaugh
Subject: RE: Brickyard Road Property: Comments and Concerns Regarding Proposed Development and Use of the Property

Note that the automated response message indicated that the email did not go through either because the message was too large or because the recipient's email box was full. Given the volume of email County officials are likely receiving concerning this and other issues, the delivery failure may be due to full email inboxes rather than the attachments. In either event, I request that DGS ensure copies of my comments letter are promptly distributed to County council members, the County Executive and responsible staff.

Thank you,

Paul Hemmersbaugh

From: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2012 10:59 AM
To: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.; Brenneman, Cynthia; ocemail@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.andrews@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.ervin@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.navarro@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.rice@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Ike.Leggett@montgomerycountymd.gov; Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.; ocemail@montgomerycountymd.gov; kenneth.hartman@montgomerycountymd.gov; jennifer.hughes@montgomerycountymd.gov; david.dise@montgomerycountymd.gov
Cc: Christopher_Barclay@mcpsmd.org; boe@mcpsmd.org; Shirley_Brandman@mcpsmd.org; Phil_Kauffman@mcpsmd.org; Judy_Docca@mcpsmd.org; Laura_Berthiaume@mcpsmd.org; Patricia_O'Neill@mcpsmd.org; Michael_A_Durso@mcpsmd.org; Alan_Xie@mcpsmd.org; Paul Hemmersbaugh
Subject: RE: Brickyard Road Property: Comments and Concerns Regarding Proposed Development and Use of the Property
Importance: High

Dear County Council Members, Executive Leggett, Ms. Brenneman, Mr. Dise, and County government staff and representatives,

Please review the message immediately below. Along with that message, I sent copies of my recently filed comments regarding the Brickyard Road property proposal to each of you for your review and response. I received an automated response from many of your email addresses stating that the size of the attachment (a "PDF" file, which takes substantial data space) is too large to be accepted by your email system. Because I believe it is important that each of you have a prompt opportunity to review my comments and requests, I ask that Mr. Dise, Ms. Brenneman, or other DGS staff provide copies (preferably electronic copies if you are able to deliver larger files) of my comments to the offices of each of the officials and persons addressed in this email (primarily county council members, county executive and

staff, and the county board of education). I will also mail a paper copy of the letter to addressees, but I am concerned they may not be delivered soon enough.

Thank you in advance for your assistance with this task, and your consideration of my comments and objections,

Paul Hemmersbaugh

From: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2012 10:38 AM
To: 'ocemail@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'councilmember.andrews@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'councilmember.ervin@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'councilmember.navarro@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'councilmember.rice@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'Ike.Leggett@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'ocemail@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'kenneth.hartman@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'jennifer.hughes@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'david.dise@montgomerycountymd.gov'
Cc: 'Christopher_Barclay@mcpsmd.org'; 'boe@mcpsmd.org'; 'Shirley_Brandman@mcpsmd.org'; 'Phil_Kauffman@mcpsmd.org'; 'Judy_Docca@mcpsmd.org'; 'Laura_Berthiaume@mcpsmd.org'; 'Patricia_O'Neill@mcpsmd.org'; 'Michael_A_Durso@mcpsmd.org'; 'Alan_Xie@mcpsmd.org'
Subject: Brickyard Road Property: Comments and Concerns Regarding Proposed Development and Use of the Property

Dear County Executive Leggett and staff, County Council Members, and Department of General Services Director Dise,

Attached please find a copy of comments and objections I filed yesterday with DGS concerning the proposed sublease, development, and operation of the Board of Education property on Brickyard Road as a private soccer facility. Because this is an important matter to me and to many of your constituents, I ask that each of you review and respond to the attached concerns, objections, and comments. I have carefully reviewed the documents made available for public review to develop these comments and objections, and I ask that each of you in turn carefully review and provide a

written response to my comments. Because many of these comments and objections go directly to the legality and enforceability of the proposed sublease, project, and operations, I urge the the County not to enter a sublease or otherwise go forward with the proposed sublease and project until it has fully addressed the comments and objections set forth in the attachment. To be very clear, I believe that if the County were to proceed with the proposed Sublease and project in its current form and without significant procedural and substantive changes, that action (and the resulting project, agreements, and any development or operation done pursuant thereto) would be arbitrary, capricious, and unlawful.

If you have any questions concerning the attached objections, please contact me. This matter puts at risk not only the public interest, but the reputation of the County and each of you (County Council members, you have oversight and supervisory duties and powers, as well as independent duties to your constituents and all County residents: asserting that this decision and process rests solely with the County Executive and the Executive branch would be both inappropriate and an abdication of your responsibilities to your constituents and the general public. You may not be able to singlehandedly change the course of this proposal, but you should make your position known and stand up and be counted) concerning fair, reasoned, open, and sound public policymaking; proper stewardship of public lands, and public land management; due process and the rule of law. Failure to reconsider the proposed course of action in light of strong public concerns and opposition to the present proposal would reflect poorly on County government and the quality of governance and policymaking it provides. Thank you for your time, and deliberate consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely,

Paul A. Hemmersbaugh
8112 Coach Street

Potomac, MD 20854

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To comply with certain U.S. Treasury regulations, we inform you

that, unless expressly stated otherwise, any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this
communication, including attachments, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be
used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding any penalties that may be imposed on such
taxpayer by the Internal Revenue Service. In addition, if any such tax advice is used or referred
to by other parties in promoting, marketing or recommending any partnership or other entity,
investment plan or arrangement, then (i) the advice should be construed as written in connection
with the promotion or marketing by others of the transaction(s) or matter(s) addressed in this
communication and (ii) the taxpayer should seek advice based on the taxpayer's particular
circumstances from an independent tax advisor.
****
****
This e-mail is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential.
If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the e-mail and any attachments and notify us
immediately.

****
****

FromName	Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.
FromAddress	phemmersbaugh@sidley.com
ToName	Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.;Brenneman, Cynthia;Dise, David E.;
ToAddress	phemmersbaugh@sidley.com;/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINI
Subject	RE: Brickyard Road Property: Comments and Concerns Rega
Body	Note that the automated response message indicated that the email did not go through either because the message was too large or because the recipient's email box was full. Given the volume of email County officials are likely receiving concerning this and other issues, the delivery failure may be due to full email inboxes rather than the attachments. In either event, I request that DGS ensure copies of my comments letter are promptly distributed to County council members, the County Executive and responsible staff. Thank you, Paul Hemmersbaugh From: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A. Sent: Friday, April 13, 2012 10:59 AM To: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.; Brenneman, Cynthia; ocemail@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.andrews@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.ervin@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.navarro@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.rice@montgomerycountymd.gov; councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov; Ike.Leggett@montgomerycountymd.gov; Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.; ocemail@montgomerycountymd.gov; kenneth.hartman@montgomerycountymd.gov; jennifer.hughes@montgomerycountymd.gov; david.dise@montgomerycountymd.gov Cc: Christopher_Barclay@mcpsmd.org; boe@mcpsmd.org; Shirley_Brandman@mcpsmd.org; Phil_Kauffman@mcpsmd.org; Judy_Docca@mcpsmd.org; Laura_Berthiaume@mcpsmd.org; Patricia_O'Neill@mcpsmd.org;

Michael_A_Durso@mcpsmd.org; Alan_Xie@mcpsmd.org; Paul Hemmersbaugh
Subject: RE: Brickyard Road Property: Comments and Concerns Regarding Proposed Development and Use of the Property
Importance: High

Dear County Council Members, Executive Leggett, Ms. Brenneman, Mr. Dise, and County government staff and representatives,

Please review the message immediately below. Along with that message, I sent copies of my recently filed comments regarding the Brickyard Road property proposal to each of you for your review and response. I received an automated response from many of your email addresses stating that the size of the attachment (a "PDF" file, which takes substantial data space) is too large to be accepted by your email system. Because I believe it is important that each of you have a prompt opportunity to review my comments and requests, I ask that Mr. Dise, Ms. Brenneman, or other DGS staff provide copies (preferably electronic copies if you are able to deliver larger files) of my comments to the offices of each of the officials and persons addressed in this email (primarily county council members, county executive and staff, and the county board of education). I will also mail a paper copy of the letter to addressees, but I am concerned they may not be delivered soon enough.

Thank you in advance for your assistance with this task, and your consideration of my comments and objections,

Paul Hemmersbaugh

From: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2012 10:38 AM
To: 'ocemail@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'councilmember.andrews@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'councilmember.berliner@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'councilmember.elrich@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'councilmember.ervin@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'councilmember.floreen@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'councilmember.leventhal@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'councilmember.navarro@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'councilmember.rice@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'councilmember.riemer@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'Ike.Leggett@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'ocemail@montgomerycountymd.gov';

'kenneth.hartman@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'jennifer.hughes@montgomerycountymd.gov'; 'david.dise@montgomerycountymd.gov'
Cc: 'Christopher_Barclay@mcpsmd.org'; 'boe@mcpsmd.org'; 'Shirley_Brandman@mcpsmd.org'; 'Phil_Kauffman@mcpsmd.org'; 'Judy_Docca@mcpsmd.org'; 'Laura_Berthiaume@mcpsmd.org'; 'Patricia_O'Neill@mcpsmd.org'; 'Michael_A_Durso@mcpsmd.org'; 'Alan_Xie@mcpsmd.org'
Subject: Brickyard Road Property: Comments and Concerns Regarding Proposed Development and Use of the Property

Dear County Executive Leggett and staff, County Council Members, and Department of General Services Director Dise,

Attached please find a copy of comments and objections I filed yesterday with DGS concerning the proposed sublease, development, and operation of the Board of Education property on Brickyard Road as a private soccer facility. Because this is an important matter to me and to many of your constituents, I ask that each of you review and respond to the attached concerns, objections, and comments. I have carefully reviewed the documents made available for public review to develop these comments and objections, and I ask that each of you in turn carefully review and provide a written response to my comments. Because many of these comments and objections go directly to the legality and enforceability of the proposed sublease, project, and operations, I urge the the County not to enter a sublease or otherwise go forward with the proposed sublease and project until it has fully addressed the comments and objections set forth in the attachment. To be very clear, I believe that if the County were to proceed with the proposed Sublease and project in its current form and without significant procedural and substantive changes, that action (and the resulting project, agreements, and any development or operation done pursuant thereto) would be arbitrary, capricious, and unlawful.

If you have any questions concerning the attached objections, please contact me. This matter puts at risk not only the public interest, but the reputation of the County and each of you (County Council members, you have oversight and supervisory duties and powers, as well as independent duties to your constituents and all County residents: asserting that this decision and process rests solely with the County Executive and the Executive branch would be both inappropriate and an abdication of your responsibilities to your constituents and the general public. You may not be able to singlehandedly change the course of this proposal, but you should make your position known and stand up and be counted) concerning fair, reasoned, open, and sound public

policymaking; proper stewardship of public lands, and public land management; due process and the rule of law. Failure to reconsider the proposed course of action in light of strong public concerns and opposition to the present proposal would reflect poorly on County government and the quality of governance and policymaking it provides. Thank you for your time, and deliberate consideration of this important matter.

Sincerely,

Paul A. Hemmersbaugh
8112 Coach Street

Potomac, MD 20854

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To comply with certain U.S. Treasury regulations, we inform you
that, unless expressly stated otherwise, any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this
communication, including attachments, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be
used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding any penalties that may be imposed on such
taxpayer by the Internal Revenue Service. In addition, if any such tax advice is used or referred
to by other parties in promoting, marketing or recommending any partnership or other entity,
investment plan or arrangement, then (i) the advice should be construed as written in connection
with the promotion or marketing by others of the transaction(s) or matter(s) addressed in this
communication and (ii) the taxpayer should seek advice based on the taxpayer's particular
circumstances from an independent tax advisor.
****
This e-mail is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential.
If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the e-mail and any attachments and notify us
immediately.

****

FromName	Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.
FromAddress	phemmersbaugh@sidley.com
ToName	Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.;ORE, DGS
ToAddress	phemmersbaugh@sidley.com;/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINI
Subject	RE: ATTN: Brickyard Road Comments
Body	Please confirm that you have received the comments I submitted last evening. I have also put paper "hard copies" in the mail, but they may or may not reach you by 5 pm today. If you have not received my comments letter, please advise, and I will send another copy. Thank you, Paul Hemmersbaugh Paul Hemmersbaugh Sidley Austin, LLP (202) 736-8538 phemmersbaugh@sidley.com From: Hemmersbaugh, Paul A. Sent: Thursday, April 12, 2012 11:12 PM To: 'DGS.ORE@montgomerycountymd.gov' Cc: 'Brenneman, Cynthia'; Hemmersbaugh, Paul A. Subject: ATTN: Brickyard Road Comments Importance: High Dear DGS, Attached please find my comments on the proposed Brickyard road project, proposed Sublease and related documents. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me.

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To comply with certain U.S. Treasury regulations, we inform you
that, unless expressly stated otherwise, any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this
communication, including attachments, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be
used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding any penalties that may be imposed on such
taxpayer by the Internal Revenue Service. In addition, if any such tax advice is used or referred
to by other parties in promoting, marketing or recommending any partnership or other entity,
investment plan or arrangement, then (i) the advice should be construed as written in connection
with the promotion or marketing by others of the transaction(s) or matter(s) addressed in this
communication and (ii) the taxpayer should seek advice based on the taxpayer's particular
circumstances from an independent tax advisor.
****
This e-mail is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential.
If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the e-mail and any attachments and notify us
immediately.

****

FromName	Hemmersbaugh, Paul A.
FromAddress	phemmersbaugh@sidley.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	ATTN: Brickyard Road Comments
Body	Dear DGS, Attached please find my comments on the proposed Brickyard road project, proposed Sublease and related documents. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me. ---------- IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To comply with certain U.S. Treasury regulations, we inform you that, unless expressly stated otherwise, any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication, including attachments, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding any penalties that may be imposed on such taxpayer by the Internal Revenue Service. In addition, if any such tax advice is used or referred to by other parties in promoting, marketing or recommending any partnership or other entity, investment plan or arrangement, then (i) the advice should be construed as written in connection with the promotion or marketing by others of the transaction(s) or matter(s) addressed in this communication and (ii) the taxpayer should seek advice based on the taxpayer's particular circumstances from an independent tax advisor. ********** This e-mail is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the e-mail and any attachments and notify us immediately. **********

FromName	Holly Welch
FromAddress	welchparty@mac.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Opposed to Brickyard Road Soccer
Body	My children play MSI soccer and enjoy soccer, but do not support the privatization of land intended for public use. Please do not convert the Brickyard Road School site to pay for play soccer fields. Thank you, Holly Welch 7700 Hackamore Drive Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Horacio Chacon
FromAddress	HChacon@eaglebankcorp.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	
Body	I support the construction of soccer field on Brickyard Road. Please don't let a handful of wealthy home owners dictate the needs of a million people in Montgomery County. Thank you, Horacio Chacon 3 Lodge Ct. Rockville, MD 20850

FromName	Howard Isaacson
FromAddress	howard@RLJLodgingTrust.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Fields
Body	I wanted to express my full support of the Brick yard soccer fields. Thank you, Howard Isaacson 9245 Cambridge Manor Ct. Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Howard Levenson
FromAddress	haxison@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	We, who are voting citizens of this County have strong objections to the proposed lease of Brickyard property to a private sports organization based primarily on the complete absence of a process to apprise the public of this intended use of neighborhood land and to seek public input into the decision as to how this neighborhood property was to be used if not for the school for which it was originally purchased. We also strongly support the current use of this land as an organic farm which has offered to expand its already tremendous contribution to the population of this County by educating County schoolchildren about the benefits of organic farming to all. We also agree with many other citizens on the following specific points: * Speedy decision problematic: The acceptance of MSI's proposal follows the consistent pattern of the County and School Board evading all proper and normal legal procedures. The timeline for rewarding the sublease to applicants under the RFP was supposed to be in May. Why then has this decision been sped up? The citizens should be protected by the County following proper procedure. * Circumventing normal contract procedures: The County had announced it would issue a "Request for Proposals" (RFP), but instead it avoided usual procurement regulations by issuing a request for "qualifications" (RFQ). * Not enough bidders: In many instances, if only one bidder responds to a solicitation, the issuing agency would reconsider the entire project for several reasons: 1) there is no competition; 2) the project as presented may be flawed to begin with; 3) there is insufficient demonstrated need to proceed with the project; and/or 4) the project is too narrowly defined allowing only one entity to respond. * Farm proposal not considered: The County did not respond to or even acknowledge another proposal that was submitted by Brickyard Educational Farm to establish an educational farm on the site. Failure to evaluate that proposal shows that a thorough investigation was not conducted in reaching a decision.

* Private sports organization problematic on school land: There is no precedent for a private organization to build and operate pay-for-play sports fields on school land. We believe this action violates Montgomery County and Maryland State law.

* Decision-making without public input is unacceptable: This decision has been discussed almost entirely behind closed doors, and the Board of Education has been found in violation of the Open Meetings Act by the Compliance Board. This process should restart and follow proper procedures and have community input.

* Promised conditions left out of lease: The County Executive has stated and written that any soccer fields at Brickyard would not have artificial turf, public address systems, or lights for night-time playing. All of these conditions were left out of the proposed lease. In addition, the hours of year-round operation are such that lights would be required.

* Parking is insufficient: It would appear that they are only providing about half the amount of parking recommended by Parks and Planning. This could lead to parking and congestion on residential streets.

* Farm is unique and irreplaceable: There is only one organic seed farm in Montgomery County and already over 500 places to play soccer. This is an irreplaceable asset to Montgomery County that should be valued, not destroyed.

* Farm provides required outdoor education opportunities: Brickyard Educational Farm offers programs that fulfill the Maryland outdoor education requirements and the Farm to School guidelines, and provide an innovative experiential learning model. We should take advantage of this valuable piece of land for educational use, and serve ALL students of Montgomery County with this land.

Thank you for your consideration,

Howard, Melany and Matthew Levenson

7501 Masters Drive

Potomac, MD 20854

Ph: (301) 502-7394

FromName	Howard Veal
FromAddress	howardveal@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Sirs: I am a Montgomery County resident and taxpayer, and I support the Brickyard Soccer Project. Regards, Howard Veal 5921 Wilmett Road Bethesda, MD 20817

FromName	Ilisa Bernstein
FromAddress	ilisabgb@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am writing to express my strong support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. The boys and girls of Montgomery County are in desperate need of more and improved soccer fields in Montgomery County. As a soccer coach and a soccer mom of 3 soccer-playing kids, I know first-hand how the Brickyard Soccer Project will fill a tremendous need in our County. Thank you for your consideration. Ilisa Bernstein 11612 Split Rail Court North Bethesda, Maryland 20852

FromName	Im, June
FromAddress	Jim@ftc.gov
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Please support the Brickyard Soccer Project, and work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. June Im & Brian Afnan Isabel (Age 6) Samantha (Age 3) Mackenzie (6 mos) 4103 Edgevale Court Chevy Chase, MD 20815

FromName	info@mcgintyspublichouse.com
FromAddress	info@mcgintyspublichouse.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Better Fields
Body	Debbie Lea 10 Granville Dr Silver Spring Md 20901. Our children need better fields in order to participate in this sport. McGinty's Public House 911 Ellsworth Dr. Silver Spring, MD 20910 301-587-1270 www.mcgintyspublichouse.com

FromName	info@mcgintyspublichouse.com
FromAddress	info@mcgintyspublichouse.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Fields
Body	Emma Whelan 312 Ladson Rd Silver Spring Md 20901 Please provide better facilities to our children. McGinty's Public House 911 Ellsworth Dr. Silver Spring, MD 20910 301-587-1270 www.mcgintyspublichouse.com

FromName	info@mcgintyspublichouse.com
FromAddress	info@mcgintyspublichouse.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Better Fields
Body	The sport is becoming so popular. How about keeping up with good fields to allow our children to enjoy the sport. Debbie McGinty's Public House 911 Ellsworth Dr. Silver Spring, MD 20910 301-587-1270 www.mcgintyspublichouse.com

FromName	info@mcgintyspublichouse.com
FromAddress	info@mcgintyspublichouse.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Fields
Body	Denis Barry 10 Granville Dr Silver Spring Md 20901 Montgomery Co needs better fields McGinty's Public House 911 Ellsworth Dr. Silver Spring, MD 20910 301-587-1270 www.mcgintyspublichouse.com

FromName	Irene Carmi
FromAddress	icarmi@ymail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard comments
Body	I strongly oppose the MSI takeover of the farm on Brickyard Rd. I live across the street and do not want all the traffic congestion, noise and disturbance in our quiet pleasant neighborhood. Please do not approve this request. Thanks, Irene Carmi 8712 Brickyard Rd. Potomac, Md 20854

FromName	J SCOTT RICKARD
FromAddress	jsrpe@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Object to Lease with MSI
Body	We are opposed to Montgomery County's deal with MSI that would destroy 20 acres of farmland on Brickyard Road. We need more green space in Montgomery County, not less. We need less traffic in Montgomery County, not more. We need less crowding in Montgomery County, not more. We need less air pollution in Montgomery County, not more. We need less light pollution in Montgomery County, not more. We need less noise pollution in Montgomery County, not more. We don't need anything that will further reduce property values in Montgomery County. We need to know we can count on you to do the right thing and block this deal. J.S. Rickard 301-299-7658

FromName	ja_quinn@comcast.net
FromAddress	ja_quinn@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Road Soccer Project
Body	I would like to express my support for the proposed Brickyard Roa d Soccer project. My kids played soccer for many years in Montgomery County and I have been a referee for the past 4 years. While things have improved with the addition of the Maryland Soccerplex, there are still not enough quality fields to go around and particularly not in the down County area . I referee all over the county and there are plenty of poor quality fields. After refereeing on one of these fields at the end of the day my legs and ankles have taken a beating, not to mention what the kids had to endure trying to play on the poor surface. The long and short: We need more high quality soccer fields! Thanks John A. Quinn Damascus, MD

FromName	Jackie Margolis
FromAddress	jackie_margolis@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard playground/soccer field project
Body	I support the Brickyard playground project and feel we need even more playgrounds & soccer fields in our county. Jackie Margolis Rockville, MD 20852 Sent from my iPhone

FromName	jackwelch@verizon.net
FromAddress	jackwelch@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	OPPOSED to Brickyard Road Soccer Complex
Body	We do not support converting an organic farm to private pay for play soccer fields. The roads in the area will not support the additional traffic. There is no shortage of soccer fields in this portion of Montgomery County. Thank you, Jack Welch 7700 Hackamore Drive Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	James Ertel
FromAddress	jamie.ertel@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	We need more soccer fields
Body	I am a high school senior at Wootton High School. I started playing soccer when I was in first grade. We need more good soccer fields. The only good fields we ever get to play on are all the way up at the SoccerPlex. I support any project to build more soccer fields, especially the project at Brickyard Road. Jamie Ertel 13321 Glen Mill Rd Rockville Md 20860

FromName	James Gilbert MD
FromAddress	jamesgilbertmd@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Yes to Soccer Fields
Body	I live in Potomac and pay taxes in Potomac. I can think of no better use of green space. Vote yes for Soccer ! James Gilbert MD Director MOST Sports Medicine Team Physician DC United

FromName	James Hopenfeld
FromAddress	jehopen@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	Dear Montgomery County: I disapprove of the proposed sublease between Montgomery County and MSI, and offer the following comments for consideration by the County. In my view, addressing and/or including these proposals are the minimum required to fairly balance the needs of the County, sub-lessee, and the surrounding community. 1) The language of the sublease is ambiguous in many respects, most importantly with respect to restrictions on use of the land as set forth in attachments. In particular, the restrictions set forth in Exhibit C1 need to be expressly incorporated into the paragraph 7 of the Lease to eliminate any ambiguity on use of premises provisions. These restrictions include: 1) traffic study; 2) no artificial fields; 3) no lighting system; 4) no public address system; 5) no activity from 9PM to 9AM. 6) maximum 5 tournaments (this is the only portion of c1 expressly incorporated in the use provisions, creating further ambiguity); 7) noise impact analyses must be conducted; 8) no permanent structures allowed. All use restrictions should expressly be deemed material parts of the Lease. Failure to abide by any material term should require automatic termination of the sub-lease. 2) The requirements for a traffic study and noise abatement study need to be further specified, and the consequences of these studies need to be made express conditions for the lease. These studies need to be carried out by a date certain before any "improvement" or modification to the land commences. Criteria need to be set so that if certain

traffic or noise criteria our found by the study to be exceeded, the
Lease should be automatically cancelled. For example, the Lease could
specify: a) traffic study must by done by certain date; b) if traffic
study shows traffic shall exceed x, or risks to the community due to
increased traffic y, or expected road maintenance costs to increase by z
are found to be reasonably probabilities, the Lease is automatically
terminated. Similar idea for noise prevention. In addition, the Lease
should provide that a second set of studies should be conducted two
years after the construction of any soccer facility, and every five
years thereafter, to ensure that traffic, noise, and safety requirements
continue to be met, and requiring automatic cancellation for any failure
to do so.

3) The Lease does not make any provision for steps to be taken to
prevent cars from parking on adjacent private property in the event that
the parking lot overflows. What steps will be taken to prevent cars
from parking illegally? Who will be responsible for those steps? If a
car parks illegally on private property, and causes damages (even minor
damage, for example to a lawn), who will be responsible? I note that
the Lease contains no provisions for a study of the likelihood and
effects of overflow of parking. Such a study should be added to the
Lease requirements, and should be made a conditional of the Lease as I
explained in point #2, above.

4) With respect to the traffic and parking studies, in particular, an
important risk to the community needs to be examined and specifically
studied: the risk that increased traffic or parking will inhibit the
provision of emergency fire or medical services to the community
surrounding Brickyard Road. Criteria must be developed to fairly assess
the risk, and what level of increased risk, if any, would be
acceptable. As set forth above, not only the conduct of these studies,
but also the consequences of these studies, must be made

express
conditions of the Lease.

5) The Lease should expressly set forth that at least the members of the
community in the vicinity of the Brickyard field are third party
beneficiaries of the Lease, and have the right to enforce in court
beneficial terms in the Lease, including with respect to land use
provisions.

6) The Lease should specify that no concession stands should be
permitted on the property. If concession stands are permitted then, at
the very least: 1) the concession stands should not be permitted to be
supplied by truck over a gross vehicle weight of 2.5 tons; 2) the Lessee
shall be responsible for weekly litter clean-up not only of the fields,
but on Brickyard Road, on both sides of the road, within 1 mile of the
fields. Note that, with respect to the sublessee's obligations under
paragraph 15, the sublessee is not expressly obligated to take
preventive steps, and remediate, when trash/litter from the fields are
distributed to the surrounding environs.

7) Sublicensee should be required to make public all Licenses made
pursuant to the sublease, maintain a public list of the identities,
contact information, and responsibilities of each Licensee, and provide
to the public an annual profit and loss statement related to the
sub-lessee's activities, including its licensees, on the leased
property.

8) At all times, the sub-lessee should be required to provide the names
of at least two, but not more than four, contact persons for the purpose
of receiving and responding to complaints regarding use of the premises
that do not meet the lease, and take steps to make sure at least one of
such persons are available at all times during which the fields
are in use.

Thank you for your consideration.

James Hopenfeld
8612 Brickyard Road
Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Jami Axelrod
FromAddress	jamiaxelrod@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support of the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project! My two daughters have had a hard time finding fields to play soccer and this would help out tremendously. Jami Axelrod 5509 Christy Drive Bethesda, MD 20816

FromName	Janelle Straszheim
FromAddress	JStraszheim@SandySpringTrust.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I am writing to document my disapproval of the MSI lease on
Body	Dear Sir or Madam, I am a county resident since birth; I reside in Potomac - also since birth. I will be adversely impacted by the installation of this huge soccer complex. I would also appreciate the County's consideration of establishing a seed farm on this property - it is a truly unique opportunity for all County residents to have this type of resource available to all. Thank you for your attention to this matter. I am available to discuss my views with you further if it would be of benefit. Sincerely, Janelle Sherfy Straszheim, Senior Vice President, Chief Fiduciary Officer | Sandy Spring Trust 17801 Georgia Avenue, Olney, MD 20832 | * 301-570-8302| 7 Fax: (301) 570-1195| *: jstraszheim@SandySpringTrust.com<mailto:jstraszheim@SandySpringTrust.com> CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail transmission may contain confidential or legally privileged information that is intended only for the individual(s) or entity named in the e-mail address. Use of such information by any intended recipient shall be limited to the purpose for which such information was sent. Unauthorized use, copying or disclosure (i.e., forwarding) to unauthorized recipients is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you received this e-mail transmission in error, please reply to the sender and delete the message. Thank you.

FromName	Janene Mitchell
FromAddress	Janene.Mitchell@reznickgroup.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project
Body	We desperately need more playing fields in Maryland. All citizens benefit in some respect. Please support the Brickyard soccer project. Thank you Janene Mitchell Connected by DROID on Verizon Wireless Circular 230 Disclaimer: To ensure compliance with the requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing, or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein. CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information in this message is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, or distribution of the message, or any action or omission taken by you in reliance on it, is prohibited and may be unlawful. Please immediately contact the sender if you have received this message in error. Thank you.

FromName	Janet Collins
FromAddress	jctosuccess@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	
Body	Dear Sir, I support the Brickyard Soccer project. Sincerely, Janet A. Collins 20103 Tindal Springs Place Montgomery Village, MD 20886

FromName	Janice Smith
FromAddress	jbsmith333@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Comment on Sublease of land to MSI
Body	Dear Ms. Brenneman, I have major concerns about the sublease of land to Montgomery Soccer Incorporated (MSI) which currently is being used as an organic farm. * Speedy decision problematic: The acceptance of MSI's proposal follows the consistent pattern of the County and School Board evading all proper and normal legal procedures. The timeline for rewarding the sublease to applicants under the RFP was supposed to be in May. Why then has this decision been sped up? The citizens should be protected by the County following proper procedure. * Circumventing normal contract procedures: The County had announced it would issue a "Request for Proposals" (RFP), but instead it avoided usual procurement regulations by issuing a request for "qualifications" (RFQ). * Not enough bidders: In many instances, if only one bidder responds to a solicitation, the issuing agency would reconsider the entire project for several reasons: 1) there is no competition; 2) the project as presented may be flawed to begin with; 3) there is insufficient demonstrated need to proceed with the project; and/or 4) the project is too narrowly defined allowing only one entity to respond. * Farm proposal not considered: The County did not respond to or even acknowledge another proposal that was submitted by Brickyard Educational Farm to establish an educational farm on the site. Failure to evaluate that proposal shows that a thorough investigation was not conducted in reaching a decision.

* Private sports organization problematic on school land: There is no precedent for a private organization to build and operate pay-for-play sports fields on school land. We believe this action violates Montgomery County and Maryland State law.

* Decision-making without public input is unacceptable: This decision has been discussed almost entirely behind closed doors, and the Board of Education has been found in violation of the Open Meetings Act by the Compliance Board. This process should restart and follow proper procedures and have community input.

* Promised conditions left out of lease: The County Executive has stated and written that any soccer fields at Brickyard would not have artificial turf, public address systems, or lights for night-time playing. All of these conditions were left out of the proposed lease. In addition, the hours of year-round operation are such that lights would be required.

* Parking is insufficient: It would appear that they are only providing about half the amount of parking recommended by Parks and Planning. This could lead to parking and congestion on residential streets.

* Farm is unique and irreplaceable: There is only one organic seed farm in Montgomery County and already over 500 places to play soccer. This is an irreplaceable asset to Montgomery County that should be valued, not destroyed.

* Farm provides required outdoor education opportunities: Brickyard Educational Farm offers programs that fulfill the Maryland outdoor education requirements and the Farm to School guidelines, and provide an innovative experiential learning model. We should take advantage of this valuable piece of land for educational use, and serve ALL students of Montgomery County with this land.

Janice Smith

Concerned Montgomery County Resident

FromName	Jason Blum
FromAddress	jason.blum@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project!
Body	It is outrageous one of the nation's wealthiest counties has its worst soccer fields. Our kids can't play in college because they've rolled their ankles so many times in grade school, they can't compete. Why do we have so many golf courses but not one single decent soccer field? Please turn the Sligo Creek Golf Course into a soccer complex and support the Brickyard Soccer Project in general. Jason Blum Silver Spring, MD 20910

FromName	Jason Davitian
FromAddress	jrdavitian@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project
Body	As I wrote in an earlier email, I support this project. I forgot my address earlier. It is 5808 Mass. Ave, Bethesda, MD 20816. Jason Davitian

FromName	Jason Davitian
FromAddress	jrdavitian@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project
Body	I wholeheartedly support this project. We need more soccer fields. Jason Davitian

FromName	Jayne Korolkoff
FromAddress	jaynelk@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer
Body	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project. Please continue to work to provide more fields and parks for our children. Jayne Korolkoff 11223 Welland Street North Potomac, MD 20878

FromName	Jean Foster
FromAddress	jfoster@potomacco.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Fields!
Body	expressing my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project, and to encourage them to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. 13705 Charity Court Germantown MD Jean Foster Director of Account Management M - F 9 am - 3 pm Potomac Companies, Inc. 903 Russell Avenue #200 Gaithersburg, MD 20874 W: 301-840-0770 x135 F: 301-840-9607 DISCLAIMER ALL INFORMATION, DOCUMENTS AND FORMS CONTAINED IN THIS COMMUNICATION ARE FOR GENERAL INFORMATIONAL AND DEMONSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY. IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOU REVIEW THE INFORMATION WITH AN ATTORNEY BEFORE USING THEM IN YOUR PARTICULAR SITUATION. IT IS YOUR RESPONSIBILITY TO MAKE SURE THAT THE INFORMATION, FORMS, AND DOCUMENTS MEET CURRENT LAW AND YOUR PARTICULAR FACTS. POTOMAC COMPANIES, INC. MAKES NO WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, REGARDING THE ACCURACY, USE, COMPLETENESS, LEGAL EFFECT OR ENFORCEABILITY OF THE INFORMATION, DOCUMENTS, AND FORMS CONTAINED HEREIN AND DISCLAIMS ANY LIABILITY FOR ERRORS AND OMISSIONS, REGARDLESS OF NEGLIGENCE, IN THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS AND FORMS. IN NO EVENT SHALL POTOMAC COMPANIES OR ITS AGENTS OR OFFICERS BE LIABLE FOR ANY DAMAGES WHATSOEVER (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFITS, BUSINESS INTERRUPTIONS, LOSS OF INFORMATION) ARISING OUT OF

THE USE OF OR INABILITY TO USE THE ATTACHED MATERIALS. Potomac
Companies provides the following information and forms as free
resources, and as a courtesy, to its clients. It does not provide legal
services, and no attorney-client relationship is formed between you and
Potomac Companies, any of its agents, or anyone else by your use of any
of the information, documents or forms. The information, documents and
forms contained herein are available for your use, and you assume full
responsibility for any use or consequences of their use. Before you use
any document template, you should recognize that there is no such thing
as a completely typical situation and that the factual and legal
circumstances of each situation will vary. This is particularly true in
light of the fact that the employment area is an evolving, fluid one
where laws and applicable judicial decisions are ever changing. In
fact, employment laws, rules and judicial decisions can vary from state
to state. Even if you believe that a particular document is highly
relevant to your situation, it is entirely your responsibility to ensure
that all relevant legal contingencies are properly satisfied.
Accordingly, we reiterate that you should consult with counsel before
using any information, documents or forms provided by
Potomac Companies.

FromName	Jean Marc Gaultier
FromAddress	jmgaulti@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	TAke care of the fields
Body	Hello, We need more soccer field in the county, and the ones we have need to be taken care of Šjust to avoid kids injury. Thanks JM

FromName	Jean Marc Gaultier
FromAddress	jmgaulti@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Re: TAke care of the fields
Body	Hello, We need more soccer field in the county, and the ones we have need to be taken care of Šjust to avoid kids injury. Thanks JM Jean-Marc Gaultier Jmgaulti@hotmail.com

FromName	Jean McCauley
FromAddress	mccauley02@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer Fields in Frederick County
Body	To Whom It May Concern, Our county is in desperate need of funding and work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Thank you! Jean McCauley "Life is not the way it's supposed to be. It's the way it is. The way you cope with it is what makes the difference."

FromName	Jean Metzdorf
FromAddress	dwmdds@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	The soccer complex in Germantown was planned in a large enough area so as not to interfere with housing around it. The soccer complex with parking lots and bathrooms in Potomac will most definitely interfere with the values and enjoyment of all the residents in the Potomac neighborhood. Noise, lights, car congestion will impact not only the houses that have adjoining lots, but all those who drive the "traffic circles and bumps" along Brickyard Road. Already a house adjoining the property is up for sale and another property has slowed it's completion (on Brent Rd.). We live in the neighborhood and were not given notice of the soccer complex plan. It will change the quiet neighborhood and impact all our lives. We oppose the soccer complex. David and Martha Metzdorf, 5 Alloway Court, Potomac, Md.

FromName	Jean Mitchell
FromAddress	mitchejm@georgetown.edu
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project
Body	I am writing to relay that I DO NOT support the proposed project to build soccer fields on the site off of Brickyard Road. Jean M. Mitchell 8304 Hackamore Drive Potomac MD 20854

FromName	jeancan1@verizon.net
FromAddress	jeancan1@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	I very disapprove of the MSI Contract and the process by which it has been done. This is an outrageous use of power of the county executive Ike Leggett. Jean R Canada Potomac, MD

FromName	Jeannette Stewart
FromAddress	jeannettestewart65@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Project
Body	We support the Brickyard project that seeks to improve soccer fields for our children in Montgomery County. Jeannette Stewart on behalf of the Stewart family

FromName	Jeff Brindle
FromAddress	jeffbrindle@msn.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard comments
Body	The citizens of Montgomery County listed below hereby strongly oppose the agreement with MSI to construct, manage and operate soccer fields on the Brickyard School Public property. We believe the secret process which the County has followed is improper and illegal. We demand that a new and completely transparent process that is in compliance with county law be commenced to determine the best use for the Brickyard property. Jeff BrindleRebecca Brindle8004 Horseshoe LanePotomac Maryland 20854301-299-1706 □□ □ □□

FromName	Jeff Koch
FromAddress	jeffkoch33@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer fields
Body	Both of my boys play soccer. I feel the county needs more soccer fields. Jeff Koch (301) 252-5550 JeffKoch33@gmail.com 4 Woodsend Pl Rockville, MD 20854

FromName	Jeff Wilks
FromAddress	jeffwilks@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer Project and better fields through
Body	To Whom It May Concern, I wanted to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project, and to encourage development of more and better fields throughout Montgomery County. I have three children in the MSI soccer program (Classic and Rec) and help coach the respective teams. Thank you, Jeff Wilks Chevy Chase, MD

FromName	Jenn Sellers
FromAddress	jennsellersmd@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support for the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	This email is to support for the Brickyard Soccer Project so that we kids have more and better fields to play soccer. Thank you very much. Jenn Sellers 13905 Saddleview Drive North Potomac, MD 20878 Jenn Sellers

FromName	Jenna and David Greenstein
FromAddress	jennadaveg@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project & Other Places Such as North Chev
Body	Good Morning, I write to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project and fields or better fields in places like North Chevy Chase LP and elsewhere. There is a lack of fields and quality at the ones that are there both in parks, schools, etc. As someone who has multiple kids playing soccer, including a recreational and club team, has coached a couple of teams and been all over the county at various fields, anything you can do to add to the number of fields (to account for increased use and to decrease the amount of travel county taxpayers have to do) and improve quality will be a real boon to quality of life in the county for those with sports (many sports can use these fields) playing children. That is a huge constituency. Thanks for your time in reading this brief and quickly drafted note of support and please contact me with any questions. David Greenstein 4006 Laird Place Chevy Chase, MD 20815

FromName	Jenna Steckler
FromAddress	jenna10948@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Fields
Body	I do not support the land in Brickyard Road becoming soccer fields. Jenna Steckler 10631 MacArthur Blvd. Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Jennifer Smith Salaj
FromAddress	jss87dc@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Fields
Body	Please use the land on Brickyard Road for soccer fields. My sons have been playing soccer for the past 9 years and there are so few fields now available because of all the for profit organizations, based in Potomac, that the county allocates fewer and fewer fields to non-profits like MSI. With all of the school construction, many fields are unavailable for 3 to 4 years at a time in this area. Fairfax County and Howard County place a priority on the education and health of their citizens, but Montgomery County caters to the residents of Potomac above the well-being of everyone else in the county. The farm on Brickyard was never open to the public until the soccer project was proposed and now members of the County Council are trying to use this issue to garner votes for the next County Executive election. This should not be a political issue but rather one of what is best for the entire county. And what is best is a place where children and families can exercise and enjoy themselves as a community. There are very few places to do that and I personally have to drive up and down 270 four times a week for soccer and baseball games and practices. It would be so nice if we could ride our bicycles there instead or at least to only have to drive for 10 min instead of 30 each way. Please consider what the 99% want and not what the 1% want. Build and maintain more athletic fields all over this county.

Jennifer Smith Salaj

8809 Wandering Trail Drive

Potomac, MD 20854

301.523.7756

FromName	jenniferanschutz@comcast.net
FromAddress	jenniferanschutz@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Supporting Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	

Hi -

My daughters play soccer with MSI in Montgomery County. The fields they play on are not well kept at all. I am nervous each practice and game that they will twist their knee / ankle or worse. I have seen so many kids get injured due to the poor playing conditions. Please consider making improvements to these fields. We strongly support the Brickyard Soccer Project.

Thank you,

Jennifer Anschutz

304 Summer Garden Way

Rockville, MD 20850

FromName	jennifermbcooke@gmail.com
FromAddress	jennifermbcooke@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer fields
Body	To whom it may concern, Please, we need better and more soccer fields in the county to help our children benefit from sport in general and soccer in particular. Yours sincerely, Jennifer Cooke 5608 Beam Court Bethesda. MD. 20817 Sent via BlackBerry by AT&T

FromName	Jessie Terceros
FromAddress	jessie_belmont@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To Whom It May Concern: My daughter plays soccer and I support the Brickyard Soccer Project. As a parent of a soccer player, I ask that you would work to develop more and better playing fields throughout Montgomery County, the county in which I live in. My name and address are: Jessie Terceros 4729 Babbling Brook Dr. Olney, MD 20832 Thank you, ~Jessie Terceros~

FromName	jfbarrmd
FromAddress	jfbarrmd@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Bill 11-12
Body	To ALL Council Members and interested parties: It is imperativfe that decisions made by the current County Executive be prevented NOW as well as in the future, especially when there isd\ such a clatterihng of voices in total opposition to what he has attempted to do, 'under the table.' It is my understanding that what he did was essentially illegal, yet nohing has been done yet....let's put on the table and vote FOR bill 11-12.....the vast majority of citizens are strongly for that! J. Frederick barr, M.D.

FromName	Jill Schwartz
FromAddress	jillsmac@mac.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Please build the brickyard soccer fields
Body	All our kids in the county play. It's great exercise and socializing skills. Please know everyone supports the expansion of new fields on brickyard in particular and throughout Montgomery county Thank you Jill Jill Schwartz, LEED Green Associate, Luxury Realtor 301.758.7224 cell GoGreenWithJill.com Jill.Schwartz@LNF.com

FromName	Jim Davis
FromAddress	davisjim@me.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer fields
Body	Hi Montgomery County Representative(s), I am writing in support of MSI soccer and the efforts towards creating better facilities/fields. Please please please, improve our fields and look to expand the number of them including the Brickyard Soccer Project!!! I have 2 daughters that play soccer and we as a family have come to discover why soccer is the world's number one sport! Soccer is creative and teaches independence, problem solving and teamwork like no other sport does! Jim & Michelle Davis 8212 Custer Rd Bethesda MD 20817 Thank You! Jim Davis 8212 Custer Rd Bethesda, MD 20817 301-908-8817 davisjim@me.com

FromName	Jim Fusco
FromAddress	jamesfusco@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I am against the Brickyard MSI Soccer fields
Body	I am very upset with Ike Leggett and his associates with MCG who manipulated the non-public process of renting the school property to MSI. This reflects poorly on all Montgomery County Public Servants including the reader. You and I deserve better representatives. He needs to go. Regards Jim Fusco jamesfusco@verizon.net

FromName	Jim Jones
FromAddress	fafnir@att.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard
Body	Hey Guys we need more soccer fields. Please do the right thing. Jim Jones 7516 Radnor Road Bethesda, Md 20827 Sent from my iPad

FromName	jimfab@aol.com
FromAddress	jimfab@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Road MSI Sports Complex
Body	To whom it may concern, Brickyard Road has enough traffic due to the fact that Brickyard Road does not have a (No left turn sign) from Falls Road. It is used as a cut through from upper Montgomery County to the Clara Barton Parkway and 495. The amount of traffic and trash that this has added to Brickyard Road has increased over the past 13 years that I have lived in River Falls. I personally pick up 6-8 large trash bags of trash every weekend as a direct result of the existing traffic. I can only imagine how the trash will increase by opening the proposed MSI Sports complex. Please do not let this complex be built. Best Regards, James P Fabiszewski 7800 Masters Drive Potomac, Maryland 20854

FromName	jkjjsmartin@comcast.net
FromAddress	jkjjsmartin@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To Whom it May Concern: I am writing to express my enthusiastic support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. As a family with multiple children playing soccer, and as an adult who continues to play soccer into my 40s, I strong support this project. Thank you. James H. Martin 9509 Appeals Place Montgomery Village, MD 20886

FromName	Joan Luria
FromAddress	jluria@dclawfirm.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Montgomery County Soccer Fields
Body	Ladies and Gentlemen: I wanted to take a moment to let you know that I am a resident of Montgomery County, Maryland, my children are involved in the MSI Soccer program, and I support of the Brickyard Soccer Project. Best regards, Joan M. Luria 645B Main Street Gaithersburg, MD 20878

FromName	Joanne Forbes
FromAddress	forbes.joanne@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Hello, I am writing to support the development of the Brickyard Soccer Project. I have been a resident of Montogmery County for 25 years and have had 3 children play MSI soccer. My son is now 34 and played on much safer/nicer/available fields than my two daughters who are 15 and 12. It is a very practical sport and excellent exercise. Most children's families can afford what it cost to play unlike some of the other activities offered to our children. Obtaining a field for practice and play is difficult and I would like as a county resident to support the Brick Soccer Project. Thank you, Joanne Forbes 5810 Jarvis Lane Bethesda, MD 20814

FromName	Jody Aucamp
FromAddress	jodyaucamp@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	We do NOT support the Brickyard Soccer complex
Body	Dear County, PLEASE DO NOT approve this horrible project. I fear for my children's lives. We have enough crazy drivers on our streets. I have to jump out into traffic almost every morning at the bus stop for folks who cut thru our neighbor to slow down. We DO NOT want this private project to be built. If a child gets hurt from this project, there is no one to blame but YOU!! Thank you for listening. Jody Aucamp 8105 Hackamore Drive 240-778-8227 Sent from my iPhone

FromName	Jody Reitzes
FromAddress	jreitzes1@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	WE DO NOT SUPPORT THE BRICKYARD SOCCER FIEL
Body	Thank you. Jody and Jim Reitzes 8540 Horseshoe Lane Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Joe Bauer
FromAddress	jbauer27@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project,
Body	We want to encourage you to approve the proposed use of the Brickyard property as soccer fields for by the youth of Montgomery County. The need for quality fields continues to grow and we have fewer properties that can be used to meet this need. Please follow through on the commitment for the use of this property . Joe Bauer & family 9104 Cherbourg Drive Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Joe Bottiglieri
FromAddress	jbottiglieri@bonnerkiernan.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	As a long time Montgomery County resident I'd like to express my support for the *Brickyard Soccer Project. *I believe that this is indeed a win win for the county and community. Thank you. Joe Bottiglieri 10201 Shining Willow Drive Rockville, MD 20850 *Joe Bottiglieri* jbottiglieri@bonnerkiernan.com 1233 20th Street, NW, 8th Floor Washington, DC 20036 T 202-712-7000 F 202-712-7100 www.bonnerkiernan.com This message and any files or attachments transmitted herewith contain CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION and is (are) intended only for the named addressee(s). It may be protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney-work product or other doctrines. If you received this email message in error, please immediately notify the sender by telephone or email and destroy or delete the original message without copying. Please do not publish, copy or circulate this message.

FromName	joel supery
FromAddress	jsupery@yahoo.fr
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	
Body	Hi, The truth is that every year more and more kids play soccer and that we need nice fields flat and not flooded after a small rain. I know you can do it! Joel

FromName	John Basso
FromAddress	basso.john@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer
Body	Hi. My family and I strongly support building more soccer fields. Best, John John Basso 1316 Woodside Pkwy Silver Spring, MD 20910 Sent from my iPhone

FromName	John Conti
FromAddress	john.conti@pgcps.org
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	re: brickyard soccer field project
Body	Dear Sirs, I am a parent and I am concerned about the lack of adequate soccer fields on which my son is able to play. Please know that I and many others feel this way. My name is John Conti and my Montgomery County address is 1402 Wake Forest Drive Gaithersburg, Md 20879. Thank you and please support us.

FromName	John Gardiner
FromAddress	jgardine@adobe.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	MSI Support for Brickyard Road Project
Body	To whom it may concern, As a resident of Montgomery County and parent of multiple children play MSI, I know there is a shortage of available soccer fields in Montgomery County that needs to be addressed. The proposed project on Brickyard Road would be a good step towards addressing this issue. I support the development of fields at Brickyard and any other suitable locations in the county. MSI has been instrumental in the continued growth and development of my children and key to building confidence, friendships, teamwork and sportsmanship. I want to reconfirm my support for this project. Please let me know if you have any questions concerning my support for this project. Sincerely, John Gardiner 5507 Albia Road Bethesda MD 20815

FromName	John Kolakowski
FromAddress	jlk036@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I support the Brickyard Soccer project
Body	Please recognize the significant compromises have been made to attempt to satisfy the desires of all parties involved, and this would be a wonderful addition. John Kolakowski 4115 Aspen St. Chevy Chase, MD 20815 Sent from my iPad

FromName	Johnson Rebecca
FromAddress	rebecha1@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	in support of the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	My family supports the development of more and better soccer fields in our County through the Brickyard Soccer Project. As our daughter becomes more and more involved in (and passionate about) soccer, we have become aware of how acute this need will be very soon. Thank you for your concern, Rebecca Johnson 10132 Crestwood Road Kensington, MD 20895

FromName	johnsonf.1@netzero.net
FromAddress	johnsonf.1@netzero.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To whom it may concern: I'll like to ask for your help to support the Brickyard Soccer Project. This project will in turn help the children of the Montgomery County, by keeping them involve in physical activity. Thanks in advance for your consideration. Friday Johnson 9208 Hummingbird Terrace,Gaithersburg, MD 20879

FromName	Jon Dorsey
FromAddress	jon.dorsey@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I Strongly Oppose the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am strongly opposed to the Brickyard Soccer project and think a new facility should be built with better access to the major highways so that local communities are not subjected to traffic overload on residential streets and the facility is easier to get to for the users. My children participate in MSI but I am against to this project. Thank you, Jonathan Dorsey 7205 Masters Drive Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Jon Miller
FromAddress	jpmiller64@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project!
Body	Would like to voice my support via this email to build and conserve soccer fields for our youth. My Son and we as a family enjoy our group soccer games and would like to be able to enjoy them in better and bigger fields opened for this purpose. Thank you very much for your consideration, Ana Miller Derwood, Md 20855

FromName	Jonathan Kinberg
FromAddress	jonkinberg@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	More soccer fields
Body	Good Morning I'm a new resident to Montgomery County, but I spend the first 30 years of my life here. I moved away briefly for 20 years, but moved back because Virginia doesn't spend money on anything. I moved back even though taxes were higher to give my daughter a better opportunity to mature as I did. Montgomery county pays for things that are necessary, and soccer fields are more than that. They are places where children learn first and foremost how to get together, with out maliciousness or drug use. they're places to meet like minded children and foster friendships. They're places where parents can share the responsibilities of carting kids around allowing parents -- especially us single parents the ability to complete the responsibilities of running a household. I support the Brickyard soccer project, and encourage you to do so as well. -- Jonathan B. Kinberg 14612 Falling Leaf Way Gaithersburg MD 20878 703 618 3361

FromName	Joyce Morton
FromAddress	jwm0364@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	I am appalled by the secretive and illegal political process that has resulted in a lease of Montgomery County public school property to a private organization without any community notice or input into the decision. It is apparent citizen involvement has been intentionally avoided so that a secret deal could be pushed through before effective opposition could be mounted. The public process has been a farce, and the rights of the citizens of Montgomery County have been abused. The winner in this deal is Montgomery Soccer Inc., whose lease of 20 acres of prime public land in Potomac, MD is to be a mere $1,500 a year. Where are the public servants who have pledged to uphold County and state laws and work for the public good? Are they filling their own coffers instead? Joyce Morton 10421 Masters Terrace Potomac, MD 20854 301.983.0364

FromName	jpouland@flash.net
FromAddress	jpouland@flash.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I DO NOT SUPPORT THE BRICKYARD PROJECT!!!!!!!
Body	Sent via DroidX2 on Verizon Wireless™

FromName	Juana Hernandez
FromAddress	hernandez.juanita86@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	
Body	Juana Sandoval 426 Girard St. Apt T3 Gaithersburg MD, 20877

FromName	Juanita Hendriks
FromAddress	juanita202@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Road soccer fields
Body	Dear Madam /Sirs,I cannot think of a better use of this land to support the urgent need for good quality recreational soccer playing fields for the "close in" suburban parts of Montgomery county. We have three children in our family--- two of whom play travel, all of whom have played MSI soccer. I cannot tell you how completely stressful and difficult it is to organize the weekend soccer carpool at our house. Getting to practices during the week is equally full of hurdles, but usually we don't have to drive 20 miles to go to play soccer on the weekend. In a time when our society questions whether we should use our cars as much as we do--- driving 40 miles round trip for three different children (about 120 miles!) is ridiculous--- and the sign of a society that is whistling in the wind, in advance of its own demise. But, I don't want to get too off track here: YES to the Brickyard Road project! Yes, we need more "close in" to the suburbs playing fields!!! I'm also for organic vegetables; it's just that I have alternative access to them: the grocery store or grow my own! Not so with the soccer---- they have me over a barrel on that one...Sincerely,Juanita Hendriks5421 Wehawken RdBethesda, MD 20816 □□ □ □□

FromName	Judith Letendre
FromAddress	judithj@consultant.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	BRICKYARD COMMENTS
Body	For shame! How could a contract be issued without community input? The soccer field contract will destroy the quality of life for people in my neighborhood - and home values! Telephone +1 301-983-8983 Fax 301-576-8080 E-mail judithj@consultant.com 8601 Horseshoe Lane Potomac, MD 20854-4842

FromName	julgoss@gmail.com
FromAddress	julgoss@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project - VOTE NO!!
Body	Dear Sirs, My family and I have lived in Potomac for over ten years. We have two daughters, the youngest is (and has been for many years) an avid soccer player (plays on an MSI Classic team), EVEN WITH THIS BEING THE CASE, we *strenuously are against* the building of this field on public land. We have no problem taking her to existing fields, and feel the addition of this would be a huge stress on our already over built community. The additional traffic, pollution, noise and lights are NOT WANTED OR SUPPORTED IN OUR COMMUNITY. JULIE GOSS & WARREN HOLMES 9745 THE CORRAL DRIVE POTOMAC, MD 20854 -- Practice random acts of kindness and senseless acts of beauty

FromName	Julie Chen
FromAddress	jchen_aue@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for soccer project
Body	We support the proposal to build more quality soccer fields in Montgomery County; please follow trough with this project. Thank you, Julie Chen 1390 Kersey Ln Rockville MD

FromName	Julie Grohovsky
FromAddress	jgroh9@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project and better soccer fields
Body	I am just writing to say that I support the Brickyard Soccer Project and anything the county can do to improve soccer and other playing fields for kids in Montgomery County. Thank you! Julie Grohovsky, 6847 Glenbrook Road, Bethesda, MD 20814

FromName	Julie Weinberg
FromAddress	julieweinberg@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Fields
Body	I absolutely support the Brickyard soccer fields. We need more (and better) soccer fields in Montgomery County. Thanks for your support. Julie Weinberg 9305 Cranford Drive Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Jzua & Iwan
FromAddress	jzuawan@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Bill 11-12
Body	Dear Council Members, We are sure that you have received many letters and emails regarding Bill 11-12 recently. As a resident of Montgomery County I am adding my voice to the many that have all ready expressed their opinions regarding the passage of this Bill. I urge you to pass this Bill because it will directly affect the futures of children in this county. We are outrage that the County and School Board do not listen to it's citizens and do not even follow their own procedures when making decisions. Below are key points to remember when making your decision. * Speedy decision is problematic: The acceptance of MSI's proposal follows the consistent pattern of the County and School Board evading all proper and normal legal procedures. The timeline for rewarding the sublease to applicants under the RFP was supposed to be in May. Why then has this decision been sped up? The citizens should be protected by the County following proper procedure. * Circumventing normal contract procedures: The County had announced it would issue a "Request for Proposals" (RFP), but instead it avoided usual procurement regulations by issuing a request for "qualifications" (RFQ). * Not enough bidders: In many instances, if only one bidder responds to a solicitation, the issuing agency would reconsider the entire project for several reasons: 1) there is no competition; 2) the project as presented may be flawed to begin with; 3) there is insufficient demonstrated need to proceed with the project; and/or 4) the project is too narrowly defined

allowing only one entity to respond.

* Farm proposal not considered: The County did not respond to or even
acknowledge another proposal that was submitted by Brickyard Educational
Farm to establish an educational farm on the site. Failure to evaluate that
proposal shows that a thorough investigation was not conducted in reaching
a decision.

* Private sports organization problematic on school land: There is no
precedent for a private organization to build and operate pay-for-play
sports fields on school land. This action violates Montgomery County and
Maryland State law. There are all ready too many soccer fields in
Montgomery County and only one (1) organic seed farm.

* Decision-making without public input is unacceptable: This decision has
been discussed almost entirely behind closed doors, and the Board of
Education has been found in violation of the Open Meetings Act by the
Compliance Board. This process should restart and follow proper procedures
and have community input.

* Promised conditions left out of lease: The County Executive has stated
and written that any soccer fields at Brickyard would not have artificial
turf, public address systems, or lights for night-time playing. All of
these conditions were left out of the proposed lease. In addition, the
hours of year-round operation are such that lights would be required.

* Parking is insufficient: It would appear that they are only providing
about half the amount of parking recommended by Parks and Planning. This
could lead to parking and congestion on residential streets. There will
also be massive pollution created by the number of people attending soccer
events.

* Farm is unique and irreplaceable: There is only one (1) organic seed farm
in Montgomery County and already over 500 places to play soccer. This is an
irreplaceable asset to Montgomery County that should be

valued, not
destroyed. Learning how to cultivate organic plant foods is more important
to the futures of children in this county then soccer skills. Not every
child will or can become soccer stars but every child can learn how food is
cultivated. Children having this knowledge will be an asset to the growth
of this county not soccer.

* Farm provides required outdoor education opportunities: Brickyard
Educational Farm offers programs that fulfill the Maryland outdoor
education requirements and the Farm to School guidelines, and provide an
innovative experiential learning model. We should take advantage of this
valuable piece of land for educational use, and serve ALL of Montgomery
County with this land including soccer folks.

Again, we urge you to pass Bill 11-12 in the interest of children in this
county.

Warmly,
Jzua & Iwan Kurniawanto.
--
Pardon our mess, child development in progress

We worry about what a child will become tomorrow, yet we forget that he is
someone today.~ Stacia Tauscher

There is no single effort more radical in its potential for saving the
world than a transformation of the way we raise our children.
Marianne Williamson

FromName	Karen Kreutzberg
FromAddress	kreutzberg6@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	SUPPORT soccer in Brickyard
Body	Kids will thank you forever. Karen kreutzberg Bethesda, MD 20816

FromName	karen mathura
FromAddress	kbyank@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brick Yard Soccer Project
Body	Hello! I highly support the implementation of the Brickyard Soccer Project and encourage you and your team to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Highest Regards, Karen Mathura 4616 Maple Ave. Bethesda, MD 20814 301 656 0518

FromName	Karen McAfee
FromAddress	mcafees005@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Fields
Body	I support this soccer project. Karen McAfee 10619 Outpost Drive North Potomac, MD 20878 --Karen iPhone

FromName	Karin
FromAddress	kpcorea@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I support MSI Inc. in their efforts to improve the soccer fields in Montgomery County. All the fields our son has played on in the last few years have been either very bumpy, due to the clumpy growth of grass, or very bare. Thank you in advance for your support to keep making Montgomery County a wonderful place to live, work and play. Sincerely, Karin Corea 1702 Pitt Place Rockville, MD 20850 Sent from my iPad

FromName	Kate
FromAddress	katecolemancc@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer fields on Brickyard
Body	I am opposed to the soccer fields on brickyard. The effort has been pushed through by special interest groups with no input from the community. The neighborhood is not structured to handle such increased traffic coupled with the danger it will create with the enormous amount of bikers on the weekend. Please rethink this effort & place soccer fields in a less developed area where traffic can be managed safely. Katie Coleman Montgomery County resident Potomac, MD Sent from my iPhone

FromName	Kate Chai-Onn
FromAddress	kchaionn@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Better Fields Needed
Body	I am writing to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project, and to encourage work to develop more and better fields throughout Montgomery County. Assuring that there are safe and sufficient fields available is in the best interest of every child's health and well-being. -- Kate Chai-Onn 209 Forest Avenue Rockville, MD 20850

FromName	Kathleen Garcia
FromAddress	garciakathleena@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	we need more fields
Body	I would like to add my support to the Brickyard soccer field endeavor. Montgomery County as a large and ever growing population of soccer players and a shortage of adequate fields to play on. Thank you, Kathleen Garcia 8821 Cold Spring Rd. Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Kathleen McDermott
FromAddress	kmcdermott3b@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support of Field Expansion-Brickyard Project
Body	To Whom it May Concern, I write to you today to support the development project of Brickyard Road to construct soccer fields. I am a Montgomery County resident with 3 young children who are very active in sports. We live in the Bethesda area and our options are very limited when it comes to finding areas for games, let alone cramming on school fields or park fields with 5 other teams of various ages. Additionally, the fields are not well maintained. We live in one of the most affluent counties in the country and should be able to provide better space for our children to engage in sports on safe and better than average fields. That is why I write to you today to support the Brickyard project and overall support for finding more fields for our youth of all ages to participate safely on. Many thanks for your time, Kathleen McDermott 5115 Baltimore Avenue Bethesda, MD

FromName	kathleenlyle@verizon.net
FromAddress	kathleenlyle@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	The bricklayer project.
Body	I support the Bricklayer project. We need the county to maintain our soccer fields so our kids can play safely. Sincerely, Kathleen Allen 9707 Stoneybrook Drive,Kensington,Md. I have 2 kids who play on the MSI soccer league Sent from my Verizon Wireless Phone

FromName	Kathy Lomotan
FromAddress	kathyn3@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project. Kathy Lomotan 5 Devon Ct. Rockville, MD 20850 □□ □ □□

FromName	Kathy Petitt
FromAddress	kathypetitt@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Comments
Body	I strongly oppose the building of the MSI Brickyard complex - it is outrageous that proper traffic studies, neighborhood input and standard procedures have not been followed in approving this complex. Brickyard Road, Falls Road and MacArthur Blvd will all be impacted by the traffic to this area - if there is no concern for walkers, runners and car traffic at least consider the bikers that will endangered by this increase in volume. Not only that, the county is not taking into consideration road improvements that will need to made with only $1500. annual rent on the property. Please reconsider this complex - Kathleen Petitt, 7105 Natelli Woods Lane, Bethesda, MD 20817 □□ □ □□

FromName	Kathy Sheehan
FromAddress	kasheehan3@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Save Brickyard Road
Body	To Whom it May Concern, We have been members of the MSI community for many years as our daughter is an avid soccer player. However, we do Not support The county's plan to lease the land on Brickyard Road to MSI to build playing fields and parking lots in such a dense populated and residential area. There are many more areas in the county better suited for this purpose and ones that could better sustain the increase in traffic. Brickyard Road is a two-lane road already burdened with traffic congestion that three small rotaries and many more speed bumps were added recently to slow and curtail the traffic. So, again we ask that you Not Go Forward with building soccer fields and parking lots on Brickyard Road. Sincerely, Kathy Sheehan Philip Kimball 7900 Horseshoe Lane Potomac, MD 20854 (301) 299-1133 Sent from my iPhone

FromName	Katy Speakman
FromAddress	kspeakma@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard comments- OPPOSE
Body	As a property owner in Montgomery County, MD I strongly object and OPPOSE the deal made between Montgomery County and MSI. I don't believe it was made fairly or legally, and the citizens were not given enough notice or rights to consider it properly. Katherine Speakman 7400 Masters Drive Potomac, MD 20854 301-466-9220

FromName	KAVogel@aol.com
FromAddress	KAVogel@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Comments
Body	Dear Sir/Madam: I am writing in SUPPORT of the MSI plan to create soccer fields on Brickyard Road in Potomac, Maryland. I live in the area, having moved into the adjacent neighborhood in 1994. I have a MNCPPC park in my own neighborhood - Avenel - and the park hosts many athletic events on its fields. Traffic and congestion is not a concern. Noise has not been a concern. There has been no decrease in property values. In fact, real estate prices have gone up through the years that I have lived there. The proposed plan has lots of natural planting screening. It will be unobtrusive. Nick's Organic Market, now at the site, is a private for-profit venture. It does not serve the community in a public benefit manner. I think that the proposed MSI soccer fields will be an asset to the entire community. Sincerely, Kenneth Vogel 10016 Avenel Farm Drive Potomac, MD 20854 OFC: (202) 332-7323 FAX: (202) 332-7326 HOME: (301) 469-8908 CELL: (301) 455-0400

FromName	Kayvan
FromAddress	deldadeh.k@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer project
Body	Hi, As a parent of two kids who love to play soccer, I am writing to express my support for the brickyard soccer project and encourage you to work and develop more and better fields throughout the county. I guess our children and their children are deserve for this simple request. Regards K.Deldadeh

FromName	Keith Bauer
FromAddress	keithbauer@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To whom it may concern, I am writing to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project and to ask Montgomery County officials to work together to provide more and better soccer fields in the county. As an assistant coach for my 9 year old daughter's team, I see first-hand how desperately we need fields to play on. We currently have to share a practice field with another team and often don't have the space needed to divide up to do clinics much less scrimmage. Additionally, the fields we play on have huge ruts and holes that pose a real danger of rolled/sprained ankles. Our kids deserve better and I hope, as county officials, you will support projects like the Brickyard Project to bring more soccer fields to Montgomery County. Thank you for your time. Kind regards, Keith Bauer 12231 Galesville Drive Gaithersburg, MD 20878

FromName	KEITH FENTONMILLER
FromAddress	kfentonmiller@mac.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I'd like to express my support for the soccer field project. It is important that the children have more and better quality soccer fields to play on. Thank you. Keith Fentonmiller 10211 Parkwood Dr. Kensington, MD 20895? Sent from iCloud

FromName	kelloggcpa
FromAddress	kelloggcpa@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard School Site Comments
Body	I would like to see this lease be postponed until full public comment and transparent process has been achieved. I am somewhat appalled that both the current lease amount for the farmer and the proposed lease amount for soccer fields for this much land are so low. Whatever the use, and personally I'd prefer less traffic on a street that already has problems at rush hour, I'd like to see the county (and thus the taxpayers) getting the fair lease value for this property. The farmer has indeed put lots of work into creating land that is certified organic....only to have it's value go down the drain as someone else turns it to another use. When the bikers meet the soccer moms and the accidents start happening having a soccer field back on these narrow roads may not look like such a good idea. Judith Ann Kellogg 10629 Rock Run Drive Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Kelly Williams
FromAddress	williams.kelly.m@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Displeasure over MSI lease
Body	To Whom it may Concern, I am writing today to voice my displeasure over the MSI lease. I strongly oppose MSI soccer building soccer fields on the Brickyard property - it will increase traffic, noise and litter in that area, and the seeds that Nick's organic farm produces is an invaluable asset to the county. Thank you, Kelly Williams

FromName	Ken Mannng
FromAddress	kmm@tcgcis.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Comments
Body	The County is acting with despicable dispatch, secrecy and mendacity in the Brickyard soccer fields matter on Brickyard Road. It is only worthy on a third world, corrupt government, Montgomery County is the home of "good government"? Ridiculous! Shame on them. All involved in this travesty should be impeached - and indicted. Ken Manning Potomac

FromName	Ken Rehfuss
FromAddress	kenrehfuss@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer project
Body	As a coach of MSI for 7 years, it has been a real disappointment to see the continuing deterioration of the available fields for us to play soccer on. Please allow this email to serve as my support for the Brickyard project to improve field conditions for all soccer lovers in Montgomery County. Thanks, Ken Rehfuss

FromName	Kenneth Saltzman
FromAddress	ksaltzman@cresa.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am emailing you in support of the Brickyard Soccer Project. The fact of the matter is that this area of our county is in desperate need for additional fields and higher quality fields for our kids. My kids are entering high school so this isn't about them, it's about the future. As a coach, I can't tell you how hard it is to get fields for my kids to practice on. I applied to the county for 9 different fields and times and received none of them. What fields we do get are in terrible shape which has led to injured ankles and feet. I get that the county only has so much money to maintain fields, but the Brickyard Soccer Project is an great opportunity that shouldn't be missed. After all, how much ground in this part of the county is even available to build new fields? Help our community and our kids and please support the Brickyard Soccer Project My name is Kenny Saltzman 14600 Boat House Way North Potomac, MD 20878 Thank you for your consideration of this matter. Kenneth Saltzman Senior Vice President [Description: Cresa]<http://www.cresa.com/> Cresa Washington DC The Tenant's Advantage 2 Bethesda Metro Center, Suite 900 | Bethesda, MD 20814 301-951-6500 tel | 301-841-6531 direct | 301-509-4242 cell www.cresa.com<http://www.cresa.com/>

FromName	Kevin Bates
FromAddress	kevin.r.bates@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I write to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project, and to encourage you to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Thanks. Kevin Bates 9218 Villa Drive Bethesda MD 20817

FromName	Kevin Easley
FromAddress	oliviaandkevin@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	we do NOT support Brickyard soccer project
Body	Hello, We are strongly opposed to the Brickyard soccer project. We are very concerned about the impact the new fields will have on our community -- particularly increased traffic on a small road (i.e. Brickyard Rd) through a residential neighborhood that is ill-equipped to handle large volumes of cars. Please re-evaluate this plan; at the minimum, please conduct a traffic study to understand the true impact this proposal will have on the residents. Thank you. Sincerely, Kevin and Olivia Easley 8308 River Falls Drive Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Kevin Winkler
FromAddress	kwinkler@thelevinegroup.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	We DO NOT support the brickyard project
Body	Kevin Winkler 7817 Horseshoe Lane Potomac MD 20854 Kevin Winkler AIA NCARB Director of Architecture THE LEVINE GROUP Architects + Builders 301.585.4848 (ph) 301.585.4207 (fax) thelevinegroup.com

FromName	Kevin Winkler
FromAddress	kwinkler@thelevinegroup.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	We DO NOT support the brickyard project.
Body	I do not support the brickyard project. Kevin Winkler AIA NCARB Director of Architecture THE LEVINE GROUP Architects + Builders 301.585.4848 (ph) 301.585.4207 (fax) thelevinegroup.com

FromName	kevinbeair@aol.com
FromAddress	kevinbeair@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	organic food & farms are more important than soccer.
Body	organic food & farms are more important than soccer. it's that simple.

FromName	kevinosg@aol.com
FromAddress	kevinosg@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	The underhanded decision to build for-profit soccer fields on precious organic farmland is a disgraceful and illegal violation of the public trust. It must not stand! Kevin & Lynda O'Sullivan & Family Coach Street Potomac, MD kevinosg@aol.com

FromName	Kim Nordheimer
FromAddress	kim@fordhamdc.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To Whom it May Concern, So many children in this area play soccer, my 2 children included. We are in support of the Brickyard Soccer Project to keep the fields safe and playable. Thank you, Kim [cid:image001.jpg@01CD196A.E1CFC450] Kim Nordheimer Fordham Development Company LLC 7910 Woodmont Avenue , Suite 1060 | Bethesda, Maryland 20814 301.718.4220 main | 301.718.4243 fax www.wintergreenplaza.com<http://www.wintergreenplaza.com/> Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail. IMPORTANT: The information contained in this e-mail message is confidential and is intended only for the named addressee(s). If you are neither the intended recipient(s) nor a person responsible for the delivery of this transmittal to the intended recipient(s), you are hereby notified that any distribution, copying, re-use or dissemination of this tranmittal is prohibited. If you have received this transmittal in error, please reply to the sender that you have received the message in error then delete it. This email and all other electronic communications from Fordham Development Company, LLC or any of its affilitaes and their respective representatives are for informational purposes only, and no such communication is intended by the sender to constitute an electronic signature, electronic record or any agreement by the sender to conduct a transcation by electronic means. Any such intention or agreement is hereby expressly disclaimed unless otherwise explicitly indicated.

FromName	Kin Wong
FromAddress	wongusbm@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Disapprove of MSI Agreement
Body	The agreement was not done in accordance to due course. The citizens were kept in the dark and were not given the opportunity to weigh in. When the hearing was done the feedback was already late since the agreement was already a done deal. This is not the proper way for the for County Board of Education or the County Executive ought to do things by. Kin Wong

FromName	Kirk, Donna
FromAddress	D-Kirk@NGA.GOV
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project
Body	As a resident of Montgomery County with an son active in the MSI soccer leagues for the past few years, I would like to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer project . I understand this effort is to improve the soccer fields in the county , which are much used & loved. Thank you. Donna Kirk, Senior Architect AIA 3918 East West Highwat Chevy Chase MD 20815 301 986-0208

FromName	kmdpearls@aol.com
FromAddress	kmdpearls@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	
Body	Hi, Please work on the Brickyard Soccer Project. This is a much needed facility in our county. Sports do so much for our children we must do whatever we can to help them. Sincerely, Peggy Delauder 18520 Rushbrooke Drive Oloney, MD 20832 kmdpearls@aol.com

FromName	Kovalchuk, Alexander (NIH/NIAID) [E]
FromAddress	kovalcha@niaid.nih.gov
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	Dear Sir/Madam, I strongly disapprove MSI lease of Brickyard school parcel. My main concern is that huge commercial enterprise will be build on public land in already developed residential neighborhood without addressing issues raised by the residents. All requirements for rezoning, etc will be bypassed because of improper decision by the Board of Education. I insist that this parcel will be developed by Montgomery county itself in the interest of local community after proper surplus process by the Board of education. Making sneaky lease/sublease/sub-sublease deals is not in the interest of taxpayers but results in use of public land for private gains. Sincerely, Alexander Kovalchuk 8557 Horseshoe Lane Potomac, MD 20854 (301)299-1345

FromName	Krista Kurth
FromAddress	kkurth@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard comments
Body	I am writing to voice my disapproval of the lease with MSI for the Brickyard Road property. It is clear that since no other soccer organizations submitted proposals, that this was a behind closed doors deal with MSI from the beginning. This lease process is an abuse of executive powers. Processes to determine Land use in the county should be transparent and include the community. Krista Kurth 9428 Garden Ct Potomac, MD 20854 Sent from my iPad

FromName	krista Kurth
FromAddress	kkurth@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Concerns about sublease with MSI
Body	I am writing as a citizen of Montgomery County and a resident of Potomac, MD. I would like to state my concerns about and opposition to the sublease of the Brickyard Road parcel to MSI. First of all, I am concerned with the way that the County has sped up the process of awarding the sublease. According to the RFQ timeline, the awarding of the sublease was supposed take place in May, not in March. It makes me wonder why the decision was sped up and why the County did not follow the procedures outlined in the RFQ. It also makes me think that this whole "process" was a sham and foregone conclusion, particularly since there was only one soccer proposal submitted, the one by MSI. The fact that the County proceeded when there was not enough bidders also concerns me. Often, agencies issuing RFP's reconsider the project when there is only one bidder. This is a sign of a lack of competition, a flawed project, insufficient need to proceed with the project, and/or the project is too narrowly defined. The County also circumvented normal contract procedures in other ways. It had announced it would issue an RFP, but instead avoided the usual procurement regulations by issuing an RFQ. It has also left out provisions in the sublease that reflect promises made by the County Executive's office, such as no artificial turf, public address system, or lights now or in the future. I am also concerned about the amount of parking indicated on MSI's plan. It appears that they are planning for only half the amount of parking recommended by Parks and Planning. This could lead to parking and congestion

on residential streets.

But most of all I am concerned that they County has not considered the value
that the current organic seed farm offers to the County and have made a
decision to proceed with soccer fields without real public input into
whether or not soccer fields are the best use of this land. The farm that
is currently located there is unique and irreplaceable in the County. While
there are already over 500 soccer fields, there is only one organic seed
farm. Furthermore, Brickyard Educational Farm, which is also located on the
site, offers programs that fulfill the Maryland outdoor education and Farm
to School requirements and guidelines. The County should embrace this effort
and take advantage of this valuable piece of land for educational purposes
and serve a broader range of students in Montgomery County. After all, this
land is owned by the School Board.

I strongly believe the sublease should be postponed and the process started
over so that proper procedures are followed and true community input is
sought.

Respectfully,
Krista Kurth, Ph.D.

FromName	Kristen Roy
FromAddress	kroy@CORNETSER.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	This is an email in support of the Brickyard Soccer Project. Our county needs more and improved fields for our kids. Thank you, Kristen Roy Poolesville, MD

FromName	Kristen Wheeden
FromAddress	kristen.wheeden@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project. Montgomery County kids are incredibly enthusiastic about soccer and deserve the appropriate space to play the sport. Thank you, Kristen Wheeden 9007 Ewing Drive Bethesda, MD 20817

FromName	Kshepardson@worldbank.org
FromAddress	Kshepardson@worldbank.org
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer fields
Body	I am writing in support of the development of soccer fields at the brickyard site. Our children need and deserve adequate space for recreation, and there is a shortage of playing space around the county. I understand this includes a compromise which allows for a learning site on organic agriculture which seems to be a nice combination. This corner of the county, should do its part to help meet recreation needs. It would also be good to have a pesticide free example of field maintenance which could be a good sustainability model for other fields. I would encourage the county to lead the way in developing these more innovative green space combinations. Sincerely, Karin Shepardson 6824 Tammy Ct. Bethesda MD 20817

FromName	KyungA Kim
FromAddress	joyk2a@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project Supporting
Body	Hi, to whom it may concern, I'm strongly support to the Brickyard Soccer Project. Please keep working to develop more and better fields throughout the County. My name is Kim, KyungA. Address is "7401 Westlake Terrace APT 415, Bethesda MD 20817". Thanks! KyungA

FromName	Latha Pothuri
FromAddress	lpothuri@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	soocer fields
Body	We strongly support the county's decision to develop soccer fields on the public land at Brickyard in Potomac. Latha and Sai Pothuri 11517 Brandy Hall Lane North Potomac , MD 20878

FromName	laura anne young
FromAddress	lauradreiband@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	DO NOT SUPPORT BRICKAYRD PROJECT
Body	Please do not support the Brickyard Soccer project. I am completely AGAINST adding the fields and feel that it is being pushed through despite local opposition. Thank you, Laura Dreiband 10421 MacArthur Blvd Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Laura Fentonmiller
FromAddress	laura_fentonmiller@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I write to express your support for the Brickyard Soccer Project, and to encourage you to work to develop more and better fields throughout Montgomery County. Thank you. Laura Fentonmiller 10211 Parkwood Drive Kensington, MD 20895

FromName	Laura Richardell
FromAddress	richardell@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support of Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To Whom it May Concern: We support the Brickyard Soccer Project and encourage you to work to develop more and better fields throughout Montgomery County. Thank you, Laura and Jim Richardell 9614 Parkwood Drive Bethesda, MD 20814

FromName	Lee Raesly
FromAddress	lee@raesly.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I DO NOT support the fields
Body	Lee Raesly 8025 Aberdeen Road Bethesda, MD 20814

FromName	Leo R
FromAddress	mark161516@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	Hello and thank you for taking the time to read this email. To produce an organic farm, many years must be invested to eliminate chemicals and develop microorganisms and organic matter. Nick has utilized soil enriching practices on his farm for 31 years, which is how long it would take to establish another farm like his. In Montgomery County, not only is Nick's farm one of the few organic farms existing, it is the only one cultivating organic seed. Nick's farm is already in a perfect location away from conventional farms, which grow Genetically Modified (GM) crops. The pollen from GM crops can be transported by insects and contaminate nearby farms that are trying to remain non-GM. Nick's rare heirloom seeds preserve genetic diversity, in contrast to large-scale agriculture which produces only a few common varieties of crops. As scientists have researched, agriculture will depend on the biodiversity in the seed supply when new diseases, pests, or environmental conditions significantly affect the few crop varieties being mass-produced. The scientific value of Nick's farm is confirmed by the fact that the University of Maryland, the Maryland Department of Agriculture, and the USDA Agricultural Research Service have conducted experiments there, and research continues today. Maravell played a role in forming the USDA organic regulations, and was appointed by the USDA to serve on the National Organic Standards Board.

In addition to the benefits the farm has already provided, Maravell desires
to contribute to Montgomery County Public Schools by allowing his farm to
be an education center, providing a perfect opportunity for schools to
fulfill the recently passed, mandatory state environmental literacy
standard.

The benefits of demolition and development, whatever they may be, cannot
compare to the environmental, scientific, educational, and intrinsic value
that will be lost if this organic farm disappears.

FromName	Leslie Weiner-Leandro
FromAddress	lweiner-leandro@esri.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project

Body

I'm writing to express strong support for the Brickyard Soccer Project and urging you to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Thank you.

Leslie Weiner-Leandro
lweiner-leandro@esri.com<mailto:lweiner-leandro@esri.com>

FromName	Leslye Halioua
FromAddress	ms.leshal@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attention: Brickyard Comments
Body	Please, please, please reconsider using the Brickyard School public property on Brickyard Road for multiple soccer fields. The Brickyard School public property is right in the middle of a quiet residential area with all ages of residents including children and elderly who enjoy the peace and quiet of the neighborhood. I am sure there is some space that would be more appropriate than the Brickyard School public property for an influx of sport enthusiasts. It is extremely difficult to believe this is not true. In addition, the process of making the decision of how to use the Brickyard School public property seems to have been keep in the shadows hidden from public awareness which carries with it the sense of questionable motives. For many reasons including the ones discussed above, I strongly disapprove of the use of the Brickyard School public property for multiple soccer fields, specifically of MSI lease and intended use of the site.

FromName	Lewis, Brian
FromAddress	Brian.Lewis@fda.hhs.gov
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Requesting County Government Support for More Soccer Fiel
Body	To Montgomery County Government Staff, I'm Brian Lewis, a County resident and father of two Bells Mill Elementary School students who participate in MSI Soccer. We vote and we really care about keeping up the soccer facilities of the County. As you know, we are struggling with too few available soccer fields and many that are in bad shape which makes it hard to practice or play well on them. Please consider any efforts you can to improve the number and quality of soccer fields in the County. Here's what I request and hope you can do to help: Can you please support the Brickyard Soccer Project, to make it a reality to provide more fields? Can you improve the quality of existing fields? Your work and support are much appreciated. Thanks so much, Brian CDR Brian Lewis, MD, US Public Health Service Arrhythmia Cardiologist Medical Officer, Pacing, Defibrillation and Leads Branch CDRH / ODE / DCD, U.S. Food and Drug Administration What can I do to help others and make today really count? * 10903 New Hampshire Avenue Bldg. 66, Rm. 1324 Silver Spring, MD 20993 * (301) 796-6361 * (301) 847-8116 * brian.lewis@fda.hhs.gov<mailto:brian.lewis@fda.hhs.gov> Staff Cardiologist, Washington DC VA Medical Center Staff Cardiologist, Rapid Deployment Force-1, USPHS ********** This communication is consistent with 21 CFR 10.85 (k) and constitutes an informal communication that represents my best judgment at this time but does not constitute an advisory opinion, does not necessarily represent the formal position of FDA, and does not bind or otherwise obligate or commit the

agency to the views expressed. This e-mail message is intended for the exclusive use of the recipient named above. It may contain information that is protected, privileged, or confidential, and it should not be disseminated, distributed, or copied to persons not authorized to receive such information. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited. If you think you have received this e-mail message in error, please e-mail the sender immediately at brian.lewis@fda.hhs.gov<mailto:brian.Lewis@fda.hhs.gov> or call (301) 796-6361.

****

FromName	linbradley@yahoo.com
FromAddress	linbradley@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments

Body

I am writing to express OPPOSITION to MSI, Inc. being allowed to operate soccer activities on Brickyard Road for the following reasons:

1.) The Brickyard site is a totally inappropriate place, since Brickyard Road, itself, is not designed to handle an increase in public traffic, nor are MacArthur, River or Falls Roads. All four roads are already burdened with residential traffic, as it is. Other neighborhood roads would be adversely affected, too.

2.) Replacing a local, organic farm with a soccer complex in a county that claims to promote and support "green" and sustainable practices for its residents is absurd.

3.) Last, but not least, the decision to let a contract to MSI, Inc. was done illegally.

I live near Bradley and River Roads. I detest corruption!

Very truly yours,

Linda B. Liotta

Sent from my iPad

FromName	Linda Weinstein
FromAddress	lw7@earthlink.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Comments
Body	Nick's Organic Farm in the heart of Potomac. What a treasure. What a miracle that it exists, helping in so many ways to enrich our lives with organic agriculture. How sad that Montgomery County wants to destroy this land with toxic, chemical-laden products. Can't the County find a spot that has not been restored to a pristine condition such as this? This is truly a loss--and I am not talking about property value of the surrounding neighborhood. Linda Weinstein

FromName	Lisa Bleier
FromAddress	ljbpitt@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	field space
Body	We absolutely need more field options within Montgomery County, and I support fully the Brickyard project that would utilize the space as soccer field space. I understand there is even a compromise that involves using a portion of the field for organic vegetable growth. Sincerely, Lisa Bleier 9625 Weathered Oak Court Bethesda, MD 20817

FromName	Lisa Bosse
FromAddress	lisa@thebosses.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	brickyard soccer project
Body	My child plays MSI soccer. We need more space for soccer fields. I support the brickyard soccer project. Lisa Bosse Potomac, Maryland

FromName	Lisa Boylan
FromAddress	lisaboy@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am writing in support of the Brickyard Soccer Project, and I encourage you to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Thanks, Lisa Boylan Silver Spring, MD

FromName	Lisa Rotello
FromAddress	lmrotello@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Road soccer
Body	I think having soccer fields in Brickyard Road would benefit our community as well as for our children. With all the electronics around, it is important that our kids get exercise and play outside. It is also at a perfect location. My kids participated in soccer while growing up and while neither one was the star on the team, I always felt that playing on a team helped them socially as well as physically. Sent from my iPad Lisa M. Rotello

FromName	Lisa Rotello
FromAddress	lmrotello@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard project
Body	I wrote an email in support of the Soccer fields by Brickyard but did not include my address. 13713 Safe Harbor Court Rockville, MD 20850. Thank you. Sent from my iPad Lisa M. Rotello

FromName	Lisa Stevenson
FromAddress	lisajane.stevenson@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for brickyard
Body	As a parent of two elementary school soccer players I am writing to express my support of the brickyard soccer project. There is a dearth of soccer fields in the county and any fields that can be added will go a long way to support the development of youth soccer. Best, Lisa Stevenson 8222 Stone Trail Drive Bethesda Maryland.

FromName	Lisa Stransky Brown
FromAddress	lisastransky@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Not in support of MSI Soccer fields on Brickyard Road
Body	Hello! I am writing to share my family's unhappiness about potential soccer fields being built on Brickyard Road in Potomac, MD. We are in support of it remaining the wonderful organic farm that it has been for the last 30 years. Thank you for your attention and consideration. Sincerely, Lisa Stransky Brown 10 River Falls Court Potomac, MD 20854 Lisa Stransky Brown Washington Fine Properties www.realestatelisa.com 202-368-6060 Lisa.StranskyBrown@wfp.com

FromName	Liz Garner
FromAddress	esg20854@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Comments
Body	I am totally against leasing of the Brickyard site for soccer fields. The roads are not large enough to support the traffic. We need more organic farming in the county. Elizabeth S. Garner 7805 Masters Dr Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Liz Hudson
FromAddress	liz.hudson@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Opposition to MSI Brickyard Road Project
Body	I would like to express my opposition to the proposed soccer fields on Brickyard Rd. As a neighbor, I don't think that the increased traffic in the area has been adequately considered or studied. My sons play on MSI soccer teams and one son also plays on a Potomac soccer team. We travel extensively around the County for soccer as well as lacrosse and baseball practices and games. I witness the traffic, congestion, lack of adequate parking, overflowing trash, etc at these fields that are adjacent to schools and parks, all designed in areas to accommodate extra traffic. The main and secondary roads in this area can't support the increased traffic. Thank you, Elizabeth Hudson 8304 River Falls Dr Potomac, MD. 20854

FromName	Lori Michele Newsom
FromAddress	lorinewsom@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Comments -- DISAPPROVE of MSI Lease
Body	I strongly disapprove of the MSI Lease for soccer fields on the Brickyard School public property and the non-transparent and secretive process government officials engaged in to secure this illegal contract. I demand a new and completely transparent process that is in compliance with County law be commenced to determine the best use for the Brickyard property. Lori Newsom 7811 Gate Post Way Potomac, MD 20854 301 325 0576

FromName	Lori Piccolo
FromAddress	lori.piccolo41@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project
Body	To Whom this May Concern: I'm writing to express my strong support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. As the mother of a soccer-playing 10-year-old, I know that the need for more and better fields through Montgomery County is essential. Thank you, Lori Piccolo 5928 Beech Avenue Bethesda, MD 20817

FromName	Lori Rapaport
FromAddress	lwinrap@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	We do NOT support the Brickyard project!
Body	As River Falls residents, we are adamantly opposed to the unnecessary construction of soccer fields in our residential neighborhood. We support Nick Maravell's continued use of the land as an organic farm, and most notably oppose the lack of transparency in the process. Lori and Fred Rapaport □□ □ □□

FromName	Louis Tenenbaum
FromAddress	aginginplaceguide@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I disagree with the MSI Brickyard Road lease
Body	I think the MSI lease is an inappropriate use of the Brickyard Road site. Louis Tenenbaum 10715 Rock Run Drive Potomac, Md. 20854

FromName	lpivanna@aol.com
FromAddress	lpivanna@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Please Vote NO for the Brickyard Soccer Field Complex
Body	To Whom it may concern, As residents of the River Falls neighborhood, we are opposed to the construction of MSI's new soccer fields on the Brickyard Road property. This neighborhood has many young children and no sidewalks, and the additional traffic will place these children at risk. In addition, the traffic congestion on Brickyard Road with its speed bumps and traffic circles will be significant and represent another safety hazard both for the neighbors as well as for the players and families traveling to the soccer fields. We also believe that the current organic farming operation should be preserved as a model for both our region and around the country. Lastly, the entire process has lacked transparency and the local property owners and residents were given no voice in this decision by the County Executive. Please protect the rights of the neighborhoods surrounding the proposed Brickyard Road soccer fields. We deserve to be stakeholders in the decisions that are made that will directly affect us all for many years to come. Thank you, Anna Pfeiffer River Falls Home Owner

FromName	Lucien and Talaat Moreau
FromAddress	ltmoreau@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Re the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	We, Lucien and Talaat Moreau of 8502 Brickyard Road, Potomac, MD, tax payers and voters in Montgomery County, do not support the Brickyard Socer Fields Project because the process that led to it has been flawed from the outset by a total lack of transparency and by the absence of meaningful consultation with the neighborhood citizens. The project should be canceleld and started all over again from the beginning, but this time, it must respect County law, the area Master Plan, and neighborhood wishes. Lucien and Talaat Moreau

FromName	Lucien and Talaat Moreau
FromAddress	ltmoreau@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments - Disapproval
Body	We, Lucien and Talaat Moreau, residing at 8502 Brickyard Road, Potomac, MD, taxpayers and voters in Montgommery County, wish to express our disapproval ot the proposed agreement between the County and MSI to construct, manage and operate soccer fields in the Brickyard School public property. The process that has led to this agreement is defective in many respests, mostly for its almost total lack of transparency. The proposal does not respect the legally approved area Master Plan, the whole process was carried out without proper consultation with the residents of the area near the Brickyard School property. Furthermore, the very fact of proposing this agreement violates the promises made by the County Executive's representatives at the meetings that were (belatedly) held with residents, i.e. to have a thorough traffic impact study and an ecological impact review carried out before any contract award for soccer fields, and to make sure that the award, if any, would stipulate that there would be no night lights for the eventual soccer fields. In view of all this, we strongly feel that the entire process should be cancelled, and a new process undertaken that would be fully transparent and pay due regard to the area Master Plan and to the concerns of Brickyard School area residents. Lucien and Talaat Moreau.

FromName	Luis Martinez
FromAddress	luiskike2@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Congratulations
Body	Thanks for all you are doing to help my son to be envolved in sports activities Enviado desde mi iPhone

FromName	Lynn Grainger
FromAddress	lynn.grainger@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Montgomery County Soccer Fields
Body	Please support more soccer fields for our county and our children. The vast majority of our fields are an embarrassment and shameful. Lynn Grainger 13929 Bergenfield Dr North Potomac, MD 20878 Sent from my iPad

FromName	LYNN JOHNSTON
FromAddress	ljohnston04@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am writing to you to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. There is clearly a need for more and better soccer fields in Montgomery County that can be used by our children who love the sport. Thank you, Lynn M. Johnston 4009 Adams Drive Silver Spring, MD 20902

FromName	Lynn McConnell
FromAddress	lynnm214@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Rd Site
Body	I do not support the MSI Brickyard Rd planned soccer site. Lynn mcConnell 7805 Buckboard Ct Potomac md 20854

FromName	Lynn McConnell
FromAddress	lynnm214@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	MSI Lease Brickyard Rd
Body	I disapprove of the MSI lease of the Brickyard Road Site. A commercial enterprise of that nature is not appropriate use of county land in a residential community. John and Lynn McConnell 7805 Buckboard Ct Potomac, Md 20854

FromName	Lynn Wegman
FromAddress	lwegman1@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	SUBJECT: Attn: Brickyard Comments,
Body	TO: MONTGOMERY COUNTY GOVERNMENT, I strongly disapprove of the lease you have recently signed to use land on Brickyard Road for County soccer fields. You did not gather input from the County or neighborhood residents before you made this move to change the use of this land and use it for this purpose. Why was this not an open process? What steps have been taken to address the excessive congestion this will cause on Brickyard Road which is a local street with one lane in each direction? How is this County managed? When the County learned from residents about our opinions of this land use, you still chose to ignore all reason and suggestions for public opprtunities to comment and arrive at a reasonable solution for all. I work for the federal Government and we have a much more open process for public comment than the County seems to utilize. Please* reconsider* this poor decision and stop ignoring the voices of the community in this matter. Thank you, Lynn Wegman

FromName	Manca, Lori
FromAddress	Lori.Manca@lifetech.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support for soccer fields
Body	Hello, we support the Brickyard Soccer Project and also the development of new and better fields throughout the county. Lori and Paul Manca 10205 Daphney House Way Rockville, MD 20850

FromName	Manuel Sanchez
FromAddress	manuelsanchez@starpower.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project-support
Body	To Whom It May Concern, I am writing is support of the Brickyard Soccer Project. Having participated in Youth Sports in Montgomery County as both a player and now as a parent of players it is my belief that there continues to be a shortage of playing fields. In this age of ever increasing obesity in our population it is critical that children be given as amny opportunities to exercise as possible. Sincerely, Manuel Sanchez 1911 Luzerne Ave Silver Spring, MD 20910

FromName	Manus Cooney
FromAddress	cooney@acg-consultants.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard
Body	I was inclined to stay out this until I saw MSI's email soliciting citizens to lobby the county. The county has given MSI, a private entity, a sweetheart deal and it should not proceed. As a taxpayer and parent, It is offensive that they are essentially being allowed to use the land for next to nothing. I am not hiding behind the fiction that we all want to save an organic farm. However, the process was not open nor was it transparent (until AFTER decisions were made). It was rigged and everyone knows it. It should not proceed. Thank you. Manus.

FromName	Mara Nicastro
FromAddress	ullman.nicastro@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	*I support the Brickyard Soccer Project*, and to encourage Montgomery County to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Mara Nicastro 3310 Coquelin Terrace Chevy Chase, MD 20815

FromName	marciatmt@aol.com
FromAddress	marciatmt@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	To Whom it may Concern: I am a resident and landowner in Montgomery County,MD and have been deeply disturbed to watch the secretive process unfold under which the current county executive has sought to secure the lease of county land to a private developer, MSI Soccer, without transparency or due process. It has been apparent that the public hearings that have been held in response to public outrage have been been a sham, leaving county citizens deprived of their right to hold their county executive accountable for his/her actions while serving as their representative. Marcia Tanabe Owner of property at 10920 Chandler Road Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Marguerite
FromAddress	maggieduck@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer fields
Body	Please continue to improve the soccer fields in Montgomery county to ensure the safety of our children. Thank you Marguerite Giebel 2204 west view court Silver spring md 20910 Sent from my iPhone

FromName	Maria Fusco
FromAddress	mariagiroux@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	I OPPOSE the agreement between Montgomery County and MSI to construct, manage and operate soccer fields on the Brickyard School Public Property. I believe that the secret process that the County has followed is IMPROPER AND ILLEGAL. I request that a new and completely transparent process, that is in compliance with County law and Potomac's Master Plan, be commenced to determine the best use for the Brickyard Property. Sincerely, Maria Fusco 10723 Rock Run Dr. Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Maria Paz Galey
FromAddress	mpazgaley@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project
Body	Dear Sir or Madam, I firmly support the Brickyard Soccer Project and encourage you to support it as well as development, or improvement, of additional soccer fields in Montgomery County. Sincerely, Maria Paz Velasco-Galey 7608 Fontaine Street Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Marian Lally
FromAddress	lally5@rcn.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	county soccer fields
Body	PLEASE support the Brickyard Soccer Project. In this age of couch potatoes, it's SO important for us to get our youth moving. We need good soccer fields ‹ they attract players. Thanks, Marian Lally Silver Spring MD

FromName	Marie Jarvis
FromAddress	marie.jarvis@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	No soccer fields on brickyard!
Body	We do not support the proposal to develop the brickyard property for soccer fields! It should be left as is until needed for a school as it was originally designated. Thank you, Marie Jarvis and Robert Szabo 629 Potomac Ave Silver Spring, MD 20910 Sent from my iPhone

FromName	Marie Taboada
FromAddress	dinnerhead2001@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	field improvement
Body	Hi everyone, I think that we should have these fields built for are kids to be able to play on nice fields. My address is dinnerhead2001@yahoo.com hope fully you will consider building these fields. Marie Taboada

FromName	Marilyn Hardis
FromAddress	hardislynn2@msn.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	MSI LEASE
Body	I WISH TO EXPRESS, IN THE STRONGEST TERMS, MY OPPOSITION TO THE MSI LEASE. THE WAY IT WAS OBTAINED WAS IMMORAL AND MOST LIKELY WILL PROVE TO BE ILLEGAL, AS WELL. IT IS AN EGREGIOUS USURPATION OF AUTHORITY AND LEGAL PROCESS. THIS IS IN ADDITION TO THE FACT THAT IT IS ONE OF THE WORST WAYS IN WHICH THAT LAND COULD BE USED. SHAME ON IKE LEGGETT FOR TREATING NICK MARAVELL, THE BRICKYARD HOMEOWNERS , AND THE CITIZENS OF MONTGOMERY COUNTY IN SUCH AN UNDERHANDED FASHION. MARILYN HARDIS

FromName	Marion Nau
FromAddress	nau.marion@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	We object to the lease that has been signed with MSI for the Brickyard Road school site. The County Council never had open discussions concerning the use of this property. No traffic studies were every completed to determine the effect of many cars on the two lane roads in the area. No studies were carried out on the effect of artificial turf in the 20-acre area. No mention of night time lights was made in the lease and their effects on surrounding homes. No mention of commercial vendors and concession buildings was made in the lease. We need to have these and many other possibilities at least discussed and spelled out in the lease. This lease should never have been signed. Marion M. Nau Henry R. Nau 7409 River Falls Drive Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Marjie Heberlee
FromAddress	marjie.heberlee@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Project
Body	I am writing to express my support for the Brickyard Project. Please work to create additional and improved soccer fields for our kids! Marjie Heberlee 5507 Parkston Road Bethesda, MD 20816

FromName	Mark Oxley
FromAddress	marko@outdoorillumination.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer
Body	To Whom it May Concern: Please consider the Brickyard Soccer fields. For the good of thousands of kids and families over many decades. I think that weighs favorably versus the short term concerns of a handful of local residents who will likely be gone from the neighborhood in just a few years. Thank you for your consideration. Mark Mark Oxley 888.336.4999 ext.103 <http://outdoorillumination.com/> http://outdoorillumination.com

FromName	Mark Ungerman
FromAddress	mungerman@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	Please see my objections to the proposed Sublease of certain property on Brickyard Road, Potomac, Maryland. Mark Ungerman

FromName	Mary Eager
FromAddress	reager7@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	As a citizen of Montgomery County, I strongly oppose the agreement with MSI to establish soccer fields on the Brickyard School public property. I believe that the secret process which the County has followed is improper and illegal. Mary C. Eager 7608 Hackamore Drive Potomac, MD 20854

Mark Edward Ungerman

Citizen, Taxpayer, and Voter

8582 Brickyard Road
Potomac, Maryland, 20854
Phone: 301 983 6323
E-Mail: mungerman@comcast.net

September 1, 2011

Via email to: kassa.seyoum@montgomerycountymd.gov and
BrickyardRoad.SoccerFieldRFP@montgomerycountymd.gov

Mr. Kassa Seyoum
Project Manager
Montgomery County Maryland
Department of General Services
Division of Building Design and Construction Services
101 Monroe Street
Rockville MD 20850

Re: Response to Invitation to Email Input for the Content of the RFP to Develop Soccer Ballfields at Brickyard Site

Dear Mr. Seyoum:

Executive Summary

I object to the County's proposal to turn over the public land on Brickyard Road to a private interest group that will use the land for its own purposes, to the exclusion of the public and to the detriment of the neighbors and community. The private use will create dangerous conditions for our residents, tax county resources and infrastructure, and displace a unique organic farm that has managed harmful surface water runoff, preserved environmental resources and created no harmful impact on the community. The proposed development is not justified by any current demonstrable need, will harm property values in the neighborhood and reduce our tax base. Furthermore, it circumvents the authority of the County Council over land use regulation and the authority and wisdom of the Park and Planning Commission over land use and protection of parkland resources, demonstrates a lack of prudence, and is contrary to principles of transparent and accountable government. There are higher better uses for the site and other locations more consistent with the proposed private use, if it can be shown to fill a public need.

Introduction

This is in response to the "Invitation to Email Input for the Content of the RFP to Develop Soccer Ballfields at Brickyard Site due by September 1, 2011" posted at:

http://www.montgomerycountymd.gov/mcgtmpl.asp?url=/content/DGS/DBDC/soccer.asp

hereinafter, the "Invitation."

First, let me say that I live a stone's throw from the site proposed for development, at the above address. The County's actions in connection with this site will have and has had a direct and immediate impact on my family and me. That impact is different than the impact on the general public, residents of the neighborhood, residents of the Potomac Sub-region and residents Montgomery County.

The construction and operation of the site according to the plans expressed by the County will destroy my right to quiet enjoyment of my property and home. I have lived in the area for 28 years, and in this part of Montgomery County for the past 18 years. I remember Brickyard Road before the installation of the speed humps and traffic circles. During the morning and afternoon rush hours the neighborhood was overwhelmed with traffic. During non-peak times Brickyard Road was a speedway. It was common for vehicles to travel at speeds in excess of 70 miles per hour and for there to be drag races and other speed contests. There were accidents and injuries. I hope that you have access to and take the time to review the police department records from the time before the installation of the current traffic control measures. Even though this part of the County never enjoyed a sufficient level of enforcement of the traffic laws, I am sure that you will find records of dangerous driving at excessive speeds.

My family and I moved to our current address less than 4 years ago. We had sold our prior house and were living temporarily in a too small apartment. The financial crisis/credit crunch was in full swing and we were unable to locate a suitable house to buy given the conditions. We looked at rentals and came upon our current house. I remembered the traffic conditions on Brickyard from prior to the installation of the speed humps and traffic circles and would not consider buying a house on Brickyard Road. We really wanted to keep our kids in the same schools and near their friends so we rented the house we currently own. After living here a year, it was clear that this was in fact a quiet street with little traffic. In truth, the biggest traffic issues we have are the constant stream of bicycles each weekend and the wildlife. Sometimes it seems that the bicycles and deer outnumber the cars. Only after seeing the conditions here for over a year, did my family and I decide to buy the house and make this quiet neighborhood our permanent home.

I also hope that you have had the opportunity to spend some time in our neighborhood and on our streets. If you do, you will find a quiet residential community. A lot of kids play in the yards of houses along Brickyard Road and a lot of folks walk, jog, ride bikes, and walk their dogs along and on Brickyard Road. The proposed development is simply inconsistent with the neighborhood. The wildlife will pose a threat to the users of the facility and the users will pose a threat to our neighborhood, our safety and our right to enjoyment of our homes.

Response to the Community

In an email response to the community dated May 25, 2011, the County Executive "committed to helping solve the unmet recreation need for fields, and to ensuring that this is done in accordance

with the vision of the Master Plan, while also addressing concerns within the community." 1. I dispute that there is an unmet recreation need for fields. There simply is no current objective evidence to support the claim of such a need. The "2005 Land Preservation, Parks and Recreation Plan A PARKS RECREATION OPEN SPACE PLAN" cited in the Invitation is 6 years old and based on data collected 9 and 11 years ago. Besides being stale and out of date, the Plan, at page 3, clearly states:

> Estimating exact numbers of ballfields and other recreation facilities needed in the County is an extremely difficult task and subject to many future variables. It therefore is often spoken of as "more art than science".

Even if there was a need in 2000 when the data was first collected, that need was not consistent with the Counties current proposal for a multiple field complex. 2. I dispute that proposal in the Invitation is "in accordance with the vision of the Master Plan" or "consistent with the Potomac Master Plan." In fact, the Potomac Master Plan states "All school sites not otherwise recommended in this Plan for environmental conservation should be considered for other public uses, including park land if they are declared as surplus." Page 133 and "Brickyard Junior High School, Kendall Elementary School and Wooton Elementary School should be evaluated for public purposes if they are ever declared surplus." Page 143. The site has never been declared as surplus and the secret process leading up to this juncture did not include any consideration or evaluation for public uses or purposes including parkland. 3. I also dispute that the Invitation will in any way address the "concerns within the community." The community has spoken loud and clear that any development of soccer fields, any use that will have a negative impact on traffic, noise, or the environment, and any displacement of the organic farmer who has been a steward of the land and provided surface water management to protect the neighborhood is unwanted.

My belief is that the Invitation is a charade simply to provide cover for a claim that community input was sought and considered. I make this submission under protest, with full reservation of all rights to contest, challenge and dispute, in a court or law and/or otherwise and without waiver of any kind, any part or all of the actions related to the acquisition, RFP, actual and constructive eviction of the incumbent farmer, proposed development, development, and operation of the site and any supporting infrastructure, which I consider to be an illegitimate, inappropriate, illegal and improper process, plan and action, contrary to law, policy and prudence. While I expressly authorize you and the County to make my comments public in their entirety (but not in part), under no circumstances shall this submission be relied upon, interpreted, construed or argued to be an indication, concession, or admission, expressly, impliedly, by estopple or otherwise that the RFP, the actual and constructive eviction of the incumbent farmer, proposed development, development and operation of the site and any supporting infrastructure is or was appropriate, proper, legitimate or legal, and which I specifically contend was not.

This submission is being made solely in response to the deadline established and set forth in the Invitation for input into the content of the RFP and is made solely for use in the event that this process is allowed to go forward or subsequently determined by a court of law or other appropriate adjudicator to be proper.

Management Plans and Character of Contract

It is imperative that the RFP seek and the ultimate contract require certain management plans be proposed and made subject to a contract with enforcement measures that assure compliance.

1. A traffic management plan prepared by a licensed, independent, professional organization which will include the mandatory limitations on site use and traffic designed to preserve pedestrian and bicycle safety and prevent noticeable impact on traffic on Brickyard Road and surrounding neighborhood streets without permitting widening of or addition of lanes to Brickyard Road, modification to the existing traffic circles and speed humps or installation of traffic control devices at or between the intersections of Brickyard Road with either of McArthur Boulevard and Falls Road.
2. A noise management plan including require buffer instrumentalities to keep noise levels outside of the abutting properties to that no greater that a typical residential air conditioning unit.
3. An environmental management plan to include:
    a. a surface water management plan put in place to ensure that water runoff from the fields does not encroach or negatively impact neighboring properties, ground water, or the water shed.
    b. a chemical and toxin management plan to prohibit introduction of toxins or other harmful agents into the environment, soil, ground water, watershed, and flora and fauna, to include prohibition of genetically modified organisms, non-organic herbicides, non-organic pesticides, non-organic fertilizers.
    c. Design elements to visually hide the nature of the facility from the surrounding streets and properties.
4. An infrastructure management plan to ensure that the county does not incur and expense in connection with costs due to the development and operation of the site, to include supply of water, sewer, electricity, road repairs, and other utilities. The plan should also include mandatory funding of reserves to cover expected expenses and the affects of reasonably possible events such as storms, fire, and other natural or manmade events.
5. In order to protect the residents of the county, tax base, neighborhood, the operator should be required to demonstrate experience, resources and a substantial track record developing and operating facilities of this nature.
6. In recognition of the substantial expense that will be required to develop the facility and the inherent risks of any project of this nature in addition to the risk that the site may be reclaimed for use by the Board of Education, the operator must be required to demonstrate sufficient resources and provide sufficient protections to the county and operate under a plan that will not expose the taxpayers or county to any expense should the facility and management not proceed according to plan. The RFP should make clear and the contract require that no expenses or risk shall be borne by the public sector.
7. The term should be specified to have a date certain expiration and the terms should not include any preference for renewal by the same operator. The site is a valuable public resource and preferences provided now may prove unjustified at the expiration of the term. The County may not let the commercial interests of an operator subvert the public trust.

Transparent and Accountable Government

I recommend that the content of the RFP include mandatory requirements reflecting comments, commitments and statements by governmental entities regarding development and use of the site.

Various governmental entities, officials, employees and agents have made public commitments and statements about the plan, implementation of the development and operation of the site. These entities include, but are not limited to the Montgomery County Board of Education, the County Executive of Montgomery County, the Department of General Services of Montgomery County and their respective members, employees and agents. I have not made an attempt to capture all such statements here, but you have far better access and resources to identify and capture those commitments and statements. I have listed a few examples below. These are to be considered examples, but not the exclusive and complete set of such statements.

1. Specifically exclude the use of artificial turf as an option, and not permit the installation of lights. County Executive email, May 25, 2011.

2. A public-private partnership is required for the development and operation of ball fields to meet a need for county youth at minimal expense. County Executive email, May 25, 2011. The RFP should require that respondents adequately justify how the proposed operation meets a substantial need of county youth and will ensure that development and operation be performed at no expense or risk to the County.

3. Properly built and maintained fields that can operate year round. Invitation. The RFP shall require a plan and design to properly build and maintain fields that can operate year round.

4. Park and Planning's 2005 Park Recreation Open Space Plan. Invitation. The RFP shall require that the successful contractor comply with all Park and Planning regulations, standards, policies, recommendations and guidelines, both specific to this site and general to similar projects whether conducted under the direction of DGS or MN-CPPC, including, without limitation, the Montgomery County Department of Parks Policy for Public Private Partnerships.

5. “The County, working through competitively awarded public-private contracts with operators … to install and operate soccer field sites.” Invitation. The RFP will be contingent upon receipt of competitive bids.

6. “In keeping with the County Executive’s commitment to transparent and accountable government, the Department of General Services is committed to routinely engaging residents and other interested parties in the development of County projects. This process includes community meetings and public input processes by which we can be best informed about issues of concern regarding design, operation and maintenance of facilities.” Invitation. In order to satisfy this commitment, the RFP shall specify special procedures requiring contemporaneous public disclosure of all proposals, responses and contract related correspondence communications and drafts. Backroom, business as usual processes are inconsistent with transparency and accountability of government. In addition, the RFP shall require that each respondent disclose the identities of all its executives, directors, board members, each of their affiliates and all of there prior dealings of any kind with the county government and Board of Education and any of their respective employees, officials and agents, over the previous five years.

7. “The developer, under terms and conditions of a County lease, will be responsible to plan, design, construct, maintain and operate the fields in accordance to local, state, and federal building and zoning codes and regulations.” Invitation. This shall be a mandatory provision of the RFP and any Contract.

8. The invitation states “How will the County address traffic? A traffic study will be conducted”. In so far as the study of traffic alone does nothing to “address traffic” this statement must reflect a commitment to manage traffic. The RFP should require an acceptable traffic management plan.

9. Artificial turf fields will not be allowed; Lighting will not be allowed; and Public address systems will not be allowed. Invitation and Montgomery County Bard of Education. This shall be a mandatory provision of the RFP and any Contract.

10. The invitation contains the following “Why did the County decide to lease the land to build soccer fields? The approved and adopted 2002 Potomac Master Plan contemplated and encouraged the brickyard property to be used for ball fields or other recreational purposes, if it is not used for a school. See the excerpt from the Master Plan.” In light of the commitment to transparent and accountable government, the RFP shall require that any award be contingent on strict adherence to the 2002 Potomac Master Plan and not to the misrepresentation of what is set forth in the Master Plan according to the Invitation.

11. The invitation states, “How will these fields benefit the community? The intent of developing soccer fields on that property is to provide a recreational amenity to the community.” To satisfy this commitment the RFP and any Contract shall contain mandatory provisions conditioning use and operation of the site on annual certification that the facility has served the primary function of providing a recreation amenity to the community consistent with an annual plan of operation filed with the DGS and published upon response to the RFP and annually thereafter.

12. The invitation states: “What will happen to the organic farmer currently farming this site? The County has extended Mr. Marvell’s lease until the end of the year and could possibly extend the lease for additional six months depending on the progress of the project design.” In light of the commitment to transparent and accountable government, the RFP shall express the County’s continuing authorization of occupancy by the current organic farm until the progress of the project requires curtailment of that occupancy and require that any award be contingent upon permitting full occupancy of the site by the current organic farm until the progress of the project requires curtailment of that occupancy and then only to the extent so required.

13. The invitation states, “How will the County address noise? A Noise impact analysis will be conducted”. In so far as the analysis of Noise impact alone does nothing to “address noise” this statement must reflect a commitment to a noise management and attenuation plan. The RFP should require an acceptable noise management and attenuation plan.

14. The invitation states, “The Brickyard Road Soccer Fields project is proposed to consist of grading and development of existing fields to develop up to four soccer fields.” The RFP and any contact shall permanently limit the number of fields to no more that four.

15. The invitation states, “The project will consist of private development, … construction and maintenance of adequate onsite parking. Development of the project will include design and construction of soccer fields, parking, Stormwater Management, site improvements and other requirements.” The RFP and any Contract shall contain mandatory provisions to this effect.

16. The Invitation states “The County project management team needs neighbor input on operational parameters and concerns that will be used to draft the RFP. Neighbor feedback is critical in ensuring the property is a good neighbor, while meeting the broader County need for additional fields for organized play.” In light of the commitment to transparent and accountable government, the RFP shall require submission of and that any award shall require a Good neighbor plan and an agreement conditioning use on conditions satisfactory to affected community civic organizations and citizens groups.

17. The invitation contains the following statements, “June 9, 2011 – Public Meeting at Potomac Community Recreation Center. Members of the County project management team met with residents in a work session to receive community input on features of the RFP. The County project management team recorded the following public comments at the five community work sessions.” and “June 15- September 1, 2011 – Posted website invitation to the community to provide email input for the Content of the RFP.” In light of the commitment to transparent and accountable government, the process of formulating of the RFP shall include an effort to specifically identify comments and input from the immediate neighboring community and consistent with those from the immediate neighboring community in order to distinguish them from comments inconsistent with those from the immediate neighboring community and, in light of the disproportionate impact of any development on the immediate neighboring community, to assign a substantially higher weight to those comments in formulation of the content of the RFP.

18. As reflected in the minutes of the business meeting of the Board of Education of Montgomery County regarding the “Lease Agreement-Brickyard Road Site”, “Mr. David Dise, director of the Department of General Services, Montgomery County, explained the implementation of county plan.” and “Mr. Dise indicated that the intent was for soccer fields, but public input could change the scope of the project.” In light of the commitment to transparent and accountable government, the RFP shall reflect a change in the scope of the project on the basis of public input. That change should be specifically to identify an operator with qualifications consistent with those of the current organic farm and to operate the site in a manner consistent with the past operation of the site.

The principles of transparent and accountable government mandate that the county live up to and abide by the spirit and implications of the statements made by Mr. Dise to the County Board of Education, which statements were relied upon by the Board of Education in granting the lease to the county.

In conclusion, I quote a few passages of the Testimony of the Honorable Isiah Leggett, County Executive, Montgomery County, Maryland to The U.S. Senate Budget Committee & Task Force on Government Performance, Monday, July 12, 2010:

> I have made building an open, inviting, responsive, and accountable government a top priority for my administration.
>
> ◦ ◦ ◦
>
> Our Pedestrian Safety Initiative had two clear objectives: 1) Reduce pedestrian-related crashes, injuries, fatalities, and their associated social and economic costs, and 2) Ensure that all areas of the County provide safe and convenient travel options for pedestrians.

◦ ◦ ◦

Partner with the community: Seeking input from a diverse group of community representatives to develop "priorities" will ensure that the focus is kept on "community priorities" therefore improving their quality of life. Here is the list of community priorities in Montgomery County:

♦ A Responsive and Accountable County Government

◦ ◦ ◦

♦ Healthy and Sustainable Communities

♦ Safe Streets and Secure Neighborhoods

◦ ◦ ◦

♦ Vital Living for All of Our Residents

Now that you have community input, it should be clear that proceeding with an RFP for development of soccer fields is inconsistent with the operation of an open and accountable government, detrimental to the community, inconsistent with pedestrian safety and inconsistent with community partnering. The only option to reconcile these important objectives is to reassess current data to evaluate need for additional ballfields, locate a soccer development at a more suitable location (if one is truly needed), and issue the RFP seeking an operator with qualifications consistent with those of the current organic farm, to operate the site in a manner consistent with the past operation of the current organic farm.

Respectfully,

/s/Mark Ungerman

Mark Ungerman

Cc, by email: Isiah Legget
David Dise
Diane Schwartz Jones
Ernie Lunsford
Montgomery County Board of Education
Members of the Montgomery County Council
Commissioners of the Montgomery County Planning Board, M-NCPPC

Mark Edward Ungerman

Citizen, Taxpayer, and Voter

8582 Brickyard Road
Potomac, Maryland, 20854
Phone: 301 983 6323
E-Mail: mungerman@comcast.net

April 13, 2012

Via email to: DGS.ORE@montgomerycountymd.gov

Cynthia Brenneman,
Chief, Office of Real Estate
Dept. of General Services
101 Monroe Street, 9th floor
Rockville, MD 20850

Re: Proposed Sublease between Montgomery County and MSI Pursuant to a Notice Published March 23, 2012

Dear Ms. Brenneman:

Executive Summary

I object to the County's proposal to turn over the public land on Brickyard Road to a private interest group that will use the land for its own purposes, to the exclusion of the public and to the detriment of the neighbors and community. The private use will create dangerous conditions for our residents, tax county resources and infrastructure, and displace a unique organic farm that has managed harmful surface water runoff, preserved environmental resources and created no harmful impact on the community. The proposed development is not justified by any current demonstrable need, will harm property values in the neighborhood and reduce our tax base. Furthermore, it circumvents the authority of the County Council over land use regulation and the authority and wisdom of the Park and Planning Commission over land use and protection of parkland resources, demonstrates a lack of prudence, and is contrary to principles of transparent and accountable government. There are higher better uses for the site and other locations more consistent with the proposed private use, if it can be shown to fill a public need.

Introduction

This is in response to the "NOTICE" posted at:

http://www.montgomerycountymd.gov/content/DGS/DBDC/resources/Brickyard-Road-Advertisement1.pdf

First, let me say that I live a stone's throw from the site proposed for development, at the above address. The County's and proposed Subleasee's actions in connection with this site will have and has had a direct and immediate impact on my family and me. That impact is different than the impact on the general public, residents of the neighborhood, residents of the Potomac Sub-region and residents Montgomery County.

I have previously submitted comments to the proposed RFQ-DP on September 1, 2011. Those comments are expressly incorporated herein by reference and attached hereto. Those comments were entirely ignored, which demonstrates that this entire endeavor has been a sham. I object on the grounds that no effort was made to determine the best use for the site or to determine if the proposed use was suitable to the location. There is at the very least an appearance of impropriety and, in fact real impropriety in the County's effort to deliver this particular parcel to MSI without considering and to the detriment of the residents of this portion of the county. The construction and operation of the site according to the plans is incurably flawed and must not proceed.

I hereby put the county and Montgomery Soccer Inc. on notice that I will not quietly sit by and allow these plans to proceed unless I am given enforceable assurances that it will have no negative impact on my neighborhood, you demonstrate that the proposed use is the best use of this public land and the use is consistent with the surrounding lands.

The proposed sublease is proof that Isiah Leggett, County Executive, Montgomery County, Maryland lied in testimony given to The U.S. Senate Budget Committee & Task Force on Government Performance, Monday, July 12, 2010 when he said:

> I have made building an open, inviting, responsive, and accountable government a top priority for my administration.

Ike Leggett lied when he said:

> *"Montgomery County is a great place to live, raise a family, grow a business, and earn a paycheck. We are going to keep what's working, fix what's not, and make sure everybody – everybody – gets a seat at the table when decisions are made."*
>
> - Ike Leggett

See, http://www.montgomerycountymd.gov/mcgtmpl.asp?url=/content/EXEC/office.asp

I am surprised that Mr. Leggett, Mr. Dise and the rest of the county employees behind this effort can sleep at night. It is time for them and the County to live up to the priciples that once made this a great place to live. It is time to reject the proposed sublease, drop this entire plan, cease the tyranny and start to consider the best and most consistent use for this parcel.

Submitted with less respect than I once had,

/s/Mark Ungerman

Mark Ungerman

Cc, by email: Isiah Legget
David Dise
Diane Schwartz Jones
Montgomery County Board of Education
Members of the Montgomery County Council
Commissioners of the Montgomery County Planning Board, M-NCPPC

FromName	Mary Kosterlitz
FromAddress	mary.kosterlitz@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	RE: Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	

I would like to voice my strong support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. I
am a resident of Montgomery County and have been for over 20 years. My
daughter who is sophomore at Walter Johnson H.S. has been playing
recreational soccer with MSI since she was six. Over the years I have
noticed that the quality of many of the county soccer fields greatly need
improving. As this is a very important part of many of our childrens' (and
adult players) lives I think it is money that is well spent by the county.
I know in these hard fiscal times it is difficult to always meet the needs
of every service that the county must provide, but the recreation and health
of our citizens should be a priority. Soccer has been a great enhancement
to my daughter's life. It is the one sport she truly loves and when so many
of our children do not have enough exercise and our country is facing an
obesity crisis, spending money to encourage healthy activities for our
children and teens is money that pays dividends everyday.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Kosterlitz (Soccer Mom)

6209 Hollins Drive

Bethesda

FromName	Mary Kosterlitz
FromAddress	mary.kosterlitz@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I would like to voice my strong support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. I am a resident of Montgomery County and have been for over 20 years. My daughter who is sophomore at Walter Johnson H.S. has been playing recreational soccer with MSI since she was six. Over the years I have noticed that the quality of many of the county soccer fields greatly need improving. As this is a very important part of many of our childrens' (and adult players) lives I think it is money that is well spent by the county. I know in these hard fiscal times it is difficult to always meet the needs of every service that the county must provide, but the recreation and health of our citizens should be a priority. Soccer has been a great enhancement to my daughter's life. It is the one sport she truly loves and when so many of our children do not have enough exercise and our country is facing an obesity crisis, spending money to encourage healthy activities for our children and teens is money that pays dividends everyday. Thank you. Sincerely, Mary Kosterlitz (Soccer Mom)

FromName	Marybeth
FromAddress	johnandmb.gaul@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS;Riemer's Office, Councilmember;Andrews's Offic
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	We live in River Falls and our family (which includes young, soccer-playing boys) is STRONGLY OPPOSED to the Brickyard Soccer Project. Setting aside, for the moment, the breach of public trust in the way this issue has been handled by Mr. Leggett and others, we are most concerned with the great increase of traffic that the Soccer Project will bring in and around our neighborhood during the hours that our children are home (after school and on weekends), walking, running and riding their bicycles. All one has to do is drive down Brickyard Road to see that it is not equipped to accommodate the inevitable flow of cars that will be coming and going from the fields and our small neighborhood is certainly not prepared for the cut-thru traffic. If the Mr. Leggett had allowed this proposal to go through the proper channels, it would never have gotten as far as it has. The soccer field deal must be rejected until all of the research can be completed and evaluated. Marybeth & John Gaul 8013 River Falls Drive Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Matt Gardiner
FromAddress	matt@openmarketenergy.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	More fields
Body	I just got back from a Howard county tournament. Why do we not have any fields turfed??? BCC High School is not turfed!! Matt Gardiner 4400 Fairfield Dr Bethesda, MD 20814 Open Market Energy, LLC 7625 Wisconsin Avenue Suite 300 Bethesda, MD 20814 (O) 240-482-4738 (C) 240-401-1398 (F) 240-482-3599 matt@openmarketenergy.net www.openmarketenergy.net

FromName	Maureen Webster
FromAddress	maureenwebster@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	
Body	I DO NOT support the Brickyard Soccer Project. Maureen K. Webster 9119 Belmart Road Potomac, Maryland 20854 301-983-2323-home 410-598-0407-mobile maureenwebster@yahoo.com

FromName	Mazur, Julie
FromAddress	JMazur@NECANET.ORG
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To Whom it May Concern, My children both play soccer through MSI and I support the Brickyard Soccer Project. Thank you, Julie Mazur, 1 Baffin Bay Court, Rockville MD 20853

FromName	mbagshaw@mbpce.com
FromAddress	mbagshaw@mbpce.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	We are in support of this project and others that will provide quality soccer and recreational fields in the county. It has been more and more difficult to find space for my children to play sports on without having to travel to north county or further. Michael Bagshaw, PE, CCM 3947 Baltimore Street Kensington, MD

FromName	megan rave lankenau
FromAddress	meg24an@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project!
Body	Please develop bettern soccer fields for our children - playing soccer is great exercise, builds sportsmanship, and can foster a lifelong love of the game. Our children need places to play soccer, so please support the Brickyard Soccer Project! thanks, Megan Lankenau 757 Silver Spring Ave Silver Spring, MD 20910PS -- > >

FromName	Melinda Greene
FromAddress	mngreene@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To Whom It May Concern, We would like to express our support for the Brickyard Soccer Project, and as parents with three recreational soccer players in our household, we encourage you to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Thank you. Kind Regards, Gary and Melinda Greene 14204 Trillium Terrace Silver Spring, MD 20906

FromName	Melissa Alshab
FromAddress	melissa_alshab@mail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project. Thank you Melissa and Adil Alshab 9208 Ewing Drive Bethesda, MD 20817 Melissa Alshab h: 301.564.0559 c: 301.518.4220

FromName	Meredith Gramlich
FromAddress	merrie.gramlich@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support brickyard project
Body	Hello, I support the development of more soccer fields for the area. I support development of the brickyard project. We are a whole-hearted soccer nuts family with 4 kids actively involved. We sometimes need to drive 1.5 hours to their games which is just too far! Please develop good fields for the kids to use forever more. Thanks, Merrie Gramlich, certified soccer mom :)

FromName	Meredith Gramlich
FromAddress	merrie.gramlich@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support brickyard field
Body	Hello, I support the brickyard field. It would help to make more soccer accessible to more kids. As the parent of 4 active kids who love soccer, this means a lot to our family. Rob and Merrie Gramlich 9207 Kirkdale Rd. Bethesda, MD 20817

FromName	Mesfin, Yebio
FromAddress	ymesfin@ti.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	
Body	Please we need better soccer field.

FromName	mesirowesq@aol.com
FromAddress	mesirowesq@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	As the parent of 2 kids (ages 15 & 17) who play soccer, and the coach of the same team for 14 seasons, I've been all over Montgomery County over the years. It's clear that there is a huge need for additional, quality soccer fields in Montgomery County. The Soccerplex can only accommodate so many players. The school and park fields are overused. And the number of players just keeps growing. Thanks for your consideration. John Mesirow 4701 Cumberland Avenue Chevy Chase, MD 20815

FromName	Michael Bonard
FromAddress	mbonard@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	Dear Sirs, I strongly disapprove of the MSI lease for the following reasons, based on public health concerns, ethical conduct of our officials, and cost to the taxpayers: 1. Public Health concerns: An organic field cannot be setup overnight. This MSI lease amounts to the destruction of an investment that took decades to build. Today, the agricultural industry makes extensive usage of chemical pesticides and fertilizers, whose long term effects have never been fully studied. It becomes essential to maintain areas to grow food that has not been tainted by those chemicals. The history of mankind is full of mass poisonings due to man-induced causes. Let's learn from our mistakes, and keep all the chances to not repeat this process! 2. There is no provision in the lease agreement for establishing an escrow account that would be used to return the site to its original status at the end of the lease. Without such an escrow account, and in case the lessee defaults, the taxpayers are liable for the cost of such restoration. Since the lease decision has clearly been made by a single individual, and since this individual will bear no financial nor legal responsibility for his decision, it is IMPERATIVE that the County taxpayers be protected from the expense of the restorations. 4. There is no explicit provision in the lease to confirm that NO EXPENSE will be incurred (directly or indirectly) by the County for the duration of the lease and as a consequence of such lease. A strong penalty clause should be clearly spelled out in case the

County incurs
any expense. Again, without this provision, the taxpayers are being
exposed to abuse and waste of public money.

Respectfully,

Michael Bonard
Taxpayer
10805 Rock Run Drive
Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Michael Campbell
FromAddress	MGCampbell@mmcanby.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer Project

Body

Dear Sir or Madam:

I have a second-grade son who play MSI soccer and 2 kindergarten daughters who will soon. I am writing to express my support for leasing the Brickyard Road property to MSI. Montgomery County needs more playing fields to accommodate the growing number of boys and girls who want to play soccer. A few neighborhood opponents should not be controlling the matter when the majority favors more playing fields. The lease of this property to MSI would accommodate a tremendous need for more playing fields.

Thank you,

Michael G. Campbell
4601 Windsor Lane
Bethesda, MD 20814
(301) 652-6669

FromName	Michael Cassidy
FromAddress	mcassidy@twperry.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To whom it may concern, I run a $125 M business in Montgomery County and have 200 employees (who live within Moco) as well as their families that are part of my "team". Our kids are in nearly every MoCo public school. I am the CEO of a building products company and as such we have a strong connection to the International Soccer Community, many of whom are contractors and tradesmen. We run our own adult Futbolito tournament with 36 teams of contractors competing to win the "TW Perry Cup". I have also coached 3 different teams for MSI Soccer and now coach 2. I have a boy who plays baseball and lacrosse as well. I am currently sharing half of a small field for my sixth grade girls team. There is not enough room to set up anything resembling actual playing conditions. We currently share the field with first graders. We are cautious, but the conditions are tight. The BrickYard Soccer Project would help immensely and I would love to see some of my personal and business taxes go to support such a severly needed project in our community.

As you know, soccer (Futbol) is easily enjoyed by many people, does not
cost much per athlete, has very high participation rates, low injury
rates and does not have significant field maintenance costs.

I cannot think of a more direct and efficient way to support our kids.

Regards,

Michael Cassidy
President & CEO
(240) 364-0414 Office
(301) 873-9867 Cell
(301) 840-6584 Fax
www.twperry.com <http://www.twperry.com>

<http://www.twperry.com> <http://www.twperry.com/>
<http://www.twperry.com>

FromName	Michael Davis
FromAddress	miketbdavis@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To Whom It May Concern: My son plays on a U15 MSI soccer time via OBGC. Some of the county fields are in great shape, and I appreciate all the county's efforts to that end. I do want to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project which I understand proposes (proposal by MSI) an accommodation for both new soccer fields and an Organic Agricultural Educational Center to be co-located on the site in Potomac, and actually provides more than twice as much land for the Agricultural Center than the community has previously requested. I understand that MSI would be incurring all expenses associated with purchasing/leasing and maintaining the fields (at no cost to the county). With the extensive growth of youth interest in soccer, lacrosse and other sports, the county is in serious need of more fields, improved fields and fields on which it is safe to play. My son plays on a field (on the property of one county elementary school) that is in such poor shape, the field is not safe for play given the ditches, the irregular surface and the tall clumps of dirt/grass, not to mention the high probability of spraining an ankle or worse. I appreciate that the neighbors surrounding the proposed property want to maintain status quo and keep their neighborhood quiet and peaceful. But, apparently the county is looking for ways to use the land anyway given that thoughts of erecting a school on the site have been scrapped. I can think of many worse ways to use the land that would make the immediate neighbors even less happy. Imagine how the surrounding neighbors would feel if a school were built on the site - the traffic and noise with a school would certainly exceed that associated with MSI soccer fields. Thanks for reading. Michael T. Davis | 4415 Puller Drive | Kensington, MD 20895 | 301-530-4965 H | 301-351-6577 C

FromName	Michael Kendix
FromAddress	mkendix@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	
Body	To Whom It May Concern: I would like tot express my support for the Brickyard Soccer project to help solve our community's desperate need for more and improved soccer fields. Sincerely, Michael Kendix 4404 Dresden Street Kensington, Maryland 20895 □□ □ □□

FromName	Michael Opsahl
FromAddress	mropsahl19@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Comments
Body	The process of granting a lease to MSI is, at minimum, a shining example of back-room, shady political favoritism. The action is improper, the fields are unnecessary and your action will damage the value of my property. If you are so concerned about revenue, sell it to a single family home developer with a minimum set-aside for MPDU's, like they did in Avenel. Michael Opsahl 7705 Masters Drive Potomac, MD 20854 C 240-778-9943

FromName	Michael W Evans
FromAddress	mikerain@earthlink.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Sub-lease Nick's Organic Farm
Body	Speedy decision problematic: The acceptance of MSI's proposal follows the consistent pattern of the County and School Board evading all proper and normal legal procedures. The timeline for rewarding the sublease to applicants under the RFP was supposed to be in May. Why then has this decision been sped up? The citizens should be protected by the County following proper procedure. * Circumventing normal contract procedures: The County had announced it would issue a "Request for Proposals" (RFP), but instead it avoided usual procurement regulations by issuing a request for "qualifications" (RFQ). * Not enough bidders: In many instances, if only one bidder responds to a solicitation, the issuing agency would reconsider the entire project for several reasons: 1) there is no competition; 2) the project as presented may be flawed to begin with; 3) there is insufficient demonstrated need to proceed with the project; and/or 4) the project is too narrowly defined allowing only one entity to respond. * Farm proposal not considered: The County did not respond to or even acknowledge another proposal that was submitted by Brickyard Educational Farm to establish an educational farm on the site. Failure to evaluate that proposal shows that a thorough investigation was not conducted in reaching a decision. * Private sports organization problematic on school land: There is no precedent for a private organization to build and operate pay-for-play sports fields on school land. We believe this action violates Montgomery County and Maryland State law.

* Decision-making without public input is unacceptable: This decision
has been discussed almost entirely behind closed doors, and the Board of
Education has been found in violation of the Open Meetings Act by the
Compliance Board. This process should restart and follow proper
procedures and have community input.

* Promised conditions left out of lease: The County Executive has stated
and written that any soccer fields at Brickyard would not have
artificial turf, public address systems, or lights for night-time
playing. All of these conditions were left out of the proposed lease.
In addition, the hours of year-round operation are such that lights
would be required.

* Parking is insufficient: It would appear that they are only providing
about half the amount of parking recommended by Parks and Planning. This
could lead to parking and congestion on residential streets.

* Farm is unique and irreplaceable: There is only one organic seed farm
in Montgomery County and already over 500 places to play soccer. This is
an irreplaceable asset to Montgomery County that should be valued, not
destroyed.

* Farm provides required outdoor education opportunities: Brickyard
Educational Farm offers programs that fulfill the Maryland outdoor
education requirements and the Farm to School guidelines, and provide an
innovative experiential learning model. We should take advantage of this
valuable piece of land for educational use, and serve ALL students of
Montgomery County with this land.

Sincerely,
Michael W Evans

FromName	michaelfried3@comcast.net
FromAddress	michaelfried3@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer
Body	To whom it may concern: I am the parent of a 5th grader and a 2nd grader who participate in MSI. I can tell you that having a program like this with such dedicated parents has been an incredibly rewarding experience. Unfortunately, no matter how dedicated the parents coaches are, this program cannot succeed without usable soccer fields. I urge you to support MSI's brickyard soccer project. Given the compromise that they have proposed--soccer field next to the agricultural center--even more children will be able to enjoy the benefits of the agricultural center. For that matter, those children who attend the center, will also have the benefit of a large field to play on. It's a win-win situation. Thank you very much for your consideration. Sincerely, Renee Fried

FromName	Michelle
FromAddress	michelle.murphy87@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I do Not support the brickyard soccer fields
Body	Sent from my iPad

FromName	Michelle Grace
FromAddress	michgrace04@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Hello, I am writing this email to show my support for the Brickyard Soccer project. The availability and quality of soccer fields in my area is a real concern. The fields are extremely bumpy and usually half dirt which is a safety issue for the players. May twisted ankles and knees could be avoided with higher quality fields. The availability of fields in our area is also an issue. My 1st grader has to practice at 7pm because there are simply not enough fields to meet the desire. I believe 7pm is way to late for a 7 year old to be practicing. Thank you for listening and I hope to see better quality fields, Michelle Grace 4108 Aspen Street Chevy Chase, MD

FromName	Mike Ayala
FromAddress	goskins_alltheway@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Hello, thank you and please continue to work on this much needed project. It's for the future of our kids and very much needed in the county. MSI does a great job with our kids and I myself grew up playing on these very same county fields some 22 years ago. Thanks in advance!! Regards, Michael Ayala 8526 11th Ave Silver Spring, MD 20903

FromName	Mike Comer
FromAddress	mcomer@first-potomac.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	This email is intended to convey my strong support for the proposed Brickyard soccer fields. These project is very much needed for new and improved fields to meet the soccer needs throughout the county. As a 21 year Montgomery county resident and parent with three soccer playing children, I know how there has been a need for many years to create new fields that provide much community benefit for all county residents. Thank you for your continued consideration and support of this very important initiative. Michael H. Comer 10512 Beechknoll Lane Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Mike Kanz
FromAddress	fisherkanz@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	brickyard soccer project
Body	Hi , I am Mike Kanz I coach 4th grade boys soccer with MSI and would like very for the county to move forward with the Brickyard project . The county does a very nice job with the quality the fields that we play on and more of them are needed . I have lived in the county my whole life (50 years) and will always here . I live at 17208 Sumac Ct. , Germantown Md. 20874 Thanks Mike kanz

FromName	Mike Lenkin
FromAddress	MikeLenkin@millerandlong.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard
Body	To Whom It May Concern, I have lived almost my entire life, and all of my adult life as a county resident. I am raising my children in the community that I grew up in. There is a shortage of quality fields and soccer fields in our community and I support Brickyard becoming a place for soccer and other local sports use. Mike Lenkin

FromName	Miller.Bill
FromAddress	Bill.Miller@SunTrust.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I support the brickyard soccer project and I vote!
Body	

Sincerely,

Bill

William Miller, MBA

Loan Officer, SunTrust Mortgage

1445 Research BLVD, Suite 400

Rockville, Maryland 20850-6147

(301) 256-7551 mobile phone

(301) 517-5364 fax

(301) 517-5351 office (direct)

(800) 733-5575 toll free

bill.miller@suntrust.com <mailto:bill.miller@suntrust.com>

Nationwide Mortgage License (NMLSR) # 658905

www.billmillerMBA.com <http://www.billmillerMBA.com>

The finest compliment I can receive is a referral. If you know of
someone thinking of purchasing a home or refinancing an existing
mortgage, I would appreciate an introduction as I can do loans in 48
states and Washington, DC. As always, I will extend them the highest
degree of service and professionalism. Thank you.

LEGAL DISCLAIMER
The information transmitted is intended solely for the
individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain

confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of or taking action in reliance upon this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you have received this email in error please contact the sender and delete the material from any computer.

By replying to this e-mail, you consent to SunTrust's monitoring activities of all communication that occurs on SunTrust's systems.

SunTrust is a federally registered service mark of SunTrust Banks, Inc. Live Solid. Bank Solid. is a service mark of SunTrust Banks, Inc.

[ST:XCL]

FromName	minimus01@gmail.com
FromAddress	minimus01@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am writing to express my support for the project. As a county resident, I believe this project enhances the quality of life that is expected in Montgomery County and will serve many people. Regards, Jong Chung 9907 Brixton Lane Bethesda, MD 20817

FromName	Miriam Crook
FromAddress	mircrook@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	disapproval
Body	Brickyard Road is proving to be an example of local government at its worst! What happened here to government "For the people, by the people"? That doesn't mean secret deals made with private business by sleeze bag politicians. This government was voted in to serve the people and the community, not look out for the interests of big business. Maybe you should go back to high school and learn what local government is supposed to be doing. I feel ashamed to be a resident and a Democrat in Montgomery County after watching my government at work. Miriam Crook

FromName	MIYAMOTO SHINGO
FromAddress	shingo.miyamoto@mofa.go.jp
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To whom this may concern, I'm writing to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. I hope that through this project there will be for tangible improvements to the conditions in which our community's kids play on. Thank you. Shingo Miyamoto 6801 Granby Street Bethesda MD

FromName	Molly Raymond
FromAddress	mollyraymond3@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Comments
Body	We oppose the building of the new soccerplex. We do not like the manner in which the County has arrived at this ill-thought out decision. Dorothy Raymond 9026 Bronson Drive Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Mulago@aol.com
FromAddress	Mulago@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer project
Body	Thank you for the Brickyard soccer project. MSI parent

FromName	Naghmeh
FromAddress	naghmeh1n@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer
Body	We desperately need more soccer facility for our children to spend they time and energy rather than hanging out at wrong places naghmeh1n@yahoo.com Sentpl from my iPhone

FromName	NANCY BYRD
FromAddress	cnbyrd28@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn. Brickyard Comments
Body	We strongly oppose the proposal to allow the 20 acres of public land on Brickyard Road to be converted into a large commercial facility. The four-field soccer complex and associated facilities will greatly increase the amount of traffic throughout the Potomac area. Our street, Accord Drive, is already used as a bypass to avoid the traffic lights at River and Falls roads. In order to avoid the greatly increased congestion at River and Falls The Brickyard Road complex will turn Accord Drive, Hall Road and Falls Bridge Lane into a heavily traveled shortcut thus destroying a residential neighborhood. The dangers to the elderly walkers and the families on bicycles would be quickly realized in the emergency rooms. The detrimental effect on property values will be felt by the owners as well as the county. Please understand that we are truly concerned with the Board's decision, and hope that thoughtful consideration will be given to the issues raised. Charles F. and Nancy R. Byrd 9608 Accord Drive Potomac, Maryland 20854 (301) 299-4857

FromName	nancy Feinstein
FromAddress	nfeinst@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Bill 11-12
Body	Hello, I am writing this letter to plead with you to pass Bill 11-12 in time to stop the subleasing of the land that is currently an organic seed farm, to MSI. You may not all be in agreement about how this land should be dealt with, ultimately, but please at least pass something that will delay the contracting until there has been full, and open discussion about this issue. It's hard for me to understand how this political maneuvering on the part of Legget can continue to go on unchecked, in that there has been such unified community support for keeping MSI out, and so much support for allowing the farm to continue as an educational resource to the county. Its hard not to think that there is something here, that will make some people *lots *of money, because of the tenacity, and the manipulativeness of how they have pursued their own interests- even with sooo little support from any community. You are elected officials. You must be there because you are interested in public service. While some may have argued that this land is in a relatively affluent community, and people there just don't want something they don't like in their community - that argument is really a bogus one. Those who are fighting to keep the farm as an educational center have more of a commitment to serving under-served youth than does this private soccer club. In addition, there is nobody really advocating to sublease this to MSI. There IS NO reasonable argument for speeding this action along, and many reasonable and compassionate arguments for slowing it down to really look at what is underneath these events. The speed of this process, the fact that public lands will be given over to a private soccer club rather than a non-profit education

center; the
distorted and censored 'bidding process'; the promises that have been
already broken re the 'lease' agreement; and more - are all reasons to vote
to ensure that this deal cannot go forward without further inquiry. But
most of all, this is a matter of conscience and responsibility to the
public that is inherent in your serving in public office. This is a
decision that may well be one of the most important in your tenure on the
council- because it is one that takes courage to do what's right (given
what seem to be monied interests that are lined up on the 'other' side).
In this moment of history, there is NOTHING that is more important to
regular folk, than to know that their public representatives are not doing
things because that's what monied interests are pushing them to do. And
Needless to say, these are the kinds of things that people remember for a
long long time - both positively and negatively.
Please do the right thing, and vote to ensure that this bill 11-12 goes
into effect in time to stop the MSI sublease!
Thank you for your time, attention, and public service,
Nancy Feinstein
--

FromName	Nancy Hamilton
FromAddress	n.hamilton@inslawinc.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	Cynthia Brenneman, Chief Office of Real Estate Dept. of General Services Dear Ms. Brenneman: I would like to voice my strong opposition to the agreement with MSI to construct, manage and operate soccer fields on the Brickyard School public property. I believe the secret process which the County has followed is improper and illegal. A new and completely transparent process that is in compliance with County law should be commenced to determine the best use for the Brickyard property. Nancy B. Hamilton 8116 Gainsborough Ct. East Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Nancy Holahan
FromAddress	nholahan@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard road soccer fields
Body	I am writing to state that I am NOT in favor of the proposed development of this land into soccer fields. The fields would be controlled by MSI and would not be a community resource. A park open to the community that included soccer fields would be fine. It is the private nature of MSI that is the basis of my objection. Nancy Holahan Coach street Potomac, MD Sent from my iPhone

FromName	Nancy Holahan
FromAddress	nholahan@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	attention: Brickyard comments
Body	I am writing in order to object to the agreement between Montgomery County and MSI to develop the 20 acre plot of land on Brickyard Road, Potomac -- which was originally intended for a public school -- into MSI-operated soccer fields. The process that led to this agreement was conducted in secret and without community input. The Potomac Master Plan specifies that, if not needed for a public school, this land should be used in a way that would enhance the quality of life of the surrounding community. Appropriate uses would best be decided through an open discussion involving the community. The crucial step of an open dialogue with the community was bypassed in the process used by the County Executive. This neighborhood already has adequate soccer fields. The community would like a voice in the decision for how to best use this land! Respectfully submitted, Nancy Holahan 8113 Coach St. Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Nancy Humphrey
FromAddress	nhumphrey0@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Re MC Award of Brickyard Road Soccer Fields to MSI
Body	To Whom It May Concern: My husband and I are homeowners on Brickyard Road in the Potomac Falls development, and I am emailing to register our opposition to the proposed use of this property. I have read the zoning regulations, which allow a park, school, or soccer fields as an appropriate use on this property. We object to the proposed use for the following reasons. First, the scale of the proposed development, with 4 soccer fields and substantial parking and concessions, is completely out of character with the quiet residential nature of the neighborhood. A much better use would be a park or smaller soccer fields, not the commercial complex that is being proposed. Second, the need for additional soccer fields, at least in this area, is questionable. Our understanding is that the lack of available fields is more of an upcounty problem. Why not add fields there, rather than have kids drive out of their way to fields in this neighborhood? Last, but not least, is the issue of TRAFFIC. Parking for 125 to 150 spaces will certainly add to the pressure on an already crowded Falls Road, which operates frequently as alt-270. As you know, there are multiple rush hours--at 3 to 4 p.m. daily as well as the morning and evening rush. so the traffic from the fields will likely affect one or more of these high traffic periods. A new traffic light has just been installed about 1 mile away at the intersection of Falls and Oakland Roads, because of the difficulty of accessing Falls Road from Oakland at many times of day, so traffic is a major consideration. We understand there will be a traffic study, but no amount of study can change the current road configuration and

dotting Falls Road with traffic lights will just exacerbate the problem.

We hope you will take these objections into consideration. Thank you for
your attention to this matter.

Nancy Humphrey
9009 Brickyard Road
Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Nancy Voit
FromAddress	nancy@voit.org
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Fields
Body	To Whom It May Concern:

I DO NOT support the planned soccer fields going into the fields at Brick
Yard. We have enough soccer fields in the county for the kids to play on.
Let the trees have it.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Voit

5611 Oakmont Avenue

Bethesda, MD 20817

FromName	Neal Cox
FromAddress	neal@millercox.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support for Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am writing to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. Please take the time to get to know the facts about this project -- it is a win-win idea of our community and our children. Thank you, Neal Cox 3924 Rickover Road Silver Spring, MD 20902 301 942-9695

FromName	Nick Maravell
FromAddress	nickmaravell@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Proposed MSI Lease on Brickyard Road Site
Body	Cynthia Brenneman Office of Real Estate General Services Administration Montgomery County 101 Monroe Street Rockville, MD April 13, 21012 Dear Ms. Brenneman: The following are my objections to the proposed agreement between Montgomery County and Montgomery Soccer, Inc. regarding the development and operation of soccer fields at the Brickyard Road site. I recommend that the County reject this agreement and not sign it. • Not enough bidders: In many instances, if only one bidder responds or is qualified for a solicitation, the issuing agency would reconsider the entire project for several reasons: 1) there is no competition; 2) the project as presented may be flawed to begin with; 3) there is insufficient demonstrated need to proceed with the project; and/or 4) the project is too narrowly defined allowing only one entity to respond. • Speedy decision problematic: The acceptance of MSI's proposal follows the consistent pattern of the County and School Board evading due diligence to ensure the public interest is being protected. The timeline for awarding the Sublease to applicants under a proposed the RFP was supposed to be in June/July. The speedy decision process in March of less than one month after the RFQ closed indicates a lack of thorough investigation of bids received and is likely to lead to hasty decisions necessitating numerous amendments to the Sublease and a misrepresentation of the final sublease to the public at this point in time. The taxpayers should be protected by the County following deliberate and normal procedures. • Circumventing normal contract procedures: The County had announced it would issue a "Request for Proposals" (RFP), but instead it avoided defined procurement terms and regulations by issuing a request for "qualifications" (RFQ). This process calls into question the appropriateness and legality of this entire awarding process. • Improper cancellation of pre-bid conference and site inspection: The County website had announced a pre-bid

conference and site inspection for early February 2012. Without any public or reasonable advance notice, this pre-conference and site visit was cancelled. This procedure is unexplainable and irregular. Given that the County only recognizes one bidder on the Sublease, a question arises as to whether the County had already made its decision on the award of the Sublease and did not need to schedule a pre-bid conference. How did the County know there would not be other bidders showing up for the pre-bid conference? Did the county notify any other prospective bidders?

• Private sports organization problematic on school land: There is no precedent for a private organization to build and operate pay-for-play sports fields on school land. This action violates Maryland State law (Education Articles 4-114 and 4-708) and is not consistent with Montgomery County Regulations, the Potomac Sub Region Master Plan and Zoning Ordinances. The submitted Brickyard Educational Farm (BEF) proposal would be consistent with an educational purpose on school property.

• Decision-making without heeding public input is unacceptable: This decision to award an Agreement for soccer field construction and operation to MSI has been discussed almost entirely behind closed doors. The intent to proceed with private development for pay-for-play soccer fields was discussed at two public meetings in Potomac. At both meetings, the overwhelming majority of opinions expressed opposition to soccer fields and support for a farming use, such as proposed by BEF.

In addition, the County's control of the Brickyard parcel is predicated on a lease from the Board of Education based on behind closed doors discussion, which were found in violation of the Open Meetings Act by the State Compliance Board. This process should restart and follow proper procedures and have community input. BEF is willing to abide by such an open and transparent process.

• Brickyard Educational Farm(BEF) proposal preserves a unique and irreplaceable public asset:

The County did not properly evaluate the proposal that was submitted by Brickyard Educational Farm to establish an educational farm on the site. Failure to evaluate that proposal properly shows that a thorough investigation was not conducted in reaching a decision.

In a letter from Kassa Seyoum, the project manager, the county states that its lease from the school board only permits the construction and maintenance of playing fields and nothing else. Therefore the BEF proposal was not considered. This position seems inconsistent with the award of a development proposal to MSI because that proposal includes more uses than playing fields. The County should properly evaluate the BEF proposal.

The BEF proposal incorporates the only organic seed farm in

Montgomery County, preserving a unique 32 year old asset for the citizens of the County. There are already about 500 places to play soccer in the County, and soccer fields could be located at other sites that would be more appropriate and closer to the communities that actually need them. The Brickyard parcel in its current use is an irreplaceable asset to Montgomery County that should be valued, not destroyed. The MSI proposal does not preserve a unique asset.

• BEF proposal provides required educational outdoor opportunities on school property: Brickyard Educational Farm offers programs that fulfill the Maryland environmental literacy standards through the No Child Left Inside initiative and the Jane Lawton Farm to School law, and provides an innovative experiential learning model. These activities are proposed on school land that is kept in fiduciary trust by the Montgomery County Board of Education to carry out its mandated educational responsibilities. The MSI Sublease primarily provides development of pay-for–play soccer for private soccer leagues, and it does not satisfy any educational responsibility of the local board of education.

Respectfully,

Nick Maravell
8565 Horseshoe Lane
Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Nicki Newman
FromAddress	nicnewmanlab@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer project
Body	

I would like to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. I hope that there will be continued work to develop additional fields throughout the county in addition to improving the current conditions. Thank you for your help.
Nicki Newman11285 Beekman PlacePotomac, Md 20854
□□ □ □□

FromName	Niclas Bogren
FromAddress	nbogren@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Dear Sirs, My daughter has played MSI soccer for 5 years now. It's a great opportunity and she has a lot of fun. Please continue with the planning and construction of the Brickyard Soccer Project. It would be great to have more soccer fields in Montgomery County. Nic Bogren

FromName	Nisa Muhammad
FromAddress	nisaislam@mac.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	More soccer fields
Body	Please do what you can to help us have more and improved soccer fields. Nisa Muhammad 2115 Arcola Avenue Silver Spring, Md. 20902 Sent from my iPad

FromName	Nora Fitzpatrick
FromAddress	norasteph@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Please Support the Brickyard Road Soccer Project
Body	Our family supports the Brickyard Road Soccer Project. Please work toward developing more and better soccer fields throughout the county. Nora Fitzpatrick and Robert Stephens 429 Phelps Street Gaithersburg, MD 20878

FromName	Norman Gordon
FromAddress	NGordon@gpchurch.org
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To Whom It May Concern: I have two girls in the MSI Soccer program, one in the Classic program, and there is a dire need for more soccer fields in this area. It is a deal-breaker for my daughters because I am a pastor and for religious convictions, we cannot play on Sundays. Without more fields, I'm afraid too many of the games will be held on Sundays and I will have to pull my girls out of the program. If the Brickyard Soccer Project can make a difference, I strongly urge all the powers that be to work hard to make it happen. Sports in Montgomery County is an integral part of student development and we need to continue this great tradition here. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Rev. Norman G. Gordon Associate Pastor for Nurture Gaithersburg Presbyterian Church 610 S. Frederick Ave. Gaithersburg, MD 20877 301-948-9418 x124 www.gpchurch.org

FromName	O'Donnell, Catherine
FromAddress	Catherine_ODonnell@mcpsmd.org
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer AND educational farm... what a great combination
Body	To Whom it May Concern: I am writing to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. I think the interest in soccer in this county is fantastic. It is a game that rewards teamwork over individual showmanship and is an excellent way to start kids off on a path to a healthy, fit lifestyle. The high interest has had an unfortunate side-effect in that the fields are overused and it is difficult to keep them maintained at the level we would like for our kids. It also makes scheduling (and rescheduling when weather interferes) much more difficult than it needs to be. I believe the children and families in the county would benefit greatly from careful development of additional playing spaces. I think the idea that the fields would share space with an Organic Agricultural Educational Center is fantastic! What a great thing to visit before and after games. I can envision a beautiful multi-use destination lined with "par course" fitness trails and bordered by plots for community organic gardening. Picnic areas made from "green" materials... I think we can have our soccer and our organic education too. I hope the planning team will work hard to find a way to help us have the best of both initiatives. Thank you! Catherine O'Donnell 14311 Woodcrest Dr. Rockville, MD 20853

FromName	Olcott, Douglas M
FromAddress	Douglas.M.Olcott@ehi.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	support for the Brickyard Soccer Project!!!
Body	As a Potomac, MD resident I urge the county to support the Brickyard Soccer Project and to encourage the county to develop more and better soccer fields throughout Montgomery County. Thank you for your attention! Doug Olcott 13314 Drews Lane Potomac, MD 20854 _____ CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed and may contain confidential and privileged information protected by law. If you received this e-mail in error, any review, use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of the e-mail is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail and delete all copies from your system.

FromName	Olga Bloch
FromAddress	olgabloch22@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Hi, I am writing to support the Brickyard Soccer Project and would love to see our soccer fields improved and the expansion of more soccer fields in Montgomery County. My address is 14112 Calabash Lane, Rockville, MD 20850 Olga Bloch, LCMFT Loving Families, Inc. www.lovingfamilies.net www.askolga.blogspot.com (240) 252-5967- office (305) 788-0069- cell

FromName	Olga Tarunina
FromAddress	otarunina@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I write this letter to support the Brickyard Soccer Project. Thanks, Olga Tarunina5942 Lemay Rd Rockville MD 20851 □□ □ □□

FromName	Outlook - Ken Shanks
FromAddress	Ken.Shanks@outlookintl.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Montgomery Country Soccer Fields
Body	Dear sir or Madame; We want to express our support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. With two children in the MSI soccer programs we know the value that the soccer programs in the county brings to all the kids that participate. We encourage Montgomery county to work to develop more and better soccer fields throughout the County. Ken and Christine Shanks 8820 Chalon Drive, Bethesda MD 20817

FromName	Pam Toole
FromAddress	pstoole28@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	NOT IN FAVOR of Brickyard Soccer Field
Body	Local community farming is of greater need for our future than another soccer field.

FromName	Pamela Yerg
FromAddress	jeyclan@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support of the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Our family is in strong support of the Brickyard Soccer Project and the development of more and improved soccer fields in Montgomery County. Our children were raised with MSI soccer and the benefit that this organization serving over 1400 youth in soccer programs is huge! Additional and improved soccer fields can only provide added benefit to our neighborhoods and communities and serve our youth well providing for exercise and team sports opportunities. In strong support, John E. and Pamela D. Yerg 10204 Colebrook Avenue - Potomac - MD 20854 Pamela D. Yerg, Area Director Special Olympics Maryland - Montgomery "Be a Fan of Possibilities!" www.somdmontgomery.org <http://www.somdmontgomery.org> 301-424-3083 SOMO Office 1-888-924-6965 SOMO Hotline

FromName	Parr, Bob R
FromAddress	Bob.Parr@morganstanleysmithbarney.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer at Brickyard
Body	To whom it may concern: Please know that I am in support of the project at Brickyard as a necessary improvement to our community. Bob Parr 12314 Riding Fields Rd Rockville, MD 20850 --- Important Notice to Recipients: Please do not use e-mail to request, authorize or effect the purchase or sale of any security or commodity. Unfortunately, we cannot execute such instructions provided in e-mail. Thank you. The sender of this e-mail is an employee of Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC. If you have received this communication in error, please destroy all electronic and paper copies and notify the sender immediately. Erroneous transmission is not intended to waive confidentiality or privilege. Morgan Stanley Smith Barney reserves the right, to the extent permitted under applicable law, to monitor electronic communications. This message is subject to terms available at the following link: http://www.morganstanley.com/disclaimers/mssbemail.html. If you cannot access this link, please notify us by reply message and we will send the contents to you. By messaging with Morgan Stanley Smith Barney you consent to the foregoing.

FromName	Patrick Saudek Cusack
FromAddress	patscusack@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support the Brickyard Soccer Project and more fields!
Body	Hello, I'm writing this to let you know that I fully support the Brickyard Soccer Project and the construction and maintenance of soccer fields throughout Montgomery County. Thank you, Pat Cusack 3120 Coquelin Terrace Chevy Chase, MD 20815

FromName	pauffret@worldbank.org
FromAddress	pauffret@worldbank.org
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Important Message from MSI
Body	Our community is in a desperate need for more and improved soccer fields. I owuld appreciate your support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. I would also appreciate it if you could develop more and better fields throughout the County. Philippe Auffret 1237 Noyes Drive, Silver Spring, MD 2910 Regards, Philippe

FromName	PAUL CAMMAROTO
FromAddress	pac4seas@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer
Body	I completely support the MSI Brickyard Project. It is desperately needed and it is putting my county assets to good use for our children and the future of our envied quality of life. MSI has my support Paul Cammaroto (property tax payer and voter) 10505 Unity Lane Potomac MD 20854

FromName	Paul Kasnic
FromAddress	paul.kasnic@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I encourage you to work to develop more and better soccer fields throughout Montgomery County and I support the Brickyard Soccer Project. Paul Kasnic 9005 Flower Ave Silver Spring MD 20901

FromName	Peggy Dennis
FromAddress	hotyakker@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Road School site lease
Body	To whom it May Concern: Our entire family - an ex-MSI soccer family - strongly dispproves of the proposed lease with MSI. MSI is supposed to be a youth soccer league, not a recreational facility developer. This is no different than if the county were leasing land to a private country club to build a golf course which the club would then control and use for their members. The entire process (no surplussing of the land, no public input or hearings before the Board of Education was prevailed on to turn over the lease to the Exec, no competitive bidding for the lease and only one respondant to the RFP) was flawed and highly unethical. It puts our entire government in a very bad light. Peggy, Bob, Peter and Alex Dennis 11115 Fawsett Road, Potomac, 20854 P.S. - your website is very deficient. It gives no list of personnel or "contact us" feature. We can't even find out how to spell your name!

FromName	Peter Billingsley
FromAddress	pbillingsley@sanaria.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Development of soccer fields at Brickyard
Body	To whom it may concern: I would like to offer my full support to the full development of the soccer fields at the Brickyard site in Potomac. As I am sure you are well aware, soccer as a sport continues to grow in participation at all levels in the USA and Maryland continues to lead the charge, along with a few other hotspots, for high playing standards. Such high standards can only be reached with the combination of committed organizations such as MSI being given the physical resources that they need. Having a son who has played soccer all his life and, over the last 5 years here in Maryland, it is clear that the availability of playing fields that are affordable and readily available is limited in the area. I trust Montgomery County will continue to develop sports in general, soccer in particular and the Brickyard fields specifically. Yours faithfully Peter Billingsley Peter F. Billingsley PhD Senior Director, Entomology and Quality Systems Sanaria Inc. 9800 Medical Center Dr., Suite A209 Rockville, MD 20850 tel: 240-403-2721 direct dial tel: 301-770-3222 main dial fax: 301-770-5554 pbillingsley@sanaria.com<mailto:employee@sanaria.com> www.sanaria.com<http://www.sanaria.com> This communication, as well as any and all attachments to this communication, constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 USC 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication and attachment may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient and receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient does not constitute a loss of the confidential or privileged nature of the communication. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT DO NOT OPEN THE ATTACHMENTS. Please contact the sender by return electronic mail and delete all copies of this communication. This E-mail, along with any attachments, is considered

	confidential and may well be legally privileged. If you have received it in error, you are on notice of its status. Please notify us immediately by reply e-mail and then delete this message from your system. Please do not copy it or use it for any purposes, or disclose its contents to any other person. Thank you for your cooperation.
FromName	Peter Kimm
FromAddress	pmkimm@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Comments Disapproval of MSI Lease
Body	We strongly oppose this agreement with MSI. We are offended by the heavy-handed and secret process which violates the spirit of open governance. Peter and Grace Kimm 8501 Horseshoe Lane Potomac MD 20854
FromName	Peter Kimm
FromAddress	pmkimm@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Road Lease
Body	We very much oppose the lease, which was developed secretely, over the objections of the community. The process should be started over with full discussion and participation. Grace and Peter Kimm 8501 Horseshoe Lane Potomac MD 20854 Sent from my iPad

FromName	Peter.Nickoloff@treasury.gov
FromAddress	Peter.Nickoloff@treasury.gov
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Dear Montgomery County Council Members: As my children are recreational soccer players in MSI, I support the Brickyard Soccer Project, including the proposal that calls for an Organic Agricultural Educational Center to be co-located on the site. Sincerely, Peter Nickoloff 6217 Rockhurst Road Bethesda, MD 20817

FromName	PeterKorolkoff@aol.com
FromAddress	PeterKorolkoff@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Road Project
Body	I wanted to voice my support for the Brickyard Road soccer field project. There are not enough fields in the county, and at a time when childhood obesity and health related issues are at an all time high, the county should be making every effort possible to provide venues for our children to lead healthy, active lives. Thank you Peter Korolkoff 11223 Welland Street North Potomac, MD 20878

FromName	phil frayne
FromAddress	fraynep@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	More Soccer Fields!
Body	I would like to express my strong support for new and improved soccer fields for our kids in Montgomery County - The Brickyard Soccer Project. I have seen too many badly twisted ankles and other injuries on the current fields, which are overused. Please do what you can to ensure our kids are playing on easily accessible fields in good shape. Thank you, Philip Frayne 8109 Lilly Stone Drive Bethesda, MD. 20817 □□ □ □□

FromName	Phil Matthews
FromAddress	philmatthews@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard fields
Body	I DO NOT support this. Although we are avid soccer fans, this has been mismanaged and disingenuous from the start. Further, the county claims to have such field availability issues but half the time at the practices I attend the fields are not completely occupied. I think the county doesn't know how to manage the capacity. Phil Matthews 7912 Coach St Potomac, MD 20854 Sent from my iPad

FromName	Phillip Staub
FromAddress	ptstaub@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Anyone involved with youth sports knows that more playing fields are needed throughout the county. It appears that MSI's revised plan balances the need for additional soccer fields in our area with the interests of organic farming and education, all three of which I support. I would also support further environmental considerations such as a permeable parking lot. Sincerely, Phill Staub 18510 Rushbrooke Dr. Olney, MD

FromName	pierre welch
FromAddress	pierrewelch@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Against the Brickyard Road soccer field complex!
Body	Please note that I am against the construction of the Brickyard Road soccer field complex. The process by which the MSI was able to do this, and the non transparent manner under which they, and the county council have conducted themselves, makes this a sleezy public-private partnership (PPP) tainted from the beginning. The demolition of an organic farm is a whole other issue, but it is not a small issue to many. County Executive Isiah Leggett should be ashamed of himself. He should either resign or fix this fast-track and much tainted PPP. Pierre Welch 7700 Hackamore Dr. Potomac, MD 20854 (301) 983-3992

FromName	pierrewelch@verizon.net
FromAddress	pierrewelch@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Against the Brickyard Road soccer complex construction!
Body	Please note that I am against the construction of the Brickyard Road soccer field complex. The process by which the MSI was able to do this, and the non transparent manner under which they, and the county council have conducted themselves, makes this a sleezy public-private partnership (PPP) tainted from the beginning. The demolition of an organic farm is a whole other issue, but it is not a small issue to many. County Executive Isiah Leggett should be ashamed of himself. He should either resign or fix this fast-track and much tainted PPP. Pierre Welch 7700 Hackamore Dr. Potomac, MD 20854 (301) 983-3992

FromName	Politis, Alexander (NIH/CSR) [E]
FromAddress	politisa@csr.nih.gov
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	My family has been involved in Montgomery County Soccer for many years. All three of my children have played soccer. Two of them are still playing-one in MSI Classic and the other in MSI Recreational Soccer. I have coached in MSI for several years. The kids need better fields. Alexander D. Politis 2 Belinder Road Gaithersburg, MD 20878

FromName	R Tangirala
FromAddress	rstangirala@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	We support the Brickyard Soccer Project and encourage devel
Body	We support the Brickyard Soccer Project and encourage developing more and better fields throughout the County ----------Tangirala 15604 Fellowship WayNorth Potomac, MD 20878 E-mail: rstangirala@yahoo.com

FromName	Rachel Brash
FromAddress	rbrash@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Road Soccer Field Project
Body	Dear Sir or Madam, I am writing to express my support for the Brickyard Road Soccer Field Project. As the mother of one MSI soccer player and one future player, I am aware of the need for more soccer fields in the county. This appears to be a carefully thought-out project, and I hope to see it approved and completed. Thanks you for your attention. Sincerely, Rachel Brash 10721 Saint Paul Street Kensington, MD 20895 301-946-1211

FromName	Rachel Soifer
FromAddress	rSoifer@cesjds.org
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	MSI fields
Body	My family benefits greatly from the MSI soccer program. I have two daughters who are 9yr and 11yrs who have been happily playing soccer for years. Their dad is now an assistant coach so soccer practices and games are a great and healthy family activity. Please do all you call to continue to upkeep and develop well maintained soccer feilds. It is a great service for so many families. Sincerely, Rachel Soifer 14741 Dufief Mill Road Gaithersburg MD 20878

FromName	rafe.petersen@hklaw.com
FromAddress	rafe.petersen@hklaw.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I strongly support the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am writing you to express my strong support for more and better soccer fields. As a parent of three kids (and a MSI coach), I am painfully aware of the incredible deficit of fields in our county. In particular, I urge you to help make the Brickyard Soccer Project a reality. I believe it strikes a good balance between competing uses. Those that oppose our kids' recreation, while vocal, are a small minority. Moreover, I also believe that we need more fields in the Bethesda Chevy Chase area such as at North Chevy Chase local park. Regards, Rafe Petersen 3200 Farmington Drive Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815. Rafe Petersen | Holland & Knight Partner 2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 100 | Washington DC 20006 Phone 202.419.2481 | Fax 202.955.5564 rafe.petersen@hklaw.com<mailto:rafe.petersen@hklaw.com> | www.hklaw.com<http://www.hklaw.com/> _____ Add to address book<http://www.hklaw.com/vcard.aspx?user=Rapeters> | View professional biography<http://www.hklaw.com/id77/biosRapeters> _____ ****IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS IMPOSED BY THE IRS, WE INFORM YOU THAT ANY TAX ADVICE CONTAINED IN THIS COMMUNICATION (INCLUDING ANY ATTACHMENTS) IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN BY HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED, FOR THE PURPOSE OF (I) AVOIDING TAX-RELATED PENALTIES UNDER THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE, OR (II) PROMOTING, MARKETING, OR RECOMMENDING TO ANOTHER PARTY ANY TAX-RELATED MATTER HEREIN.**** _____ NOTE: This e-mail is from a law firm, Holland & Knight LLP ("H&K"), and is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is addressed. If you believe you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately, delete the e-mail from your computer and do

	not copy or disclose it to anyone else. If you are not an existing client of H&K, do not construe anything in this e-mail to make you a client unless it contains a specific statement to that effect and do not disclose anything to H&K in reply that you expect it to hold in confidence. If you properly received this e-mail as a client, co-counsel or retained expert of H&K, you should maintain its contents in confidence in order to preserve the attorney-client or work product privilege that may be available to protect confidentiality.
FromName	ramon rodriguez
FromAddress	ramon_pr@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	
Body	Ramon Rodriguez 8510 16th Silver Spring, I'll like to take this oportunity to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project, and to encourage the county to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Thanks. Ramon
FromName	Randa Hudome
FromAddress	randafahmyhudome@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Transparency for Brickyard
Body	I do NOT support the Brickyard Soccer Project with MSI. The Montgomery County Government and the County Executive violated the open meeting laws and did not take this decision in a transparency, open, accountable and democratic manner. Randa Hudome10401 Buckboard PlacePotomac MD 20854

FromName	Randy Anderson
FromAddress	randyanderson747@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Fields
Body	I'm writing in support of developing recreational fields, including for soccer, on the Brickyard corridor. I have been a Montgomery County residence all of my life. Currently I reside at 7009 Kenhill Road, Bethesda, 20817. -- Randy Anderson 240.876.6186 randyanderson747@gmail.com

FromName	Randy McDonald
FromAddress	randyevanmcdonald@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I write to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. There is indeed a great shortage of places for our children to play in the county. Further, and more importantly, building places for our children to play helps our county fight obesity, which is a substantial crisis in our community. My address and contact information are below. Thank you. Randy E. McDonald 12712 Veirs Mill Rd. #201 Rockville, MD 20853 (240)491-7609

FromName	Rao, Chhaya
FromAddress	chhaya.rao@lmco.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Agreement
Body	This is to record my strong disapproval of Montgomery County's handling of the agreement reached with MSI for soccer fields on Brickyard Road. I fully support a new and completely transparent process that is in compliance with County law to determine the best use for the Brickyard property. Name: Ms. Chhaya S. Rao Address: 5 Brent Court, Potomac MD 20854 Telephone # : 301-518-4509 (cell); 301-983-3097 (home) Thank you ========== Chhaya S. Rao email: Chhaya.Rao@lmco.com

FromName	RBlack5367@aol.com
FromAddress	RBlack5367@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	I totally object to the lease of the Brickyard Road property (formerly dedicated to school use) to the private/commercial organization known as Montgomery Soccer (MSI). There is no doubt in my mind that MSI is a "for profit" commercial organization that will limit their soccer playing fields only to those who are willing to pay to use the fields or to join one of their soccer leagues. This was never the intent of the Potomac Master Plan. I am against the lease of this property to MSI. A. P. Black

FromName	RBlack5367@aol.com
FromAddress	RBlack5367@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS;rblack5367@aol.com
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	MSI and the proposed soccer fields on Brickyard Rd
Body	I have lived near the Brickyard site for decades and am totally against MSI's proposal to put soccer fields there. I have absolutely no doubt that MSI plans to "get their foot in the door" with their claim that it is a small project of an ..."initial development of two new fields." Without doubt that small project will balloon into four or five full size fields, with lights, long hours of play, restrooms, concessionaires, etc., etc. in the very near future. There is no "desperate need for more and improved soccer fields" around here - count the ones that are close by such as at Avenel and other nearby locations. We certainly do not need the Brickyard location to be turned into a soccerplex with hundreds of cars streaming through our residential area that already has had to put bumps on Brickyard road to slow down the enormous number of rush hour cars headed to and from the Clara Barton Parkway. Doug Schuessler has sent out an e-mail requesting support for MSI and expressing hope and belief that most have appreciated their restraint in running counter to the desires of this neighborhood. He fails to point out that all of this MESS is because it was envisioned, concocted, and pushed almost to this point in total secrecy because "they", MSI, knew the community would reject their proposal. As to his assertion that "Additionally, and importantly, our proposal specifically calls for an Organic Agricultural Educational Center to be co-located on the site, and actually provides more than twice as much land for the Agricultural Center than the community has requested" appears to be false: just look at the architect/planners drawing of the proposed fields with

the postage stamp sized educational agricultural facility up in the far
corner without visible access by road . Whose's kidding who?

Yes, I'm angry about this underhanded proposal to put a commercial soccer
complex on the school property on Brickyard Road. Please, reject the MSI
proposal. Our County deserves transparency and clarity from its elected
officials (and departments) who are in their positions to serve the community
openly and fairly.

A. P. Black, 7204 Masters Drive, Potomac, MD 301-299-2199

FromName	rbrot@aol.com
FromAddress	rbrot@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	soccer fields
Body	I support the development of soccer fields at the Brickyard Road site. Bob Brothers 9804 Clover Lane Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Rebecca Ehrman
FromAddress	rehrmandc@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Good morning, I just want to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. I have 2 children who play soccer and the outdoor exercise is essential for their health. We need to develop more and better fields throughout the county and we are excited about the possibility to create new and much-improved fields for the kids to enjoy. We need your help to encourage our government to help make that happen! Sincerely, Rebecca Ehrman 904 Hurley Avenue Rockville, MD 20850

FromName	Renee Funk
FromAddress	potomac.audio@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Comments
Body	I am stunned, appalled and disgusted at the so called "award" to MSI, on Brickyard Road. Award with 1 bidder? No public hearing and advance notice to a community that supports this county with generous taxes? Many more thoughts come to mind: abuse of power, abuse of trust, greed, trade in award for rewards? Under the table... etc. This thing reeks to high heaven. You have lost a communities' trust and confidence in its local government, and created a lot of resentment. Definitely not respectfully, Renate T. Funk 8525 Brickyard Road Potomac, Md. 20854 Ph: 301 299 7727

FromName	Reporreco@aol.com
FromAddress	Reporreco@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	(no subject)
Body	I am strongly against the sale of the farm on Brickyard Road to the Soccer Association. It will have a serious and destructive effect on the neighbored such as on the traffic and water supply. Rocco Porreco, 10809 Belfast Place, Potomac

FromName	Rhea Thornton
FromAddress	rheadt@earthlink.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	I disapprove of the current efforts to lease the Brickyard Road site to MSI for use as "pay to play" soccer fields. The process has been marked by an astonishing lack of transparency or opportunity for public discussion. I am a resident of Montgomery County and to date I have not become aware of any research that addresses even the most basic questions pertaining to potential uses of the site. For example: 1. What other uses of the site were considered? 2. Is the value of the organic seed farm to Maryland residents & its farming industry clearly less valuable? 3. Are "pay to play" soccer fields clearly the use that best serves the residents of Montgomery County? 4. Who in particular will benefit from the proposed change in use? 5. Have traffic impact studies been completed, and if so, what have they shown? 6. Who will bear the cost of road improvements that would clearly be needed to support any material increase in traffic volumes in this area? 7. Given the popularity of this area to bicyclists, have safety issues been considered? Consideration given to adding bicycle lanes? 8. In the event that traffic & safety considerations have not been adequately addressed, have potential implications on the real estate values and related tax assessments been considered?

The motivation of the County Executive's office in pursuing this lease is
unclear; at best it appears to be not well considered.

Sincerely,

Rhea D Thornton

8553 Horseshoe Lane

Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Rhett Asher
FromAddress	rhettasher@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To Whom it May Concern, I want to express my deep support of the Brickyard Soccer Project and the development of more and improved soccer fields in Montgomery County. Being a MC resident for most of my life, having two daughters in MC schools, and whom both play soccer in MC, I would love to see this project approved. Thank you. Rhett Rhett Asher 19228 Treadway Road Brookeville, MD 20833 301-570-4826

FromName	Ricardo Larios
FromAddress	lariosricardo@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I support field improvements
Body	To whom it may concern, my wife & I are writing in support of the field improvements needed for our MSI soccer teams in Montgomery county. Thank you Mr & Mrs Larios 11237 Bybee St Silver Spring, MD 20902 R.L

FromName	Rich Smolen
FromAddress	richsmolen@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I support Soccer
Body	To whom it may concern, As a long time resident of the county who raised a family here, I am writing to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project and other efforts to provide and maintain sports facilities for county youth. Regards, Rich Smolen 14457 Settlers Landing Way North Potomac, MD 20878

FromName	Richard Vernon
FromAddress	rgvernon@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	The County's, and especially Ike Leggett's behavior in connection with the Brickyard School public property is shameful. Its and his behavior are improper and illegal. The voters of Montgomery County deserve a transparent process that is legal, for determining the best use of the Brickyard property. Richard G. Vernon, Esquire 10504 Stable Lane Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Richard Watts
FromAddress	wattsricandsal@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	MSI Lease on Brickyard Road
Body	We are opposed to the County signing the proposed lease with MSI. It could be that soccer fields is the best use of that land, but the County has not followed the appropriate process for involving the community in the decision, so we are opposed to taking this action. We are quite upset that Mr. Leggett, whom we have supported in the past, would bypass the appropriate procedure and sign this lease. Richard and Sally Watts 10508 Stable Lane Potomac,

FromName	Richard Waugaman, M.D.
FromAddress	rwmd@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Nick's Farm
Body	I am protesting the MSI lease of the county school property on Brickyard Road because it was done in secret without any input or consideration of the multiple neighborhoods that will be affected—and without regard to the master plan which home buyers consult before purchasing a home. If this lease is allowed to proceed, there will not be a piece of public property anywhere in the county that will be safe from appropriation for private use. Richard M. Waugaman, M.D. Training & Supervising Analyst Emeritus, Washington Psychoanalytic Institute Clinical Professor of Psychiatry, Georgetown University School of Medicine Reader, Folger Shakespeare Library email: rwmd@comcast.net or waugamar@georgetown.edu 301-654-9771

FromName	Richard Wilhelm
FromAddress	richgolf@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	SUPPORTING THE BRICKYARD SOCCER PROJECT
Body	Just to let you know of my support for the Brickyard Soccer field project. There aren't enough decent soccer fields that are even close to the Beltway and not 28 miles out. Please support this worthy project for the thousands of kids that play MSI soccer today & tomorrow. Richard Wilhelm 4507 Woodfield Rd. Kensington, MD 20895

FromName	richard@designedwireless.com
FromAddress	richard@designedwireless.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Re: Soccer fields
Body	I forgot to give my name. Richard Reynolds 13622 Mills Farm Rd Rockville, MD 20850 PH: 3017282000 Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile -----Original Message----- From: richard@designedwireless.com Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2012 22:27:25 To: <DGS.ORE@montgomerycountymd.gov> Reply-To: richard@designedwireless.com Subject: Soccer fields This is to express my support for the Brickyard soccer project. The current fields we use in this county, other than the Germantown soccer complex, are horrible. We are in dire need for better facilities. Compared to other counties in the area, we are missing the boat. The game is exploding in popularity and the utility gain from having quality facilities far outweighs the cost in my opinion. Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

FromName	richard@designedwireless.com
FromAddress	richard@designedwireless.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer fields
Body	This is to express my support for the Brickyard soccer project. The current fields we use in this county, other than the Germantown soccer complex, are horrible. We are in dire need for better facilities. Compared to other counties in the area, we are missing the boat. The game is exploding in popularity and the utility gain from having quality facilities far outweighs the cost in my opinion. Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

FromName	Rieman Dasuki
FromAddress	Rdasuki@aflcio.org
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project
Body	Dear Sir/Madam, We are in support of the project. Rieman Dasuki 11628 Moorestown Place North Potomac, 20878 301-251-2951

FromName	River Falls Swim & Tennis
FromAddress	riverfallsclub@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Opposed to brickyard soccer project
Body	To Whom it May Concern- I want to write to express that I am NOT in favor of the Brickyard Soccer Project. While I am not in favor of the fields replacing the current organic farm, I am extremely disturbed by the lack of transparency by elected officials who were voted into office to represent the greater good. I am still hopeful that these officials will do the right thing and halt this process. Sincerely, Laura Gwyn 7916 Horseshoe Lane Potomac, MD 20854 Sent from my iPhone

FromName	RNormanDWR@aol.com
FromAddress	RNormanDWR@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Disapproval MSI Brickyard Road Soccer Fields
Body	Hello, I disapprove of the MSI Brickyard Road Soccer Field decision and flawed process. Richard Norman 7520 Hackamore Drive-- Potomac, Maryland 20854.

FromName	rob.madill@gmail.com
FromAddress	rob.madill@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	As a resident and participant in Montgomery County Soccer I support the Brickyard Soccer Project to build both new soccer fields as well as the agricultural educational center. Best regards, Rob Madill 1900 Lyttonsville Road #207 Silver Spring MD 20910

FromName	Robert Askin
FromAddress	askin@ProMortgageCorp.COM
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer fields at Brick yard Rd
Body	I want to register my support for the project regarding soccer fields at Brickyard RD. I am a Montgomery County resident 8724 Wandering Trail Dr Potomac, MD 20854 & have soccer fields in my Neighborhood Falls RD below Hadley Park. I am strongly in support of more soccer fields being built for county use as there is already a severe shortage of fields in the county given the high level of participation in soccer. While the fields in my neighborhood do in fact make for more traffic the use of public lands for organized activities that get people exercising should be supported. Robert Askin

FromName	robert elliott
FromAddress	rjelhe@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Comments
Body	We are longtime Potomac residents, residing for more than 40 years in River Falls. We are very concerned with the proposed development of the Brickyard Rd property for soccer fields, particularly because the roads serving this area are so narrow. They are 2 lanes, with two-way traffic, and no side areas for pull off or bypassing. Emergency vehicles will find it difficult if not impossible to serve our community or those using the soccer-field property. The games will inevitably involve injuries and the County and MSI will deservedly suffer exposure to liability because of the inability of emergency vehicles to reach the injured. I do not recall seeing any traffic studies, but certainly they should have been prepared and circulated and made the subject of hearings before any approval of the proposed development. The intended usage will create large traffic jams from team members and their families, and commercial vehicles providing food and drink services. It is also important to realize that each field will not only be used for two teams and their families, but also by two additional teams and their families for the follow-on games. Multiply that by four (4 fields) and you have significant traffic overflow and congestion. We strongly oppose the development and rental of this property to MSI for soccer fields Linda and Robert Elliott

FromName	Robert Johnston
FromAddress	rj.johnston@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer lease comments
Body	To whom it may concern: we would like to express our opposition to the proposed private soccer complex on Brickyard Road. While we support soccer, we would like to see the site preserved for a school. Moreover, we share our neighbor's concerns about excessive traffic and unwelcome vending trucks. Finally, it is clear the community was not offered reasonable consultation. As such we ask that this proposal be abandoned. Robert & Heather Johnston 7805 Stable Way Potomac MD 20854 301-424-9338

FromName	Robert Kramer
FromAddress	kramerbob@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	DISAPPROVE of MSI Lease for Brickyard Soccer Fields
Body	Sirs-- I strongly disapprove the lease agreement made with MSI for the construction and operation of soccer fields on the Brickyard Rd School public property. Especially unsatisfactory is the secret, behind closed doors manner in which this "deal' was struck with/by the County Executive, totally lacking prior County citizens' input. I also question the charges to MSI or any other private concern for use of this County/School property. Our real estate taxes are high. Any user of our collective County property must pay the same relative per square foot rate as County residents are required to pay. Robert Kramer 7608 River Falls Dr Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Rock
FromAddress	fpejobs@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project
Body	I express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. Shi Meng 10915 whiterim dr, 20854

FromName	Rod Rydlun
FromAddress	rrydlun@hotmail.com
ToName	Maria FARM Fusco;ORE, DGS
ToAddress	mariagiroux@verizon.net;/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINIST
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments: Keep the Farm, Forget the Parkin
Body	

Gentle People, (if so?)

I am a resident/taxpayer 44 years in Potomac, Montgomery County, Maryland,
20854. For the past 27 years I have resided at #8548 Brickyard Road, in the
original "Fawsett Farm" house, built in 1949, across from the school site, being
carefully tended as an organic seed farm by Nick Maravell for the past 32 years.

I seriously object to a wasting of these 20 certified organic acres.
There is a current topical song...

"Oh no; don't know what you got?
Till it's gone!
Pave over paradise;
and put up a parking lot?"

Such irresponsible conduct in the rape and pillage of such a rare
and valued resource is enough for me to move away. I cannot be
a party to such a fiasco.

Rod re-fired @ 301 983 9408 'druthers

□□ □ □□

FromName	Romano, Andrew
FromAddress	ARomano@intrexon.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer
Body	I support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. Please provide your support to develop more and better fields throughout the County. This is badly needed. Thank you Andy Romano 18011 Bliss Drive Poolesville, MD _____ CONFIDENTIAL TRANSMISSION - This message, including any attachments, is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete it without further distribution and reply to the sender that you have received the message in error.

FromName	Ron Speakman
FromAddress	ron.speakman1@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Comments-Strongly oppose!
Body	As a property owner in River Falls subdivision in Montgomery County, MD, I strongly oppose and object to the "secret" deal made between Montgomery County and Montgomery Soccer, Inc. Ronald D. Speakman 7400 Masters Drive Potomac, MD 20854 703-627-9890

FromName	Roxanne Sweeney
FromAddress	roxannels@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	MSI Soccer Fields
Body	As a resident of the River Falls neighborhood, and parent of a former MSI soccer player, I would like to make it VERY clear that I DO NOT IN ANY WAY support the proposed soccer fields at the Brickyard site. There have been no traffic studies and the roadways WILL NOT sufficiently support the volume of traffic that will come with this proposed development. It is likely that the traffic will then begin to use the roads in our residential neighborhood which will become a danger and hazard to way more children than a soccer field is likely to benefit!!! Do NOT promote this development and DO NOT ruin my neighborhood!!! Sincerely, Roxanne Sweeney Masters Drive Potomac, MD Sent from my iPhone

FromName	S Levine
FromAddress	slevine@adamalexis.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	MSI Brickyard Soccer Project IS ILLEGAL & OFFENSIVE
Body	Mr. Schuessler has implied that those who object to his and Mr. Leggett's pet project are really a fringe element. We are in fact a "neighborhood" * not*, as Mr. Schuessler has characterized us, a "small group of neighbors" who "have put forth a stunning level of blatant misinformation". Contrary to that claim, Mr. Leggett, complicit with Mr. Schuessler, has sought to exclude (successfully), deceive and disregard our neighborhood. I would like Mr. Leggett, the "elected" monarch in this debacle, to demonstrate at least one specific instance where he has allowed for constructive and open dialogue with the community - not where the community has had to battle, after the fact of his done deal, from a defensive posture. Apparently, either (a) Mr. Leggett has also misled Mr. Schuessler into thinking that the project in its current iteration is "based upon ...[their - whose?]... listening and being responsive to community concerns" - the facts disprove that on all levels, or (b) Mr. Schuessler, knowing his statement to be patently false, is setting for the young people under his guide a poor example of truth-telling and/or how a democracy functions. Or both. In either event, troubling to its core. So, no, our family does not support the Brickyard Road Project because of how it's come to be. We were formerly huge fans and supporters of MSI and Ike Leggett. They have proven themselves no longer deserving of that support. They can choose to malign people seek to have their voices heard - an approach usually employed by those engaged in malfeasance or guilty of overstepping the bounds of their authority; they can't, however, wipe out

the stain of their fetid contribution to the inexorable rending of the
fabric of democracy and due process.

I'm originally from a poor Third-World country, where rights are
hard-fought and won, if at all. I'm an immigrant, a minority who strongly
supports rights and opportunities for other immigrants, minority groups and
less well-off folks. You can try to attach the NIMBY label as you choose,
but that would be laughable. We all know this project is not about
offering opportunity to such people. What we don't know is why Mr. Leggett
is willing to go to such great lengths to misuse public property and abuse
his discretion, while ruining his integrity, credibility and sense of
decency and honesty.

Very strange. If only I had known that Mr. Leggett valued democracy so
cheaply he would never have had my vote. He embodies dictatorship. What a
terrible shame and disappointment.

Sharron Levine
8620 Brickyard Road
Potomac

FromName Sally Watts

FromAddress wattsal@gmail.com

ToName ORE, DGS

ToAddress /O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE

Subject Attn: Brickyard Comments

Body

We live in River Falls, MD, and are very unhappy with the process by which
the county has decided to enter the lease for the soccer fields at
Brickyard Road. We do not have a strong view on whether soccer fields are
the best use of this land, but we are very disturbed by the closed and
secretive process the county has followed in making this decision.

It is even more disturbing that Ike Leggett, whom we have supported in the
past has engaged in this decision-making process.

Richard and Sally Watts

FromName	Sang Cho
FromAddress	seattym@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project
Body	I support the brickyard soccer project. Sent from my iPhoneBest wishes, Jewell

FromName	Sarah Barpoulis
FromAddress	sarahbarpoulis@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer Fields
Body	To Whom it May Concern: As a Montgomery County resident and mother of 3 kids, I am writing to voice my family's support for additional soccer fields at the proposed Brickyard site and throughout the county. Our kids, including one with Autism, have all reaped the physical and social benefits of being actively involved in a team sport through various MSI and special needs soccer programs. A Potomac resident, I have driven all over the county to various soccer fields over the past decade and a half. The infrequent game in the Potomac area is a true blessing. We create traffic elsewhere and should be willing to pull our weight in hosting at least a handful of fields that would directly benefit our own families and community. Please push ahead with the Brickyard project and improve other sites throughout Potomac and Rockville. With much gratitude, Sarah Barpoulis 9828 Wilden Lane Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Sarah Chun/Northwood Apparel Company
FromAddress	northwoodapparel1@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer fields
Body	We are in support of the Brickyard soccer fields and hope that you will work to create more soccer fields for the kids in the county. We have 2 children who have been involved in soccer for 6 years, and 2 more who will join as they get older. This is a great way to encourage kids to be active. Thank you, Sarah Chun 11430 Georgetowne Drive Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Sarah Kobrin
FromAddress	spektacus@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Better fields for kids' soccer
Body	Hi, Please improve the fields our kids play on every week. Thank you! Sarah Kobrin 3301 Glenway Dr Kensington Sent from my iPad

FromName	Sashidhar Movva
FromAddress	mssk123@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	ATTN BRICKYARD COMMENTS
Body	Hi I am resident of POTOMAC.MD. I strongly oppose development of soccer fields at Brickyard.POTOMAC. Sashidhar S .Movva10411 Oaklyn DrivePotomac MD 20854 □□ □ □□

FromName	sbkarr
FromAddress	st.b.karr@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Proposal
Body	I am writing as a resident of Montgomery County to emphatically express my opposition the the Brickyard Soccer Proposal made to develop the green space on Brickyard Rd, in Potomac. I believe development of that space for such a use would be extremely disruptive of the quality of life for those residing in the area. The infrastructure in the area is not adequate to handle the anticipated volume of traffic and pollution. Please reconsider voiding this project. Thank you for your consideration. Stewart Karr 7804 River Falls Dr Potomac, MD Sent from my iPad

FromName	Scott and Lori Miller
FromAddress	lori_and_scott_miller@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccerfields at Brickyard
Body	Thanks for agreeing to build new soocer fields at Brickyard. The lower county badly needs new recreational fields, and this is a great opportunity for our children. Scott & Lori Miller Potomac

FromName	Scott Durkin
FromAddress	asdurkin@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Dear Ms. Brenneman, I am writing in support of the Brickyard Soccer Project. Both of my daughters have benefited from the experiences they gained while playing soccer for MSI, but finding adequate numbers of quality fields for practice and competition has always been a problem. I would like to encourage the County to develop more and better fields for its residents. I think the Brickyard Soccer Project is a big step in the right direction. Thank you. Sincerly, Scott Durkin ---------- Scott Durkin 19025 Cherry Bend Drive Germantown, MD 20874 (301)528-2089 [home] (301)351-7138 [cell] asdurkin@aol.com

FromName	Scott Goldschein
FromAddress	sbg@pinnaclesettlements.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Development of Soccer Fields at the Brickyard site in Potoma
Body	Dear Sir or Madam: Soccer is booming in Montgomery County, we have thousands of county children, young adults and adults playing soccer in this county with a severe lack of playable fields. If you look at the last 10 years of Public School and Private School State Soccer Championship games in Maryland you will find that Montgomery County teams are in the finals more than teams from other counties in the state. The largest youth soccer program in the country is located here in Montgomery County. The citizens of Montgomery County are committed to Soccer. Please support your constituency by developing Soccer Fields at the Brickyard site in Potomac. Scott B. Goldschein, Esquire THE GOLDSCHEIN LAW FIRM, PC. 2273 Research Boulevard, Suite 701 Rockville,Maryland 20850 (301) 956 2959 (Office) Please note our new address (301) 441 4683 (Facsimile) scott@goldscheinlaw.com ---------- FEDERAL TAX NOTICE: This correspondence is not intended or written to be used, and it cannot be used, by anyone for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed under United States federal tax laws. (The foregoing legend has been affixed pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulations governing tax practice.) CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY: This email may contain confidential and privileged material from The Goldschein Law Firm, PC for the sole use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, we respectfully request that you contact the sender to notify us of the error and that you delete all copies of this email and any attachments. Thank you.

FromName	SDC5321@aol.com
FromAddress	SDC5321@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Dear Sir or Madam - I am writing as a Montgomery County resident and MSI soccer "general manager" for my 3 girls soccer teams, over the last 10 years, consecutively. We have seen the fields availability to the children dwindle in size and conditions over the years and considering what a healthy and wholesome family activity MSI is making available, to so many residents, I would hope the County government would do it's very best to lend support to projects such as the Brickyard fields one. Please consider all of the good that will benefit so many families, if this projects is approved for all to enjoy. Thank you for your thoughtful consideration, Suzanne D. Canton 5321 Goldsboro Road Bethesda MD 20817 (301)229-9434

FromName	Sergio Mancilla
FromAddress	sergio.mancilla4@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer field
Body	I am writing this e-mail in support of the Brickyard Soccer Project. We need more soccer fields for the development of our kids. Thank You Sincerely Sergio Mancilla 5411 Lambeth road Bethesda MD 20814

FromName	SGritz5@aol.com
FromAddress	SGritz5@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support Brickyard Soccer
Body	

Please support the Brickyard Road Soccer Plan.

I am a lifelong Montgomery County resident. I grew up playing soccer with
MSI and now all three of my kids play and I have coached all three (I
currently coach 2 of their teams and assist the third).

Every season, I am constantly having a problem finding a suitable practice
field for my teams to practice on. WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH FIELDS. Parents
have a hard time getting home from work and getting their kids to practice
and if the practice field is too far, they simply don't come to practice.

We also end up traveling all over the county to play games on the weekends.

Getting the kids out, away from TV and video games, is very important. We
have a national childhood obesity problem and we need to make it easier
for kids to have safe places to play.

Please help us get more fields!!!!

Shawn Gritz
10616 Floral Park Lane, N. Potomac,MD 20878

Shawn A. Gritz, Esq.
Law Offices of Shawn A. Gritz, L. L. C.
50 West Montgomery Avenue, Suite 300
Rockville, MD 20850
301-217-9200
301-980-5930 (cell)
301-315-8275 (fax)

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY: The contents of these messages are private
and confidential. The contents are also protected by the attorney-client
privilege and/or are this attorney's work product If you are not the

intended recipient of this e-mail message, you are respectfully notified
that any review or
dissemination of this communication is Strictly Prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please alert the sender
by reply
e-mail or telephone; please delete this message and its attachments, if
any. Thank you. All rights are also reserved upon the contents of this
message under all copyright, trademark, patent and/or other intellectual
property rights. No copying or further dissemination is permitted without
express written permission.

NOTE: Nothing in this message should be construed as legal advice or the
creation of an attorney-client relationship.

FromName	Shannon C. Lindstrom
FromAddress	sclindstrom@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Road Project
Body	As a parent and coach of 3 soccer players I am asking you to please expedite construction of the Brickyard Road Project to develop more soccer fields. I live in Bethesda Maryland and for one of my sons we are playing every game across the county in Germantown. Development of new and better fields is essential to keeping our youth active and to reduce excess pollution and gas used caused by lack of appropriate fields close to home. Thank you,Shannon Lindstrom8000 Hamilton Spring RdBethesda, MD

FromName	Shannon Hamm
FromAddress	shannon@hammonline.net
ToName	saverockcreekhillspark@googlegroups.com
ToAddress	saverockcreekhillspark@googlegroups.com
Subject	Re: Do not take the Brickyard for a soccer complex!
Body	I knew we would find synergy! Great job guys. I am watching from a far. Did speak with Sandra today. Miss you On Thu, Apr 12, 2012 at 7:15 PM, <globodera1@gmail.com> wrote: > Preserve Rock Creek Hills Local Park which has 2 soccer fields used by the > BCC schools for team practices and on the weekends by many folks from > diverse neighborhoods in need of open space! > Sent from my Verizon Wireless BlackBerry >

FromName	Sharil Richards
FromAddress	sksr0411@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Re: Soccer fields at Brickyard
Body	To Whom it May Concern: I am a Montgomery County resident who endorses and support the County's efforts to provide soccer fields at the Brickyard. My address is: 12503 Winexburg Manor Drive, Apt 101, Silver Spring, MD 20906 Thanks for the support. Sharil Richards "Knowledge is most useful when imparted; be generous and pass it on!"

FromName	Shawn Bryan
FromAddress	shawnbryan@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	North Potomac Rec Center
Body	We really need this. There is such a great community out here that could blossom if we had a place we could call ours. A place where our kids could play and socialize with their neighbors. I live on a country street where my kids can't ride bikes. We need a place to go. Please vote Yes for the north Potomac center. Shawn Bryan

FromName	Shawn Bryan
FromAddress	shawnbryan@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	So many kids are playing soccer on BAD fields
Body	Kids love this game... But the field are horrible. Let help give out kids a better place to play the worlds most popular game. Shawn Bryan

FromName	Sheila Chaykowski
FromAddress	schaykowski@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Against Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am writing to express my OPPOSITION to the Brickyard Soccer Project that has been proposed by MSI. This project was undertaken in secret without the knowledge of the community. If the land use is going to be changed from an organic seed farm to some other usage, then all people concerned should be notified and there should be open and honest discussion. The County Executive met secretly with MSI and made promises he had no right to make. This is a terrible misuse of power. This project should be STOPPED, and all parties concerned should be heard and considered. It is time that government stop acting in secret and start to be responsible to all of the people. Why should MSI be the only group that has a chance to bid (if there ever was a bidding process) for the use of this land? I am opposed to the way this was done. Thank you. Mrs. Sheila Chaykowski 301-983-3287.

FromName	Sheila Menconi
FromAddress	smenconi@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer
Body	I want to let Montgomery County know that I support MSI's proposal to build soccer fields and an educational farm at the Brickyard site. As the parent of two daughters that both play soccer, I understand the need for more soccer fields (especially in the Potomac area). Thank you, Sheila Menconi 12304 Copenhaver Terrace Potomac, MD. 20854 Sent from my iPhone

FromName	Sheila Starkey
FromAddress	sStarkey@mlsimmigration.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Fields
Body	I DO NOT SUPPORT THE BRICKYARD SOCCER FIELD PROJECT. Sheila Starkey Hahn

FromName	Shye Bay
FromAddress	shyebay@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for the Brickyard Soccer Project t
Body	I am an assistant soccer coach for a 4th grade team in MSI and before that I was a coach for 6 years for a City of Rockville soccer team and I support the Brickyard Soccer Project and hope do the same. Sincerely, Shye Bay 9 Ritchfield Court Rockville, MD Sent from my iPhone

FromName	Sima Artinian
FromAddress	sima_artinian@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I write to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project, and to encourage you to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Thanks. Sima Artinian 9218 Villa Drive Bethesda MD 20817

FromName	slreid4@yahoo.com
FromAddress	slreid4@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	
Body	Hello, I am a supporter of the Brickyard Soccer Project. I urge you to work on developing more fields that are in better condition throughout the county. Sincerely, Stephanie Reid Sent from my BlackBerry® by Boost Mobile

FromName	Smith, Larry
FromAddress	larry.smith@danaher.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	No to Brickyard Soccer Field!!
Body	This is a huge travesty! Please prevent it from destroying our environment and causing disruptive and dangerous traffic and noise. There are plenty of suitable locations for soccer fields at existing parks such as off of the Clara barton parkway at the Carderock picnic grounds. Sincerely, Laurence & Alexa Smith 9630 Eagle Ridge Drive Bethesda, MD 20817 Please be advised that this email may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us by email by replying to the sender and delete this message. The sender disclaims that the content of this email constitutes an offer to enter into, or the acceptance of, any agreement; provided that the foregoing does not invalidate the binding effect of any digital or other electronic reproduction of a manual signature that is included in any attachment.

FromName	Sonja Bartolomei
FromAddress	sonjabartolomei@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Road project
Body	I am adamantly opposed to the development of soccer fields on Brickyard Rd.Please keep those fields for organic farming. I am a Montgomery County mother of two children who play soccer.I grew up in Montgomery County and both my husband and I played soccer as well.We can use the current fields that we have efficiently. Thank you,Sonja Bartolomei5706 Harwick Rd, Bethesda, MD 20816301-229-1988 □□ □ □□

FromName	Sonny and Ellen
FromAddress	sonnyellen.oh@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	If it means more fields available to MSI, I am all for it! Sonny Oh 5512 Whitley Park Terrace Bethesda, MD [MSI Coach of 6 years and participant growing up in Montgomery County]

FromName	Soofer, Rob (Kyl)
FromAddress	Rob_Soofer@kyl.senate.gov
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer
Body	I would like to express support fir the soccer project Robert soofer 5615 newington road Bethesda md 20816

FromName	Sophie Toujas
FromAddress	momincharge5@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project
Body	To whom it may concern, I want to express my support to the Brickyard Soccer Project . Thanks , Sophie Toujas

FromName	Sormeh Youssefieh
FromAddress	sormehyou@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer fields
Body	My name is Sormeh Youssefieh. I live in 11420 Patriot Lane Potomac, MD. I am writing this email to show my support for the brickyard soccer project and improvement of soccer fields through out Montgomery county. I hope you work on that. Thank you Sormeh Youssefieh Sent from my iPhone

FromName	Stacy Gold
FromAddress	sgold@ngs.org
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer Field Improvement in Montgomery County
Body	Dear Montgomery County government, *I am writing to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project*, and to encourage you to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Exercise and team sports are an important part of childrens growth and learning. Having more fields and fields with better conditions for these activities is imperative. Thank you so much, stacy gold 8401 Ellingson Drive, Chevy Chase, MD 20815

FromName	Stephanie Sola-Sole
FromAddress	solasole@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I support the Brickyard soccer project
Body	My name is Stephanie Sola-Sole. I am a resident of Montgomery County and I live at 7503 Hamilton Spring Road in Bethesda. I have 3 children who play soccer and my husband and I have been coaches for their teams for many years. Finding a field just for our kids to practice on every season is unbelievably challenging. It is important to us that this soccer field project on Brickyard Road be given the go-ahead. It would allow the strong soccer-playing community in the Bethesda/Potomac area a more local place to have well-maintained soccer fields. It would be an strong asset to the community. Sincerely, Stephanie Sola-Sole

FromName	steve dorman
FromAddress	stevenwdorman@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	In Support of Soccer Fields at Brickyard Rd
Body	To Whom It May Concern, I want to go on record that I completely support the soccer field construction at the Brickyard Road Middle School site. I have from the very beginning. I am 20+ year resident of River Falls and the member of CARF (which does not support my views). I have not stepped forward before because it appeared that the fields would be constructed. It still appears that way but I see that MSI has asked we express our support so I am doing so. I would add that I do not believe CARF necessarily speaks for the majority our the River Falls neighborhood. CARF sent out a survey in the beginning asking our opinion on this matter and they said they got about 100 replies out of 450 homes and most of those replies did not want the soccer fields. That hardly speaks for the major of the neighborhood. I think the non-responders either do not care, are in support of the fields or in support of the fields and didn't want to get into a fight with their neighbors. I applaud our public officials and MSI for handling this matter professionally. Sincerely, Steven W. Dorman 7912 River Falls Drive Potomac, MD 20854 (h) 301-299-4246

FromName	Steve Ertel
FromAddress	s_g_ertel@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer Fields
Body	To whom it may concern, I am writing as a long-time soccer coach, parent and soccer club volunteer. I know from personal experience the generally poor condition (due to overuse) of the few soccer fields there are in the southern part of the county. I fully support the development of new fields not only at the Brickyard site, but at other sites that may be made available. With the growing population and popularity of "rectangle" sports, including soccer and lacrosse, the demand for fields is only going to grow. The county needs to find ways to have an adequate supply of high quality fields to meet the needs of the entire county. Steve Ertel 13321 Glen Mill Road Rockville MD 20850

FromName	Steve Lorberbaum
FromAddress	slorberbaum@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard issue
Body	To whom it may concern I am a county resident and live on Brickyard Road. I am very disappointed with the way this issue has been handled by the county executive. I am not one to suggest that the property should remain a farm. I am not sure what the best use of the property is, but I believe strongly that our elected officials are not acting in the best interests of their constituents when actions are taken in secret and without soliciting comments from the residents. It seems that a great deal of effort has been made over the years to reduce the traffic on Brickyard by putting in circles and speed bumps and this use seems inconsistent with those actions. The county executive's spokesperson is insulting in the extreme. This is not just rich people that do not want a soccer field, this is citizens that do not want to be lied to and mislead by their elected officials. A transparent process would have saved the taxpayers the legal costs associated with the challenges to this action and would have built a consensus rather than rancor. In the end a public process might well have concluded that soccer fields were the highest and best use for the property, but it would have been done after the neighborhood impacts had been studied and all reasonable uses evaluated. Steve Lorberbaum

FromName	Steve Murphy
FromAddress	stevemurphy259@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	STOP the Brickyard Soccer Project!
Body	We do NOT support the Brickyard soccer project! Why do you think you can destroy our neighborhood? Murphy Family 7424 Brickyard Road Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Steven Greenfeld
FromAddress	StevenG@CohenBaldinger.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project
Body	I support the Brickyard soccer project and encourage the County to proceed with the project as well as other projects to develop more and better soccer fields throughout the County. They are desperately needed. Steven H. Greenfeld Cohen Baldinger & Greenfeld, LLC Suite 1103 7910 Woodmont Avenue Bethesda, MD 20814 phone: (301) 881-8300 fax: (301) 881-8350

FromName	Steven Sarnowski
FromAddress	ssarnowski@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Montgomery County needs better soccer fields!!
Body	I just wanted to write a note to express the need for Montgomery County to develop higher quality soccer fields throughout the county such as the Brickyard Soccer Project. I was just recently on a trip to Florida and stopped by a public park. I was amazed at the beautiful public soccer field and how well it was maintained. I had to walk out to midfield to see if it was real. I have never seen a soccer field in Montgomery County nearly as beautiful or even as level. Development of the Brickyard Soccer Project can enable our kids to have a more fulfilling experience in their youth sports. It never makes sense to me that Montgomery County, with all its resources, can't have nicer quality fields throughout the county. Howard and Fairfax counties also seem to find ways to develop and maintain their fields. I think it is time for Montgomery County to do the same. We sure pay enough in taxes. Please support the Brickyard Soccer Project!!!! Best regards, Steven Sarnowski 9007 Grant Street Bethesda, MD 20817

FromName	steven steven
FromAddress	stevencohn1@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer Firelds
Body	To who it may concern, Please support the movement to increase our counties growing need for soccer fields. We do, pay taxes and vote. Thank you for your consideration. Steven and Elizabeth Cohn 5612 Marengo Road Bethesda, Maryland 20816 (301)229-2493

FromName	Stevens
FromAddress	stevens10@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard comments
Body	We strongly oppose building soccer fields on Brickyard Road and will never vote for anyone who has suported this idiotic idea. As the parents of 3 now adult soccer players 2 of whom did travel soccer we cannot believe this proposal has not already been scraped. We just drove down Brickyard and had a mini bottleneck with a school bus and the UPS guy. There are other alternatives to Brickyard Road and they need to be given the attention they never received. Barbara and Doug Stevens

FromName	Stevens
FromAddress	stevens10@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Project
Body	As parents of triplet soccer players, we are in a position to comment on Brickyard soccer fierlds. This is a terrible idea and it is outrageous that it has come this far. Ruining an entire community for a few more fiels is plain Stupid. Find aNOTHER LOCATION AND DO SOME HOMEWORK FIRST. Barbara and Douglas Stevens 10 Bridle Court Potomac MD

FromName	Susan Beckwith
FromAddress	susan.darpa@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	More Soccer Fields Needed
Body	Hello, Please help MSI get more soccer fields. The kids play on some fields that are not well taken care of, and risk injury. Some new fields at Brickyard would be so wonderful, Sincerely, Susan Beckwith 15104 DuFief Drive North Potomac, MD 20878

FromName	Susan Byrne
FromAddress	smlbyrne@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Citizen Opposed to MSI Lease
Body	Dear Ms. Brenneman, I am writing to oppose the County's lease with MSI to develop soccer fields on the 20-acre Brickyard Road site. The County must act according to ethical civic duty guidelines and did not do so in this instance because it failed to engage the community, therefore deciding without community input, in clear violation of the Maryland Open Meetings Act. Additionally, the County received an alternate proposal for the use of this land which would provide far greater benefit to far more residents. This proposal establishes the Brickyard Educational Farm to provide education that increases nutritional awareness and environmental stewardship, and which fulfills state standards for the Farm to School program and the No Child Left Inside Initiative. The Educational Farm has already served over 150 students since its inception only one month ago and has the capacity to serve around 4,000 children during the school year and summer session at no cost to the County. It is run by a private non-profit, and would not incur any development costs by either the Board of Education or the County since it already exists on certified organic land. However, to relocate this facility would require substantial investment by the County and BOE since it requires nearly 30 years of soil treatment to obtain the levels of organic content necessary for certification. As an additional benefit to the County, the BOE, and the students of MCPS, the facility is already fully staffed, has a teacher advisory committee, and has developed curriculum that meets state standards. This site is perfectly situated within 10 miles of 43 MCPS elementary schools.

There is a clause in the lease to the County that provides for recall of
the property from the County by the BOE should the BOE decide to use the
land for educational purposes. I urge the County to coordinate with the
BOE to overturn the lease with MSI and restore the property to the BOE to
preserve the Brickyard Educational Farm and its unique educational benefit
to MCPS and the citizens of Montgomery County.

I thank you for your consideration of these concerns and appreciate your
service to the citizens of this county.

Regards,

Susan Byrne

14453 Settlers Landing Way

North Potomac, MD 20878
301 762 1085

FromName	Susan Hackett
FromAddress	susanhackett@me.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Supporting the development of projects like The Brickyard So
Body	Dear Sir or Madam: I'm writing to express my support for the development of new and better soccer fields for our kids who play in Montgomery County soccer leagues like MSI. There is so little other opportunity for kids from every strata in the county to both partake of great physical exercise and learn the important social skills that team sports like soccer teach Š. without more fields, more kids will be denied this opportunity. Every year, it is harder and harder for our teams to find a place to practice or a place to play their games given the huge number of uses for increasingly limited space. Projects like the Brickyard Soccer Project are key to a long-term county strategy that favors kids spending more time in productive and healthy play. Please consider this email my support, as a small business owner and a resident in the county, for this important project and others like it. Investing in this kind of initiative is a sound investment in the future of our kids and the health of our county's neighborhoods. Thank you for your consideration - Susan Hackett 5100 Brookeway Drive Bethesda, MD 20816 _____ Susan Hackett, CEO | CLO Legal Executive Leadership, LLC | http://www.lawexecs.com hackett@lawexecs.com | +1.301.785.5534 LinkedIn: http://www.linkedin.com/in/susanhackett Twittter: @HackettInHouse | @LawExecs LEL's Blog, "Alignment" | http://www.legalexecutiveleadership.com/blog

FromName	Susan Lancz
FromAddress	slancz@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard fields
Body	To Whom It May Concern: I am absolutely against the construction of fields on Brickyard and, equally important, the less than straightforward way it came about. I encourage you to abandon the plan or, at a minimum, delay the plan until the public is better informed and further studies can be done to assess the potential impact on the community. Thank you. Susan Lancz 8600 York Manor Way Potomac, MD 20854 Sent from my iPhone

FromName	Susan Mordan
FromAddress	susanmordan@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	soccer fields
Body	Hi, I have 2 children in MSI soccer, and over the years have noticed an increasing demand for soccer fields in our area. We hope that the county sees recreational spaces this as an important issue for families of Montgomery County. Best, Susan Mordan 6214 Wedgewood Road Bethesda, MD 20817

FromName	Susan O'Donoghue
FromAddress	susan.odonoghue@splashconsulting.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Dear Montgomery County Government, I am writing to show my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. Thank you for working with MSI to develop more and better fields throughout our great county! Regards, Susan O'Donoghue 7813 Horseshoe Lane Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Susan Schor
FromAddress	slschor@msn.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Comments
Body	I object to the proposed soccer complex on Brickyard Road on numerous grounds, including the congestion and noise that will result. Susan L. Schor

FromName	Susana Wang
FromAddress	swang.home@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Comments on the MSI sublease
Body	I would like to express my concerns about the MSI sublease. Here are my comments: (1) Speedy decision problematic: The acceptance of MSI's proposal follows the consistent pattern of the County and School Board evading all proper and normal legal procedures. The timeline for rewarding the sublease to applicants under the RFP was supposed to be in May. Why then has this decision been sped up? The citizens should be protected by the County following proper procedure. (2) Circumventing normal contract procedures: The County had announced it would issue a "Request for Proposals" (RFP), but instead it avoided usual procurement regulations by issuing a request for "qualifications" (RFQ). (3) Not enough bidders: In many instances, if only one bidder responds to a solicitation, the issuing agency would reconsider the entire project for several reasons: 1) there is no competition; 2) the project as presented may be flawed to begin with; 3) there is insufficient demonstrated need to proceed with the project; and/or 4) the project is too narrowly defined allowing only one entity to respond. (4) Farm proposal not considered: The County did not respond to or even acknowledge another proposal that was submitted by Brickyard Educational Farm to establish an educational farm on the site. Failure to evaluate that proposal shows that a thorough investigation was not conducted in reaching a decision. (5) Private sports organization problematic on school land: There is no precedent for a private organization to build and operate pay-

for-play
sports fields on school land. We believe this action violates Montgomery
County and Maryland State law.

(6) Decision-making without public input is unacceptable: This decision has
been discussed almost entirely behind closed doors, and the Board of
Education has been found in violation of the Open Meetings Act by the
Compliance Board. This process should restart and follow proper procedures
and have community input.

(7) Promised conditions left out of lease: The County Executive has stated
and written that any soccer fields at Brickyard would not have artificial
turf, public address systems, or lights for night-time playing. All of
these conditions were left out of the proposed lease. In addition, the
hours of year-round operation are such that lights would be required.

(8) Parking is insufficient: It would appear that they are only providing
about half the amount of parking recommended by Parks and Planning. This
could lead to parking and congestion on residential streets.

(9) Farm is unique and irreplaceable: There is only one organic seed farm
in Montgomery County and already over 500 places to play soccer. This is an
irreplaceable asset to Montgomery County that should be valued, not
destroyed.

(10) Farm provides required outdoor education opportunities: Brickyard
Educational Farm offers programs that fulfill the Maryland outdoor
education requirements and the Farm to School guidelines, and provide an
innovative experiential learning model. We should take advantage of this
valuable piece of land for educational use, and serve ALL students of
Montgomery County with this land.

Thanks,
Susana Wang
10617 Rock Run Dr
Potomac, MD 20854

301-299-8988

FromName	Suzanne
FromAddress	ss1c59@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Comments on the MSI sublease
Body	Dear Sirs/Madams: Following are my comments on the county's MSI sublease: * Speedy decision problematic: The acceptance of MSI's proposal follows the consistent pattern of the County and School Board evading all proper and normal legal procedures. The timeline for rewarding the sublease to applicants under the RFP was supposed to be in May. Why then has this decision been sped up? The citizens should be protected by the County following proper procedure. * Circumventing normal contract procedures: The County had announced it would issue a "Request for Proposals" (RFP), but instead it avoided usual procurement regulations by issuing a request for "qualifications" (RFQ). * Not enough bidders: In many instances, if only one bidder responds to a solicitation, the issuing agency would reconsider the entire project for several reasons: 1) there is no competition; 2) the project as presented may be flawed to begin with; 3) there is insufficient demonstrated need to proceed with the project; and/or 4) the project is too narrowly defined allowing only one entity to respond. * Farm proposal not considered: The County did not respond to or even acknowledge another proposal that was submitted by

Brickyard Educational
Farm to establish an educational farm on the site. Failure to evaluate that
proposal shows that a thorough investigation was not conducted in reaching a
decision.

* Private sports organization problematic on school land: There is no
precedent for a private organization to build and operate pay-for-play
sports fields on school land. We believe this action violates Montgomery
County and Maryland State law.

* Decision-making without public input is unacceptable: This decision has
been discussed almost entirely behind closed doors, and the Board of
Education has been found in violation of the Open Meetings Act by the
Compliance Board. This process should restart and follow proper procedures
and have community input.

* Promised conditions left out of lease: The County Executive has stated and
written that any soccer fields at Brickyard would not have artificial turf,
public address systems, or lights for night-time playing. All of these
conditions were left out of the proposed lease. In addition, the hours of
year-round operation are such that lights would be required.

* Parking is insufficient: It would appear that they are only providing
about half the amount of parking recommended by Parks and Planning. This
could lead to parking and congestion on residential streets.

* Farm is unique and irreplaceable: There is only one organic seed farm in
Montgomery County and already over 500 places to play soccer. This is an
irreplaceable asset to Montgomery County that should be valued, not
destroyed.

* Farm provides required outdoor education opportunities: Brickyard
Educational Farm offers programs that fulfill the Maryland outdoor education
requirements and the Farm to School guidelines, and provide an innovative
experiential learning model. We should take advantage of this valuable piece
of land for educational use, and serve ALL students of Montgomery County
with this land.

S. Stapler

St. Davids, PA

FromName	suzybrad@comcast.net
FromAddress	suzybrad@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I support soccer fields at Brickyard and other possible location
Body	

Dear Montgomery County Officials,

I want to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. Both my children play on soccer teams (one on rec and one in classic) and I've seen the need for more fields, including better ones, around the county. These quality additional fields are needed so this very popular sport of so many kids/teams can allow enough playing areas for everyone.

Thank you for pursuing this important HEALTHY activity for Montgomery County residents!

I also look forward to when the North Potomac Community Center is completed AND has soccer field(s) there too!

Sincerely,

Suzanne Slyn Davis

10912 Cartwright Place

North Potomac MD 20878-4377

FromName	Svec, Michael R
FromAddress	Michael.R.Svec@morganstanleysmithbarney.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I am writing to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. I encourage the County to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Thanks Michael Michael Svec CFP(r) Senior Vice President Morgan Stanley Smith Barney 1850 K Street, NW, Suite 900 Washington, DC, 20006 direct: (202) 857-5454 toll-free: (800) 424-3209 (x5454) fax: (202) 857-5460 cell: (202) 904-4040 e-mail: michael.r.svec@mssb.com<mailto:michael.r.svec@smithbarney.com> website: http://fa.smithbarney.com/svec/ video brochure: http://fa.smithbarney.com/svec/videobrochure.htm ---------- Important Notice to Recipients: Please do not use e-mail to request, authorize or effect the purchase or sale of any security or commodity. Unfortunately, we cannot execute such instructions provided in e-mail. Thank you. The sender of this e-mail is an employee of Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC. If you have received this communication in error, please destroy all electronic and paper copies and notify the sender immediately. Erroneous transmission is not intended to waive confidentiality or privilege. Morgan Stanley Smith Barney reserves the right, to the extent permitted under applicable law, to monitor electronic communications. This message is subject to terms available at the following link: http://www.morganstanley.com/disclaimers/mssbemail.html. If you cannot access this link, please notify us by reply message and we will send the contents to you. By messaging

	with Morgan Stanley Smith Barney you consent to the foregoing.
FromName	Sylvia Stearn
FromAddress	sylvia5@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Dear Sir/Madam, I am a resident of Montgomery County and my two daughters have played MSI Soccer on and off for many years. Please support the Brickyard Project as it will provide two much needed fields for youth soccer teams. MSI has done a wonderful job over the years providing soccer opportunities for all children. Please support the construction of this Project and continue to support the development of fields through out the county. Thank you. Sincerely, Sylvia Stearn 9211 Shelton Street Bethesda, MD 20817

FromName	Tad Farrington
FromAddress	tfarri8084@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer fields, brickyard soccer
Body	Anything that can be done to improve the quality and number of fields and areas of play for our children should be done. Thomas Farrington 4204 ambler avenue Kensington maryland Sent from my iPhone

FromName	tctothetop@comcast.net
FromAddress	tctothetop@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Hello, I just wanted to send this e-mail to show my support for the the Brickyard Soccer Project. My son plays soccer, and having better soccer fields I feel is a good thing. Thank you, Teresa Charbonneau 304 Mannakee St. Rockville, MD 20850

FromName	Ted
FromAddress	teduncs@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	NO to Brickyard soccer!
Body	I have a daughter that plays soccer with MSI and I coach for MSI and I do NOT want the fields developed on Brickyard Rd at this time or without greater investigation as to the best use for this parcel, without consideration to the impact on the community and without guarantees that this will not become another private venture debacle. I met personally with Doug Schuessler over a year ago to discuss this proposal. While he seemed genuine at the time, as I have understood what has transpired for over three years, I now see he was just blowing smoke to try to quiet a community so his and the County Execs plans could be shoved into place. As I said to Doug at the time, to David Dise, Ike Leggett, Ken Hartman and others in the county staff- if this is such a great idea, why not stop and get the citizens' of the county approval before proceeding This is an atrocity. If the lease gets signed and this process is not halted, I will do everything in my power to make sure MSI families know what a corrupt, self interested, masquerading enterprise it is and make sure the involved county reps receive the full impact from both a legal and political position. Please stop and take the time to consider your actions before it is too late. Ted Duncan 7800 Buckboard Ct Potomac, MD 20854 teduncs@Verizon.net Sent from my iPhone

FromName	teresa rosales
FromAddress	terzip@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	My name is Teresa Rosales, I live at 2705 Weisman Rd. Silver Spring and I would like to express my support for the Brickyard Soccer Project. Thanks

FromName	Terilynne
FromAddress	jmbntwb@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer fields
Body	PLEASE do what you can to preserve and promote acquisition of more soccer fields for our kids. It's such a good outlet for them with fitness benefits and learned cooperation as well as providing community service hours for older kids reffing. Thank you. Terilynne Butler Gaithersburg, MD

FromName	Therese Lowe
FromAddress	thereselowe@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I support for the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Dear Montgomery Co. Government, I am writing to express my support of the Brickyard Soccer Project and encourage you to continue to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Improvements to the conditions my kid and his friends play on have a multitude of advanatges for the whole community - now and in the future. Many families like mine live in apartments and condos in the county and having the improved fields and areas for play are essential to the sport and their quality of our children's lives. I am excited about the possibility to create new and much-improved fields for the kids to enjoy. Please make it happen. Therese Lowe1900 Lyttonsville Rd, 207Silver Spring, MD 20910 □□ □ □□

FromName	Thomas Conrad
FromAddress	conradprod@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer fields
Body	

I am writing as a long time Montgomery County Resident. Istrongly support the development of soccer fields at Brickyard. I have long been disappointed at the lack ofquality soccer fields in my neighborhood, and I believe this is a one-timeunique opportunity to correct this situation.

I know you will do the right thing and allow for thesesoccer fields for our kids.

Thank you,
Tom

Thomas L. Conrad
10415 Stapleford Hall Drive
Potomac, MD 20854
301/983-6417

FromName	Thomas F. Barrett
FromAddress	tbarrett@nert.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Down County Athletic Field Options
Body	I am writing to express my GRATITUDE for the county's intention to provide an athletic field alternative in lower Montgomery County (Brickyard rd) I have been coaching youth for 17 years - gratis - and the only criteria I (and 18 other cars) have ever hoped for was a chance to not have to drive 45 minutes to an hour IN COUNTY(!) to play a game of soccer. This has been almost soley due to the lack of facilities in the lower Montgomery County. I only hope to have the opportunity to thank you in person. Best Regards Thomas F Barrett III, CLU , QPA 301-320-9300 P Think before you print

FromName	TMCHAIKIN@aol.com
FromAddress	TMCHAIKIN@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To Whom it May Concern, I support for the Brickyard Soccer Project which will develop more and better fields throughout Montgomery County. Thank you. Michelle Chaikin 11322 Rolling House Road Rockville, MD 20852

FromName	TODD BREACH
FromAddress	tjbreach@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Dear Montgomery County, I would like to express my support for this project to improve existing soccer fields and develop new ones. My two sons both play soccer, and at times it has been hard to locate an adequate field to play on. I appreciate your efforts and consideration of this exciting project. Thank you, Todd Breach tjbreach@verizon.net 13900 Shippers Lane Rockville, MD 20853 301-460-3628

FromName	Todd Moss (gmail)
FromAddress	moss9924@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for More Soccer fields & Brickyard Project
Body	I moved to MC specifically for quality of life for my family, and soccer is a big part of it. My son's team shares a single practice field with 3 other teams now, and we desperately need additional fields. I fully support the Brickyard Soccer Project and urge you to approve it. Sincerely Dr. Todd Moss 9924 Julliard Dr Bethesda, MD 20817

FromName	Tom Moore
FromAddress	thmoore.cc@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	

Greetings!

I am writing in support of the Brickyard Soccer Project. We do not have nearly enough soccer fields downcounty, and this is an excellent effort to alleviate the shortage.

I have thousands of kids in my City -- and four soccer players in my household alone -- who will benefit from this.

I reside in Rockville at 11 Forest Avenue, 20850.

Thank you.

Councilmember Tom Moore
City of Rockville

Tom Moore
Councilmember
City of Rockville
tmoore@rockvillemd.gov
240-314-8292 (office)
240-753-0811 (cell)

FromName	Tom Orban
FromAddress	tomorban@msn.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard
Body	To Whom It May Concern: As a business owner in Potomac for 23 years, I oppose the Brickyard project for a variety of reasons. The roads are already choked with cars, and it is incomprehensible how any competent administrator could advocate a high traffic use in such a bucolic, residential setting. I never read anything about the project until it was almost a fait accompli, dropped on the neighborhood by surprise; that is not right. On an emotional level, the idea of turning a man's lifetime's work as an organic gardener into a soccer field just sickens me. It is not progress in any enlightened meaning of the term.Tom Orbanowner, Sprinkles (in the Village) □□ □ □□

FromName	Tom Pollak
FromAddress	tpollak2@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To Whom It May Concern: I am a Montgomery County resident and taxpayer -- I live in Kensington -- and I strongly support the Brickyard Soccer Project. - Tom Pollak 3106 Homewood Pkwy Kensington, MD 20895 -- Tom Pollak tpollak2@gmail.com, tpollak@urban.org c: 301-325-3141 --- w: 202-261-5536

FromName	Traci Richmond
FromAddress	samanddex@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	Dear Sir or Madam, The MSI Lease contains no prohibition of lights or of artificial turf, items that Ike Leggett's office promised were off the table. And what about the traffic study and an Environmental Impact Study of runoff from those artificial turf fields? Dual use? This lease, like the process that spawned it, is unacceptable and should be invalidated pending a process that complies with the applicable laws, the promises of transparency that Ike Leggett made in his campaign, and principles of integrity and honesty. Traci Richmond, Registered (and disgusted) Democrat 301-775-4805

FromName	Tracy Child
FromAddress	childgig@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	
Body	Attn: Montgomery County Government Officials: I strongly support the development of the Brickyard Soccer Project. I am the mother of five children who participate in various soccer, lacrosse and field hockey teams year round. There is a huge need for more field facilities in Montgomery County. Not only does our county have a scarcity of playing fields for our children, the majority of the fields that are used are in poor condition. In a country where obesity and sedentary lifestyles are causing disease and ill health among our youth we should be focusing on means to get our children active. The greater access and convenience of playing fields will be a great help to keep our youth healthy. Please make physical fitness a priority on the county agenda. In the long run this will keep our residents healthy and save money on social and medical services. Sincerely, Tracy Child 12612 Exchange Court North Potomac, MD 20854 301-279-8924

FromName	Tricia Nudelman
FromAddress	tricia.nudelman@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Soccer fields...fix what we have
Body	Hi I have been a volunteer soccer coach for 10 years. Our fields need repair. There are many that can't and are not used. Fix them please! Do not take more land to solve the problem...especially organic farms. - Trish

FromName	Tricia Tice
FromAddress	ptice17@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn:Brickyard Comments
Body	I am strongly opposed to the lease of the Brickyard Rd school site to MSI, Inc. Proper transparent procedures for the disposition of unused school board properties we're not followed. It's time to void this illegal lease and start the process over correctly. Thank you, Patricia Tice 10505 Streamview Ct Potomac, MD

FromName	Tricia Umhau
FromAddress	theumhaus@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	I would like to enter my comments of the STRONGEST DISAPPROVAL of the MSI lease of the Brickyard property. The lack of transparency of this process is appalling and should be grounds for the immediate dissolution of the lease. I have lived with my family in the neighborhood of the Brickyard property in question for 14 years. This neighborhood is proud of the organic farm that provides not only healthful food to our neighbors, but organic seeds to many organic growers. Our neighborhood is a community of families that interacts together on many levels. It is a travesty to allow the MSI lease to proceed given the deceitful manner of its genesis. PLEASE, abide by the County law to determine the best use for the Brickyard property. Very earnestly, Mrs. Tricia Umhau 10804 Rock Run Drive Potomac, MD 20854 -- I count him braver who overcomes his desires than him who conquers his enemies; for the hardest victory is over self. ~ Aristotle

FromName	TriciaBDaniels Gmail
FromAddress	triciabdaniels@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Comments
Body	I strongly DISAPPROVE of MSI Lease - it was done outside of the proper process and without transparency. Kind regards, Mark and Tricia Daniels 8113 Hackamore Drive Potomac, MD 20854 (301) 983 -8371

FromName	tristan.caudron@wellsfargoadvisors.com
FromAddress	tristan.caudron@wellsfargoadvisors.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	No to the current plan to lease soccer fields to MSI
Body	I vote a resounding NO to this plan. As a long-time soccer coach with MSI (8 years), I still think that the plan to lease the Brickyard site to MSI for 4 soccer fields is a bad idea. What about other sports? What about park space? What about playgrounds? What about pen access to the public? Please don't approve the lease to MSI as it stands. Tristan Caudron 14 River Falls Ct Potomac, MD 20854 301-299-7003 From: doug@msisoccer.org [mailto:doug@msisoccer.org] Sent: Thursday, April 12, 2012 05:19 PM To: Caudron, Tristan Subject: Important Message from MSI MSI Family, Most of you are familiar with MSI's hard work to help solve our community's desperate need for more and improved soccer fields, and I am writing you today on the cusp of a new season to ask for you to take one simple step to help us help you. Please take one moment RIGHT NOW to send a brief email to the address listed below (to our County government), and express your support for the Brickyard Soccer Project, and to encourage them to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Truly, it need be only one sentence, a simple expression of support for the project. Please send the email right now, to: DGS.ORE@montgomerycountymd.gov<mailto:DGS.ORE@ montgomerycountymd.gov> Include your name and Montgomery County address at the end of your brief email. It is important to do this right away and show your support for making tangible improvements to the conditions your kids play on. Please have your spouse send an email, as well. We are excited about the possibility to create new and much-improved fields for the kids to enjoy, but need your help to encourage our government to help make that happen!

Regards,

Doug Schuessler

MSI

PS --

For those that would like to read more about our efforts, there is and has been a document posted on the MSI website under " Announcements" that describes the Brickyard Soccer Project. There has been an extremely well-funded effort by a small group of neighbors to prevent this project from succeeding, and they have put forth a stunning level of blatant misinformation. We have chosen not to engage in responding to such tactics, which unfortunately have become commonplace when a group knows that "the facts" will not help their case. I hope and believe that most have appreciated our restraint, and I encourage any of you with questions to feel free to contact me directly, and we will continue to respond with honest and complete answers to all!

In short, our proposal to the County is a significant compromise from original hopes, based upon our listening and being responsive to community concerns. Instead of four full-sized soccer fields, we have proposed the initial development of two new fields, with potential for a third later on. Additionally, and importantly, our proposal specifically calls for an Organic Agricultural Educational Center to be co-located on the site, and actually provides more than twice as much land for the Agricultural Center than the community has requested. The neighborhood opposition group has portrayed this debate as being "Soccer vs Educational Farm", and does not want folks to know that our proposal includes both! We provided this opportunity in order to create a win-win, and are pleased to have done so.

Please send that one-sentence email right away, and ask your spouse to do the same. Thank you for your help, and I look forward to the opportunity for your child to play on beautiful fields that we all create together!

Korrio is the developer of Playflow, a contemporary Youth Sports Automation platform. You are receiving this email because you are a Korrio member through your Youth Soccer Sports Organization.

If you do not wish to receive future emails, including team alert notifications, new registration data or password resets, please click here<http://email.korrio.com/wf/unsubscribe?upn=BtZQUBk

Tu8QTC5GncbsHqtKIOjZ-2BItOnB9jK-2FVFCF2ggDEjZ-2FzY6aoarxUALJoEb8MVRj7nquRKGAIN3aUU6pFydy8wdNfmU9A9jBZd13gnz0ERG6B1XnnreYc-2BpmOfv-2F9k61jh-2FiXt9-2Fo-2F6L9RJzLe2tm4Zy2r-2FSP8NrnXC2ks-3D>.

Korrio: 1943 1st Avenue S, 3C, Seattle, WA 98134
Privacy Policy<http://korrio.com/privacy> | Terms of Use<http://korrio.com/terms-of-use>
© 2011 Korrio, Inc. All Rights Reserved
[http://korrio.com/wp-content/themes/korrio/_inc/images/marketing/email_footer_logo.png]
[http://email.korrio.com/wf/open?upn=BtZQUBkTu8QTC5GncbsHqtKIOjZ-2BItOnB9jK-2FVFCF2ggDEjZ-2FzY6aoarxUALJoEb8MVRj7nquRKGAIN3aUU6pJ-2BF7gxGspOlZ-2FlQfi4ZtTHUdVteJt3sMkIIak2BbrGgBJjO398lxCeL7Dk3vbyik3xDHldz0a1cLmDoKhya0fI-3D]

_____

ATTENTION: THIS E-MAIL MAY BE AN ADVERTISEMENT OR SOLICITATION FOR PRODUCTS AND SERVICES.

To unsubscribe from marketing e-mails from:
• An individual Wells Fargo Advisors financial advisor: Reply to one of his/her e-mails and type “Unsubscribe” in the subject line.
• Wells Fargo and its affiliates: Unsubscribe at https://www.wellsfargoadvisors.com/wellsfargo-unsubscribe

Neither of these actions will affect delivery of important service messages regarding your accounts that we may need to send you or preferences you may have previously set for other e-mail services.

For additional information regarding our electronic communication policies, visit http://wellsfargoadvisors.com/disclosures/email-disclosure.html.

Wells Fargo Advisors, LLC is a separate nonbank affiliate of Wells Fargo & Company, Member FINRA/SIPC. 1 North Jefferson, St. Louis, MO 63103.

FromName	Twyla
FromAddress	twylajacobsen@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	I support brickyard soccer field
Body	Hello, I support the brickyard fields. I live close by and I am not concerned at all. The quality of Our current fileds are over used, we need more. TM Jacobsen 10712 Laurel Leaf Pl Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	Vaez Ghaemi
FromAddress	kaheresh@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Support for Brickyard project
Body	We support the brickyard project. Reza Vaez-Ghaemi 301-762-5903 Sent from my iPhone

FromName	Verizon
FromAddress	mchoppin@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard soccer project
Body	I support the brickyard soccer project. Please keep the funding. Both my sons playa my different sports on the fields in Montgomery county. Thanks! Marie Choppin 12600 Pentenvillr road Silver spring, md 20904 Marie Caterini Choppin, LCSW-C www.CounselingForContentment.com Strengthening resilience and nurturing secure attachments within couples, families and individuals. 301-625-9102 (office) www.CounselingForContentment.com 4405 East-West Hwy, Suite 508 Bethesda, MD 20814 10000 Colesville Road Silver Spring, MD 20901 Sent from my iPhone

FromName	Vicki Dorman
FromAddress	swdorman@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Yes to Brickyard Road Soccer Fields!
Body	I do support the building of soccer fields and an organic agricultural educational center with adequate parking on the Brickyard Road site. Unfortunately, CARF my citizen's association does not represent my views on this matter. Vicki Dorman 7912 River Falls Drive Potomac

FromName	Victoria Cowles
FromAddress	torycowles@comcast.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Fields
Body	Dear Mr Legget, Please do not proceed with leasing the Brickyard site to MSI for soccer fields. This is a Montgomery Co. School Board property and it should be used for educational purposes, not recreational purposes. An educational program about the environment and agriculture would be a much higher and better use for this school land. Sincerely, Tory Cowles 8565 Horseshoe Ln. Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	vzagaria@aol.com
FromAddress	vzagaria@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Please Read: RE: Important Message from MSI
Body	

To The Montgomery County Government:

My husband and I are sending this message in response to the email we received below regarding the efforts by County Executive Ike Leggett and his efforts to turn over public land to a private corporation through an unauthorized lease.

Even though we have received the message below, please note my husband, Kevin Bruns, and I, Vicki Zagaria, ARE OPPOSED to the efforts being put forth by the county to turn this land over to MSI Soccer. While living in Bethesda and Potomac, our two boys played soccer throughout their soccer careers, and for many years, played under the MSI program. We drove our children all over Maryland to play soccer, spending a great deal of time at the Germantown Soccerplex and seeing the impact this development effort has had on the local community.

We do not believe there is a need for soccer fields in lower Bethesda or Potomac as proven by local studies. We also believe MSI Soccer, as a very profitable private corporation, should be obligated to purchase its own land for any private field development efforts instead of taking over a nationally recognized organic farm. (And the County government should be pursuing the multi million dollar value of this land as well--considering the poor budget situation of the local government, cutbacks to our schools, and many other programs.)

We also believe MSI has demonstrated unethical behavior, as evident by their inaccurate statements to their club families with the email below

that states "There has been an extremely well-funded effort by a small
group of neighbors to prevent this project from succeeding, and they
have put forth a stunning level of blatant misinformation." The
commentary provided by the local media has demonstrated that no
"blatant misinformation" has been put forth by the local community
opposed to this effort.

This initiative involves a private corporation who is trying to get use
of public land through unauthorized and misleading processes that did
not involve the tax paying citizens of Montgomery County. If MSI wants
to build a soccer plex for its own private revenue purposes, it should
be required to purchase whatever land is zoned and deemed available for
its planned complex.

Again, as a family who has participated in MSI Soccer programs, WE ARE
OPPOSED to the Brickyard Soccer Plex Initiative.

Vicki Zagaria and Kevin Bruns

From: doug=msisoccer.org@korrio.com
[mailto:doug=msisoccer.org@korrio.com] On Behalf
Of doug@msisoccer.org
Sent: Thursday, April 12, 2012 6:19 PM
Subject: Important Message from MSI

MSI Family,Most of you are familiar with MSI's hard work to help solve
our community's desperate need for more and improved soccer fields, and
I am writing you today on the cusp of a new season to ask for you to
take one simple step to help us help you. Please take one moment RIGHT
NOW to send a brief email to the address listed below (to our County
government), and express your support for the Brickyard Soccer Project,
and to encourage them to work to develop more and better fields
throughout the County. Truly, it need be only one sentence, a simple
expression of support for the project.Please send the email right now,

to: DGS.ORE@montgomerycountymd.gov Include your name and Montgomery

County address at the end of your brief email. It is important to do
this right away and show your support for making tangible improvements
to the conditions your kids play on. Please have your spouse send an
email, as well. We are excited about the possibility to create new and
much-improved fields for the kids to enjoy, but need your help to
encourage our government to help make that happen!

Regards,Doug Schuessler MSI

PS --For those that would like to read more about our efforts, there is
and has been a document posted on the MSI website under " Announcements"
that describes the Brickyard Soccer Project. There has been an
extremely well-funded effort by a small group of neighbors to prevent
this project from succeeding, and they have put forth a stunning level
of blatant misinformation. We have chosen not to engage in responding
to such tactics, which unfortunately have become commonplace when a
group knows that "the facts" will not help their case. I and believe
that most have appreciated our restraint, and I encourage any of you
with questions to feel free to contact me directly, and we will
continue to respond with honest and complete answers to all!In short,
our proposal to the County is a significant compromise from original
hopes, based upon our listening and being responsive to community
concerns. Instead of four full-sized soccer fields, we have proposed
the initial development of two new fields, with potential for a third
later on. Additionally, and importantly, our proposal specifically
calls for an Organic Agricultural Educational Center to be co-located
on the site, and actually provides more than twice as much land for the
Agricultural Center than the community has requested. The neighborhood
opposition group has portrayed this debate as being "Soccer vs
Educational Farm", and does not want folks to know that our proposal
includes both! We provided this opportunity in order to create a
win-win, and are pleased to have done so.Please send that

one-sentence
email right away, and ask your spouse to do the same. Thank you for
your help, and I look forward to the opportunity for your child to play
on beautiful fields that we all create together!

OUR PUBLIC FIELDS!!! Is MSI Private-Pay-to-Play the best use!?!?!?

GAME PLAN TOMORROW:
* 10:00 am meet in green space across from 101 Monroe St, Rockville (I
will be there w/SAVE BRICKYARD signs around me)
* I will have a few copies of our official letter to DGS that you can
read & discuss;
* I'm planning to Deliver Our Petition to Ike Leggett's Office @ 10:45
am (you are welcome to walk with me, up to you).

FromName	Wardella Doschek
FromAddress	wwgaeed@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To Whom It May Concern: We DO NOT support the Brickyard Soccer Project. Dr. George A. Doschek Dr. Wardella W. Doschek 8221 Coach Street Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	wdruss@aol.com
FromAddress	wdruss@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	brickyard soccer project
Body	I urge you to support the project to improve the county's soccer fields so our children will have better opportunities for participation in this sport. Rhonda Russ 208 Hutton St Gaithersburg, MD 20877

FromName	Welch, Pierre
FromAddress	WelchP@state.gov
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Against the Brickyard Road soccer complex construction
Body	Please note my objection to the construction of the Brickyard Rd. MS site into a soccer complex. A combination of the non-transparent nature in which this whole action was shoved down the taxpayers throat was despicable, the County Executive Isaiah Leggett should be ashamed of himself; the destruction of an organic farm is unconscious able in this day and age, especially given the rarity of such and the potential educational opportunities. Respectfully, Pierre R. Welch, III 7700 Hackamore Dr. Potomac, MD 20854 Pierre R. Welch, III, MAI Chief, Real Estate Evaluations US Department of State Bureau of Overseas Buildings Operations

FromName	Wendy Jonas Calhoun
FromAddress	wendy1@erols.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	We are writing in support of the Brickyard Soccer Project. Our two children, ages 7 and 9, have been playing soccer in Montgomery County for several years. Unfortunately there are not nearly enough fields given in the level of interest in the county, and we encourage you to work to develop more and better fields throughout the County. Please support the Brickyard Soccer Project which will help thousands of children in the county. Sincerely, Wendy and Chris Calhoun 9806 Ashburton Lane Bethesda, MD 20817

FromName	William A. Hamilton
FromAddress	billandnancyhamilton@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Fwd: Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	

>
> Subject: Attn: Brickyard Comments
>
> Cynthia Brenneman, Chief
> Office of Real Estate
> Dept. of General Services
>
> Dear Ms. Brenneman:
>
> As i long-time resident of Montgomery County,I would like to register my strong opposition to the agreement with MSI to construct, manage and operate soccer fields on the Brickyard School public property. I believe the process the County Executive followed is improper and illegal. A new and completely transparent process that is in compliance with County law should be commenced to determine the best use for the Brickyard property. The County Executive should either reverse his arrogant position or be recalled from office.

> William A. Hamilton
> 8116 Gainsborough Ct. East
> Potomac, MD 20854
>

FromName	William James
FromAddress	wgjames2@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project. The children of Montgomery County need more fields for active outdoor activities, such as soccer. Our country is facing an epidemic of obesity and its side effects. Ingraining outdoor activity, including competitive activities like organized soccer, at an early age is imperative. Having more quality soccer fields is good for the long term economic and social health of our county. It makes sense, and in this case the positive impact of the soccer fields outweighs the potentially negative impact of not using the land for other purposes. William James 5606 Newington Road Bethesda, MD 20816

FromName	William Kelly
FromAddress	williamkellymd@gmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	
Body	Please support the soccer fields. Don't let a few affluent residents monopolize a county resource. Will NIMBY now = not in my brickyard? Sent from my iPhone

FromName	William Weglicki
FromAddress	wweg@gwu.edu
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Comments
Body	As a resident of Montgomery County for several decades I have never been more disappointed with our elected officials who apparently have engaged in clandestine behavior to market and contract a deal for a soccer complex for the MSI company.It is sad that the voices of the vast majority of citizens/homeowners in this residential Potomac community have not had an opportunity to appropriately express their opposition to the politically opaque maneuvering that has created a profound distrust in the expectation of representative governance. The communal distrust that has resulted from this attempt to enable a commercial development in a community of taxpaying/voting constituents is substantial.. I urge the more responsible representative leaders of Montgomery County: to reconsider all questionable aspects of this deal, and provide a more open forum for a democratic unmasking of all negotiations/commitments by all parties in this matter. Respectfully submitted, -- William B. Weglicki, MD 2029940501 (o), 3012212960 (c) wweg@gwu.edu

FromName	William Zwack
FromAddress	wzwack@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	My support for the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	To Whom It Maay Concern: I would like to add my support to the Brickyard Soccer Project to improve the County's soccer fields. Thank you. William Zwack 4606 Saul Road Kensington, MD 20895 wzwack@aol.com

FromName	Windows Live Team
FromAddress	ch_a_alex@hotmail.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	msi sublease
Body	Dear Concerned, I am writing as a citizen concerned with openess in local government. Much time, as an undergraduate political science major, was taken up with lectures on the processes and workings of government. I came to believe in openess and transparency in national as well as local government. After all, it is our money you are spending. Any time a governmental process throws up so many red flags as the MSI lease process has, it invites public comment. No matter where exactly you live, questionable decision making sets a bad precedent. That said, let me continue by saying this leasing process has been conducted in a way that raise so many questions that it can not continue. The entire decision must be invalidated if the local government is to retain credibility in all matters of local decision making. Sincerely, Charles Alexander □□ □ □□

FromName	WingW@aol.com
FromAddress	WingW@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	NO to the Brickyard Soccer Project!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! William L. Wingate 7716 Hackamore Drive Potomac, MD 20854

FromName	WingW@aol.com
FromAddress	WingW@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project--NO
Body	I am against the Brickyard Soccer Project. The project was not developed in a fair and transparent manner. Brickyard Road is a curving 2-lane road. Drivers attempting to avoid Brickyard will severely impact the surrounding neighborhoods. Edythe W. Wingate 7716 Hackamore Dr. Potomac, MD

FromName	WingW@aol.com
FromAddress	WingW@aol.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard comments
Body	I STRONGLY disapprove of the MSI lease!!!! Any leases/sales should be done only with full transparency and input from the affected neighbors/neighborhoods. This was a very shabby deal and an insult to all voters and taxpayers. Edythe Williams Wingate 7716 Hackamore Dr. Potomac, MD 20854 301-299-9445

FromName	Wisnosky, Kerry (Millennium)
FromAddress	KWisnosky@MEICOMPANY.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Attn: Brickyard Comments
Body	My name is Kerry Wisnosky, homeowner/ tax paying resident at 10520 Oaklyn Drive Potomac, MD 20854. Phone 301-983-1768. I strongly oppose the agreement between Montgomery County and MSI to construct, manage and operate soccer fields on the Brickyard School public property. I believe the secret process which the County has followed is improper and illegal. I request that a new and completely transparent process that is in compliance with County law be commenced to determine the best use for the Brickyard property. Thank you, Kerry Wisnosky

FromName	Woodward, Gordon
FromAddress	gwoodward@schnader.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	the Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	I support the Brickyard Soccer Project, please develop more and better fields throughout the County. Thanks Gordon Woodward Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis LLP 750 9th Street, N.W., Suite 550 Washington, D.C. 20001-4534 (202) 419-4215

FromName	Yasmeen Duran
FromAddress	durans7@verizon.net
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Project
Body	Our family supports the Brickyard Soccer Project: George and Yasmeen Duran 2451 Jones Lane Silver Spring, MD 20902

FromName	Young, Patrick E CDR NNMC
FromAddress	Patrick.Young2@med.navy.mil
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	Brickyard Soccer Field Project
Body	To whom it may concern: I support the Brickyard Soccer Field Project. Having a sufficient number of fields in good repair will provide adequate space for area youth to get the activity they need to keep fit helping fight childhood obesity. It also keeps kids "off the street" and engaged in productive activities. Patrick Young, MD 14546 Triple Crown Place North Potomac, MD

FromName	Zelina, K A
FromAddress	cookpluscook@yahoo.com
ToName	ORE, DGS
ToAddress	/O=MCG/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RE
Subject	soccer fields -- we need more!
Body	Greetings -- I am just writing to let you know that, as a citizen of Montgomery County, I support the Brickyard Soccer Project. Our kids need more soccer fields -- this is a growing sport, with lots of new players. Thanks for doing what you can to support our young soccer players. Thanks K A Zelina17163 Moss Side LaneOlney, Md 20832